



Attorneys At Law 36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

Telephone: 513-421-2255 - Telecopler: 513-421-2764



То:	PUCO - DOCKETING ATTN: Sean/Anne E-MAIL: sean.brooks@puco.state.us	Fax:	614-486-0313
From:	David F. Boehm, Esq. Michael L. Kurtz, Esq. BOEHM, KURTZ & LOWRY	Date:	January 28, 2011
Re:	In The Matter Of The Application Of Duke Energy Oho, Inc. For Approval Of A Market Rate Offer To Conduct A Competitive Bidding Process For Standard Service Offer Electric Generation Supply, Accounting Modifications, And Tariffs For Generation Service Case No. 10-2586-EL-SSO	Pages	2

Attached please find CORRECTED PAGE NO. 17 to the BRIEF OF THE OHIO ENERGY GROUP originally filed on January 27, 2011 in the above-referenced matter. The original and 20 copies will follow by overnight mail.

PRIVATE AND CONFIDENTIAL: The sender intends to communicate the contents of this transmission only to the person to whom it is addressed. This transmission may contain information that is privileged, confidential or otherwise exempt for disclosure under applicable law. If the recipient of this transmission is not the designated recipient or the employee or agent responsible of delivering this transmission to the designated recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify us immediately by telephone [(513) 421-2255, collect] and promptly return the original transmission to us at the above address by mail. We will reimburse you for any costs you may incur.

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete approduction of it as file document delivered in the regular course of business accument delivered in the regular course of business and pate Processed | 76 | |

Corrected Page 17 to the Brief of the Ohio Energy Group Date filed: January 27, 2011

Docket No. 10-2586-EL-SSO

FAX

for cost recovery only. Duke will not join PJM until January 2012, providing sufficient time for a full consideration by the Commission of this issue outside the confines of an accelerated MRO proceeding.²⁶

OEG Agrees With Staff's Recommendations With Respect to Rider RECON and Rider UE-4. GEN.

OEG supports the recommendation of Staff witness Tamara Turkenton with respect to the treatment of Rider RECON and Rider UE-GEN.

Duke is requesting the establishment of Rider RECON in order to collect the over or under recovery balances remaining as of December 31, 2011 pertaining to Rider PTC-FPP and Rider SRA-SRT under the current ESP. OEG agrees with Staff that Rider RECON should only be collected on a fully bypassable basis. It is appropriate to collect Rider RECON on the same fully bypassable basis as Rider PTC-FPP. 27

Duke's proposed Rider UE-GEN seeks to recover the cost of bad debt associated with Duke's SSO. With respect to Rider UE-GEN OEG agrees with Staff that an uncollectible rider, for generation is not allowable under R.C. 4928.142(D). Duke's request to recover these costs through Rider UE-GEN should be rejected.²⁸

Direct Testimony of Stephen J. Baron p. 23.
 Direct Testimony of Tamara Turkenton pp. 4-5.
 Direct Testimony of Tamara Turkenton p. 6.