



DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION

139 East Fourth Street, 1212 Main Cincinnati, OH 45201-0960 Telephone: (513) 287-4315 Facsimile: (513) 287-4386

Kristen Cocanougher Sr. Paralegal E-mail: Kristen.cocanougher@duke-energy.com

VIA OVERNIGHT MAIL

January 7, 2011

Docketing Division
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 East Broad Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215

Re: In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio for Approval of a Market

Rate Offer

Case No. 10-2586-EL-SSO

Dear Docketing Division:

Enclosed please find for filing the original and 20 copies of the Notice of Filing of Deposition of Kevin Higgins.

Please file-stamp the enclosed copy and return in overnight envelope provided.

Should you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact me.

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV 2011 JAN 10 AM 10: 04 PUCO

Very truly yours,

Kristen Cocanougher

ristan Courangles

This is to certify that the mages appearing are to accurate and complete reproduction of it as business accurate and complete reproduction of business accurate and complete regular course of business accurate and complete regular course of business accurate and complete regular course of business accurate and complete repulsive parts processed.

BEFORE

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Duke)
Energy Ohio for Approval of a Market)
Rate Offer to Conduct a Competitive)
Bidding Process for Standard Service) Case No. 10-2586-EL-SSO
Offer Electric Generation Supply,)
Accounting Modifications, and Tariffs for)
Generation Service.)

NOTICE OF FILING OF DEPOSITION

Pursuant to Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-21(N), Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. (Duke Energy Ohio) gives notice of the filing of the deposition transcript of Kevin Higgins, on behalf of the The Kroger Co. (Kroger) which was taken on December 22, 2010.

Respectfully submitted,

Amy **B**/Spiller (0047277)

Associate General Counsel Elizabeth H. Watts (003192)

Assistant General Counsel

Rocco O. D'Ascenzo (0077651)

Senior Counsel

Duke Energy Business Services

139 East Fourth Street, 13 Main

Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

(513) 287-4359 (telephone) (513) 287-4385 (facsimile)

Email: amy.spiller@duke-energy.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing was served on the following parties of record by electronic mail delivery or first class mail delivery, postage prepaid, this _____ day of January 2011.

Elizabeth H. Watts

John W. Bentine	David F. Boehm
Mark Yurick	Michael L. Kurtz
Matthew S. White	Counsel for Ohio Energy Group
Counsel for the Kroger Company	Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry
Chester, Wilcox & Saxbe, LLP	36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510
65 East State Street, Suite 1000	Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
Columbus, Ohio 43215-4213	dboehm@bkllawfirm.com
jbentine@cwslaw.com	mkurtz@bkllawfirm.com
myurick@ewslaw.com	
mwhite@cwslaw.com	
David C. Rinebolt	Colleen L. Mooney
Counsel for Ohio Partners for Affordable	Counsel for Ohio Partners for Affordable
Energy	Energy
231 West Lima Street	1431 Mulford Road
Findlay, OH 45840-3033	Columbus, OH 43212-3404
Drinebolt@ohiopartners.org	Cmooney2@columbus.rr.com
Samuel C. Randazzo	William T. Reisinger, Counsel of Record
Joseph E. Oliker	Nolan Moser
Counsel for Industrial Energy Users-Ohio	Trent A. Dougherty
McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC	Ohio Environmental Council
21 E. State Street, 17 th Floor	1207 Grandview Avenue, Suite 201
Columbus, Ohio 43215	Columbus, Ohio 43212-3449
sam@mwncmh.com	will@theoec.org
joliker@mwncmh.com	nolan@theoec.org
	trent@theoec.org

Mark A. Hayden, Counsel of Record FirstEnergy Service Company 76 South Main Street Akron, Ohio 44308 haydenm@firstenergycorp.com

David A. Kutik
Attorney for FirstEnergy Solutions Corp.
Jones Day
North Point
901 Lakeside Avenue
Cleveland, Ohio 44114
dakutik@jonesday.com

Grant W. Garber, Attorney for FirstEnergy Solutions Corp. Jones Day 325 John H. McConnell Blvd., Suite 600 Columbus, Ohio 43215-2673 gwgarber@jonesday.com Douglas E. Hart
Attorney for The Greater Cincinnati
Health Council
441 Vine Street, Suite 4192
Cincinnati, OH 45202
dhart@douglasehart.com

M. Howard Petricoff
Stephen M. Howard
VORYS, SATER, SEYMOUR AND
PEASE LLP
52 East Gay Street
P.O. 1008
Columbus, Ohio 43216-1008
mhpetricoff@vorys.com
smhoward@vorys.com

Cynthia Fonner Brady
Senior Counsel
Constellation Energy Resources, LLC
550 W. Washington St., Suite 300
Chicago, Illinois 60661
cynthia.brady@constellation.com

Attorneys for Constellation NewEnergy, Inc. and Constellation Energy Commodities Group, Inc.

Richard Chamberlain
Behrens, Wheeler & Chamberlain
6 N.E. 63rd Street, Suite 400
Oklahoma City, OK 73105
Rdc_law@swbell.net

Kevin J. Osterkamp Roetzel & Anderss, LPA 155 E. Broad Street, 12th Floor Columbus, OH 43215 kosterkamp@ralaw.com

Attorneys for Wal-Mart Stores East, LP, and Sam's East, Inc.

Tamera Turkenton Michael D. Dortch Public Utility Commission of Ohio Counsel for Duke Energy Retail Sales, 180 East Broad Street LLC Columbus, OH 43215-3793 Kravitz, Brown & Dortch, LLC Tamara.turkenton@puc.state.ohio.us 65 East State St., Suite 200 Columbus, OH 43215 Steven Beeler mdortch@kravitzllc.com John Jones Assistant Attorneys General Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 East Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 Steven.beeler@puc.state.oh,us John.jones@puc.state.oh.us Barth E. Royer Thomas J. O'Brien Bell & Royer Co., LPA Counsel for City of Cincinnati 33 South Grant Avenue Bricker & Eckler LLP Columbus, Ohio 43215 100 South Third Street barthroyer@aol.com Columbus, Ohio 43215 tobrien@bricker.com Gary A. Jeffries Senior Counsel Dominion Resources Services, Inc. 501 Martindale Street, Suite 400 Pittsburgh, PA 15212 Gary.A.Jeffries@dom.com Attorneys for Dominion Retail, Inc. Douglas E. Hart Terrence O'Donnell Counsel for Eagle Energy, LLC Christopher Montgomery 441 Vine Street, Suite 4192 Counsel for Ohio Advanced Energy Cincinnati, Ohio 45202 100 South Third Street dhart@douglasehart.com E-mail: todonnell@bricker.com cmontgomery@bricker.com Mary Christensen Anne M. Vogel, Attorney for Counsel for People Working Cooperatively AEP Retail Energy Partners LLC Inc. American Electric Power Service Christensen & Christensen LLP Corporation 1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor 8760 Orion Place, Suite 300 Columbus OH 43240 Columbus, OH 43215 mchristensen@columbuslaw.org amvogel@aep.com

Ann M. Hotz, Counsel of Record Matthew J. Satterwhite Attorney for Columbus Southern Power Kyle L. Verrett Company and Ohio Power Company Jody M. Kyler American Electric Power Service **Assistant Consumer Counsels** Corporation Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel 1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor 10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, OH 43215 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485 mjsatterwhite@aep.com hotz@occ.state.oh.us verrett@occ.state.oh.us kyler@occ.state.oh.us Matthew W. Warnock Bricker & Eckler LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus, OH 43215-4291 Mwarnock@bricker.com Kevin Schmidt Ohio Manufacturers' Association 33 N. High Street, Suite 600 Columbus, OH 43215 Kschmidt@ohiomfg.com Counsel for Ohio Manufacturers' Association

Date Taken:

Amendment to Deposition

Case Number:

Subscribed and sween to me this day of								11-01						13 9	Page Line
day of 200					? (Question must)	they there would	undo	it would have the	55.8	New	550	option		cotion	Now Reads
					[Dilete]		undre	[Delete]				のみでもだっ		auction	Should Read
E Se					Not a question	Clarity	meaning of word	Child Becorde	whees believes "550" is intended	acomo is s	risstated account	Same as above	intended murd	Witness believes automis	fleason for charge

Notary Public

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio For Approval of a Market Rate Offer to Conduct a Competitive Bidding Process For Standard Service Offer Electric Generation Supply, Accounting Modifications, and Tariffs For Generation Service.

Case No: 10-2586+EL-SSO

TELEPHONIC DEPOSITION OF KEVIN HIGGINS

TAKEN AT:

DepomaxMerit Litigation Services, Inc.

333 South Rio Grande

Salt Lake City, Utah 84101

DATE:

December 22, 2010

TIME:

9:45 a.m.

REPORTED BY:

Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR

MESELVED-DOCKETING BIN

```
1
                   APPEARANCES (all by telephone)
 2
     For Duke Energy Ohio:
 3
     AMY B. SPILLER, ESQ. (amy.spiller@duke-energy.com)
     ELIZABETH H. WATTS, ESQ.
DUKE ENERGY BUSINESS SERVICES
 4
          139 East Fourth Street
 5
          12th Floor Fourth & Main Building
          Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
 6
          (513) 287-4359
          (513) 287-4385 (fax)
 7
     For The Kroger Company:
 8
     MARK YURICK, ESQ. (myurick@cwslaw.com)
     CHESTER, WILCOX & SAXBE, LLP
65 East State Street, Suite 1000
 9
10
          Columbus, Ohio 43215-4213
          (614) 221-4000
(614) 221-4012 (fax)
11
12
     For FirstEnergy Solutions:
13
     DAVID A. KUTIK, ESQ. (dakutik@jonesday.com)
     JONES DAY
          North Point
14
          901 Lakeside Avenue
          Cleveland, Ohio 44114-1190 (216) 586-3939
15
          (216) 579-0212 (fax)
16
17
     MARK A. HAYDEN, ESQ. (haydenm@firstenergycorp.com)
     FIRSTENERGY SERVICE COMPANY
18
          76 South Main Street
          Akron. Ohio 44308
19
     For The Greater Cincinnati Health Council and Eagle
20
     Energy, LLC:
     DOUGLAS E. HART, ESQ. (dhart@douglasehart.com) LAW OFFICE OF DOUGLAS E. HART
21
22
          441 Vine Street, Suite 4192
          Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
          (513) 621-6709
23
          (513) 621-6981 fax
24
25
                                                                 2
```

1	APPEARANCES, CONTINUED
2	For the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel:
3	RICK REESE, ESQ.
4	OFFICE OF THE OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL 10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus Ohio 43315 3485
5	Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485 (877) 742-5622 or (614) 466-8574
6	For the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:
7	STEVEN BEELER, ESQ. (Steven.beeler@puc.state.oh.us) RAY STROM, ESQ.
8	TAMMY TURKENTON, ESQ. ASSISTANT ATTORNEYS GENERAL
9	Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Public Utilities Section
10	180 East Broad Street, 12th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793
11	For Wal-Mart East, LP and Sam's East, Inc.:
12	RICK D. CHAMBERLAIN. ESQ.
13	BEHRENS, WHEELER & CHAMBERLAIN Six Northeast 63rd Street, Suite 400
14	Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73105 (405) 848-1014
15	(405) 848-3155 (fax)
16	Also Present:
17	Don Wathen (Duke Energy)
18	-o0o -
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	3

(December 22, 2010 - Kevin Higgins)

1		
1	<u>EXAMINATION</u>	
2	<u>By</u>	<u>Page</u>
3	Ms. Spiller	5
4	Mr. Kutik	43
5	-000-	
6	<u>EXHIBITS</u>	
7	No. <u>Description</u>	<u>Page</u>
8	1 Amended Notice of Deposition	6
9	-000-	
10		<u> </u>
11		
12		•
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		;
24		,
25		
		4

1 **DECEMBER 22. 2010** 9:45 A.M. 2 <u>PROCEEDINGS</u> 3 (The witness was duly sworn by Kelly L. Wilburn.) 4 5 KEVIN HIGGINS, 6 called as a witness, having been duly sworn, 7 was examined and testified as follows: 8 **EXAMINATION** 9 BY MS. SPILLER: 10 Mr. Higgins, this is Amy Spiller, counsel for 0. 11 Duke Energy Ohio in connection with this matter. Can 12 you hear me okay over the phone? 13 Α. I sure can. 14 Q. Okay. And we've had a bit of a perhaps technical issue, so if I could just reiterate 15 16 Mr. Kutik's request that you speak up. I'm hoping 17 that all on the phone can hear your testimony clearly 18 this morning, sir. 19 I will do, I will do my best, thank you. Α. 20 0. Thank you. Mr. Higgins, can you identify 21 yourself for purposes of the record, please? 22 Α. Yes. My name is Kevin C. Higgins. 23 0. And what is your business address, sir? 24 Α. My business address is 215 South State 25 Street, Suite 200, Salt Lake City, Utah 84111.

1	Q. And what is your occupation?
2	A. I am an economist and I'm a principal in the
3	firm of Energy Strategies, LLC.
4	Q. Mr. Higgins, do you have in front of you what
5	has been marked or what will be marked as Exhibit 1
6	to your deposition, which is an Amended Notice of
7	Deposition filed on December 13, 2010?
8	A. Yes, I do.
9	Q. And Mr. Higgins, have you produced at your
10	deposition this morning any documents responsive to
11	that notice?
12	A. Well, my understanding is that I needed to
13	bring any exhibits. I mean, let me just double check
14	here. I brought a lot of things so I'll.
15	Yes.
16	Q. And what are the documents, sir, that you
17	brought in response to the subpoena? Or I'm sorry, in
18	response to the notice of deposition?
19	A. I brought my testimony. I brought Duke
20	Energy Ohio's application and filing. I brought a
21	document that I reviewed, which is cited in my
22	testimony. I can give you the exact citation. Give
23	me one moment, please.
24	It's Duke Energy's Third Quarter Earnings
25	Review and Rusiness Undate issued October 28 2010

1	Q. Okay.
2	A. I brought a, an Amended Substitute Senate
3	Bill No. 221, the, the act which adopted the market
4	rate offer.
5	Q. Okay.
6	A. And I brought a section of the statute that
7	is referenced in my testimony as well. I brought a
8	copy of the ESP settlement that in the last I don't
9	want to say the "last." I guess when the current ESP
10	was approved.
11	Q. And that would be, sir, the ESP for Duke
12	Energy Ohio filed under Case No. 08920?
13	A. Correct.
14	Q. Okay, thank you.
15	A. I, I also brought electronically some the
16	work papers that Duke Energy Ohio provided that were
17	used in some of my analysis. Now, I'll point out that
18	because of the volume of material associated with the
19	Company's filing I brought some of this material
20	electronically.
21	I brought some things hard copy that I
22	thought were more likely to be discussed, and I
23	brought others electronically. So if I do get asked
24	about something I brought electronically, like a work

paper, it will take me a moment just to go to my

1 computer, which I brought, and call it up.

- Q. Okay. Other than what you have identified, sir, do you have any other documents, whether hard copy or electronic, that you brought with you to your deposition today?
 - A. No, that's it.

- Q. The items, sir, that you have just identified -- your testimony filed on December 21st, Duke Energy Ohio's application and filing filed on November 15th, Duke Energy Ohio's third quarter business update, the Ohio law, portions of the Amended Senate Bill 221 and portions thereof, as well as a copy of the stipulation and recommendation filed in Duke Energy Ohio Case No. 08920 -- have you reviewed any other documents, sir, for purposes of preparing your testimony in this case?
 - A. No.
- Q. What was it about the ESP stipulation and recommendation in Case No. 08920 that you thought relevant to preparing your testimony in this case?
- A. The ESP settlement represents the status quo. And I think as matter of general practice I like to refresh myself with the, with the status quo as I prepare my testimony.
 - Q. Okay. In preparing your testimony in this

case, Mr. Higgins, did you review the testimony that you submitted on behalf of Kroger in connection with the application filed by the FirstEnergy Distribution Utilities for approval of a market rate offer?

- A. I did not specifically re-review that testimony.
- Q. Aside from your counsel, did you speak with anyone in preparing your testimony in this case?
- A. I have a couple of associates who work -- who report to me at Energy Strategies, and I did work with one of those -- with one of them in preparing my testimony in terms of having her research some documents under my direction.
- Q. And what were the documents, sir, that this associate researched under your direction, please?
- A. She found some work papers that were prepared by Duke Energy -- Duke, Duke Energy Ohio. And she also found the, the document I referred to earlier, which was the third quarter earnings report.
- Q. Okay. And when you referenced the work papers, those are work papers filed by Duke Energy Ohio relative to this case, correct?
- A. That's correct. That's correct. And I also spoke to the energy manager at Kroger, just simply to get a, an understanding or -- of the -- Kroger's

1	current situation with respect to its the
2	procurement of its electricity supply.
3	Q. And who is the energy manager at Kroger with
4	whom you spoke?
5	A. Denis George.
6	Q. Denis George?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. And the name of your associate, please, who
9	partici who assisted in your research?
10	A. Oliwia Smith. And that's Oliwia with a "w"
11	instead of a "v."
12	Q. Aside from your testimony, Mr. Higgins, have
13	you prepared any summaries, memoranda, or other
14	documents related to this case?
15	A. I have not.
16	Q. With regard to your position at Energy
17	Strategies, are there specific issues on which you
18	provide consulting services?
19	A. Well, I, I don't know that let me back up.
20	Certainly whenever I'm engaged to, to assist a client
21	in a hearing I address specific topics, so I suppose
22	the answer is yes. Are you asking what areas I, I may
23	restrict my practice to?
24	Q. Do you have areas of specialty? Perhaps a
25	better way to ask the question.

(December 22, 2010 - Kevin Higgins) 1 I would say I -- my experience in electricity Α. proceedings includes most areas of -- that are 2 addressed with respect to rate determination, policy 3 4 issues as well. Most topics, with the exception of 5 return on equity. 6 I typically don't get involved in return on 7 equity issues. But I, I've, over the course of my 8 career I've been involved in most aspects of 9 electricity rate proceedings. 10 Okay. 0. 11 Α. Or policy proceedings. And I'm sorry, sir, I didn't mean to talk 12 Q. 13 over you. You broke up a bit on that response, but if 14 I may restate it. You address in proceedings rate **15** determinations, policy issues. Do not focus on return 16 on equity issues?

- A. That is correct. And so I suppose it would be fair to say I've addressed most -- I've addressed fairly often revenue requirement issues, rate design, cost of service, as well as policy matters pertaining to the structure of electric markets.
- Q. Okay, thank you. And you are testifying on behalf of The Kroger Company in this proceeding, correct?
 - A. That is correct.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	Q. And the purpose of your testimony, sir, is to
2	address two particular issues within Duke Energy
3	Ohio's filing, correct?
4	A. That is correct.
5	MR. YURICK: Objection, I think the testimony
6	speaks for itself.
7	You may answer.
8	Q. (By Ms. Spiller) I'm sorry Mr. Higgins, you
9	can go ahead and answer.
10	A. I, I'd say that fairly characterizes my
11	testim my direct testimony.
12	Q. Okay. Through your testimony, Mr. Higgins,
13	you do not oppose the market rate offer structure, do
14	you?
15	A. May I ask when you say "structure," could you
16	be a little bit more specific?
17	Q. Sure. Just the general notion of a market
18	rate offer.
19	A. No, I do not. My understanding is that that
20	is an option that's available under the law for, for
21	Duke Energy Ohio. And I do not oppose that, that
22	right, you might say, that the Company has to file.
23	Q. Okay. And Mr. Higgins, you are not disputing
24	that Duke Energy Ohio's proposed competitive bidding
25	process is open, fair, and competitive, correct?

1	A. I am not disputing that.
2	Q. And to your knowledge, sir, is Kroger
3	offering any witness in this proceeding who will
4	dispute that Duke Energy Ohio's proposed competitive
5	bidding process is open, fair, and transparent?
6	A. To my knowledge, Kroger is not intending to
7	offer a witness to address that.
8	Q. And you are not disputing, Mr. Higgins, that
9	the designated option manager for Duke Energy Ohio's
10	competitive bidding process is independent, are you?
11	A. No.
12	Q. To your knowledge is Kroger offering any
13	witness in this proceeding who will dispute the
14	designation of Charles River Associates, d/b/a CRA
15	International, as an independent option manager?
16	A. To my knowledge, no.
17	Q. Okay. Mr. Higgins, you are not offering any
18	opinion in this case with regard to Duke Energy Ohio's
19	recovery of costs approved by the Federal Energy
20	Regulatory Commission, or the FERC, correct?
21	A. I have not offered an opinion on that; that
22	is correct.
23	Q. And to your knowledge, sir, Kroger is not
24	offering any witness in this proceeding who will
25	dispute Duke Energy Ohio's ability to recover FERC-

1 approved costs, correct? 2 Α. That is correct. 3 Q. Mr. Higgins, you've identified that you are a 4 principal with Ener -- I'm sorry. You are a principal 5 with Energy Strategies, LLC. Are you an attorney by 6 training? 7 Α. No, I am not. Can you tell me, sir, on what you relied in 8 Q. concluding that it is the policy of the State of Ohio 9 10 to impose a gradual and orderly transformation to 11 market pricing? 12 Α. I base that on my experience over the years, 13 both involved in helping make state policy -- not in 14 Ohio but elsewhere -- and on my, my reading of the 15 plain language in the statute. 16 Okay. What state policy have you been Q. 17 instrumental in shaping or forming? 18 Α. I spent a number of years as the assistant 19 director of the State Energy Office in Utah. And in 20 that role I was involved on a daily basis in the 21 development of the State of Utah's energy policy, both 22 with respect to resource development and energy 23 conservation. 24 Q. Does Utah -- do the State laws -- regulatory

laws in Utah recognize a market rate offer similar to

that available under Ohio law for distribution utility companies?

- A. No.
- Q. Mr. Higgins, can you tell me in your opinion, please, what would make a transformation to market pricing gradual?
- A. It would be a matter of both degree and time. It would be a combination of the, the steps necessary with respect to rate changes, as well as a period of time to allow for the transformation. And also to provide assurance that when the -- there was sole reliance on market pricing that the opportunities for a competitive retail market were robust and likely to be robust for the long term.
- Q. What do you mean -- I understand the time element, but with respect to degree what do you -- how does that make the transformation gradual?
- A. Well, you know, I would say that "gradual" of course by its nature as a word implies an element of degree. And that is that something is happening incrementally. It is happening a little bit at a time.

And so I believe that, you know, if enough time is allowed for something to be transformed, then it speaks to the, the degree. But I believe that a

1	degree or extent of impact is a component of gradual.
2	Q. Mr. Higgins, you mentioned that you have with
3	you a copy of Amended Senate Bill 221. Are you
4	familiar with Ohio Senate Bill 3?
5	MR. YURICK: Objection, relevance.
6	You can go ahead and answer.
7	THE WITNESS: Well, I may be. I may not
8	recall it by number.
9	Q. (By Ms. Spiller) Okay, that's fair. Are you
ιο	aware, sir, that Ohio has recognized a competitive
11	market for electric generation service since 2001?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. And would that, sir, be a, a gradual
14	transformation to a competitive market in your
15	opinion?
16	A. Well, my, my understanding, based on my
17	experience in Ohio which goes back to 2000, is that
18	that was the intent. Although it appears to me that,
19	based on the adoption or passage of Amended Senate
20	Bill 221, that there may have been some reassessment
21	of how much progress had been made, which gave rise to
22	the, to the later legislation.
23	But I do believe that the it was likely
24	the intent that, you know, starting in 2000, that by
25	2010 there would be some gradual movement toward a

competitive market.

- Q. So I guess to understand, is it your opinion, sir, that the Ohio legislature then implemented Senate Bill 221 because the development -- because they wanted to accelerate the development of the competitive market?
- A. I don't know that it was necessarily to accelerate the development of the competitive market. I believe, you know, it appears to me that it was intended to provide additional guidance and addi -- and a somewhat different framework to that development.
- Q. And it is your opinion, sir, that the transition to market under the market rate offer cannot occur in less than five years, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. So sir, in your opinion if a transition to market occurred in less than five years and that transition would enable customers to realize lower generation costs, you believe the Ohio Commission would have to reject that transition as illegal?
- MR. YURICK: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion.

You can go ahead and answer.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

- Q. (By Ms. Spiller) Mr. Higgins, in light of that objection I'm certainly happy to rephrase. But in your opinion, the Commission could not accelerate the transition to full market pricing in less than five years, even if doing so would enable customers to receive lower generation costs, correct?
- A. Yes, because they would need to take the entire period into account. And the -- while it may be the case that on a particular -- at a particular point in a particular year there might be lower standard service offer rates from moving to a, a full SSO in year three, that may not necessarily be the case over the say full five-year period.

So I believe the Commission would have to, you know, would be cognisant of the guidance in -- or requirements in the statute and would need to use a five-year period at a minimum.

- Q. But you do agree, sir, that the Ohio Commission has the discretion to change the blending percentage, the blending duration under the market rate offer. correct?
- A. I believe they have limited discretion to do so. They have the discretion to go below the percentages that are shown in the statute, with the exception of year one, which I believe must be

1	10 percent.
2	The for years two, three, four, and five
3	they can go up to the percentage that is enumerated in
4	the statute. I don't believe they can go above the
5	percentage that's enumerated in the statute. And they
6	can extend the period from five to ten years or
7	from five up to ten years.
8	Q. So in your opinion even beginning in year two
9	of the MRO the Commission cannot prospectively shorten
10	the path to market to less than five years?
11	A. I believes that is what the statute says,
12	yes.
13	Q. Okay. Have you consulted with your counsel
14	in forming that opinion regarding statutory
15	interpretation?
16	MR. YURICK: Objection, that's privileged.
17	MS. SPILLER: I'm not asking for the content,
18	I'm simply asking whether the consultation occurred.
19	THE WITNESS: Can I go ahead and answer?
20	MR. YURICK: Uh, yeah.
21	THE WITNESS: The answer is yes.
22	Q. (By Ms. Spiller) Okay. Thank you, sir.
23	Mr. Higgins, if you would refer, please, to page 7 of
24	your testimony?
25	A. Yes.

number in mind if I, you know, as to what would pass
the test for "abrupt." Obviously that would be a call
the Commission would have to make.

- Q. So you have no opinion as to what -- as to how "abrupt" is to be interpreted under this provision of the revised code?
- A. Well, it would be -- I would have an opinion that it would be a, a sudden impact. A sudden change that created a substantial impact on customers.

Now, you know, what constitutes a substantial impact is going to depend somewhat on circumstances and a framework. And I have not attempted to identify what bright line would constitute an abrupt change in this proceeding, or a significant change in this proceeding. But certainly I've made -- you know, I've come to conclusions about that in other proceedings.

So I, I can analyze it. I -- it just -- right now this language leaves it to the discretion of the Commission to make that determination.

Q. But you believe that that significant or abrupt change -- well, strike that.

With regard to the standard service offer price that is set forth in this particular statutory provision contained on lines 19 and 20 of your testimony, do you interpret that, sir, as the standard

1	service offer price under the MRO?
2	In other words, the standard offer price
3	that's derived from both competitive bid percentage
4	and the Company's then current or existing standard
5	service offer.
6	A. I believe that it would be the standard
7	service offer that was in effect at the time the
8	Commission made its review and determination about
9	prospective rates.
LO	Q. So it would be the standard service offer
۱1	price charged under the MRO, correct?
۱2	A. Correct.
13	Q. Okay. And that price, sir, during this
L4	blending period is derived from two different prices,
L 5	correct?
16	A. Correct.
L7	Q. A portion of it is the market bid or option
L8	price, correct?
ا 19	A. Correct.
20	Q. And the other component of that MRO strike
21	that.
22	The other component of the standard service
23	offer price under the MRO is, per the statute, the
24	Company's then-most-recent standard service offer
25	price, correct?

1	A. That is correct.
2	Q. And here that second component, the Company's
3	then-most-recent standard service offer price, would
4	be the price under Duke Energy Ohio's current ESP,
5	correct?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. And for purposes of clarity in your testimony
8	this afternoon, sir, can we agree that that component
9	will be referred to as the "Legacy ESP price"?
LO	A. Yes.
1	Q. You've indicated that that Legacy ESP price
L 2	represents the status quo, correct?
13	A. Yes.
L 4	Q. Do you mean, Mr. Higgins, that that price
L5	does not change during the blending period?
L 6	A. No, it's subject to change, based on several
L7	factors that I identified in my testimony. Such as
8	fuel or purchase power costs, for example.
L9	Q. And those changes to the Legacy ESP price can
20	either be up or down, correct?
21	A. That is correct.
22	Q. And those adjustments, sir, per the statute
23	can occur as often as quarterly, correct?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. But it's your opinion that periodic changes

to the Legacy ESP price for things such as fuel or purchase power cannot cause abrupt or significant changes to the overall SSO price charged under the market rate offer?

- A. Well, they -- I believe that, you know, you could have an abrupt or significant change based on a change in a fuel adjuster.
- Q. Do you have an opinion, sir, as to what would be an abrupt or significant change based upon the fuel component of the Legacy ESP price?
- A. You know, again, it's really going to depend on the circumstances and the facts in a particular case. You know, there's no one bright line number that identif -- that, you know, delineates an abrupt or significant change. It's a matter of judgment that has to be brought to bear.
- Q. But sir, if I understand correctly, you've just testified that you could have a significant or abrupt change to the Legacy ESP price of the standard service offer price charged under the market rate offer, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. But it's also your opinion, sir, that a significant or abrupt change to the standard service offer price charged under the market rate offer can

quo," is that the standard service offer price charged

1	under the market rate offer during the blending
2	period?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Sir, I'd ask you well, strike that.
5	Are you aware of how Duke Energy Ohio's
6	current ESP price compares to current market prices?
7	A. In general, yes.
8	Q. And what is your awareness, sir?
9	A. That for many customers the current ESP price
10	is higher than market prices.
11	Q. How much higher?
12	A. I don't, I don't know.
13	Q. So in the second year of the ESP or I'm
14	sorry, of the MRO, it's your position that the market
15	bid component can be no more than 20 percent, correct?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Okay. I'd like to ask you, sir, to assume a
18	couple of make a couple of assumptions for purposes
19	of this next question. The first is that the blending
20	percentages are 20 percent market, 80 percent Legacy
21	ESP.
22	I'd also ask you to assume, sir, that the ESP
23	price, which, which forms 80 percent of the overall
24	SSP price (sic), is above the market price.
25	A. Okay.

- Q. With those assumptions in mind, is it your opinion that reducing the market bid price percentage would mitigate price changes?
- A. Well, reducing the market bid component in that situation would increase the overall blended price. You know, you asked about price changes, but I didn't -- in your question I didn't see anything changing.

But, but I will agree that change -- if you reduced the percentage of the blend it would have the -- of the market price under those assumptions it would have the effect of increasing the overall blended price.

- Q. Do you believe that that result, sir, is consistent with what the legislature intended when allowing for the market rate offer?
- A. I'm trying to understand your question. The result of lowering the blend in causing a higher percentage, is that what you're -- a higher overall blended price, is that what you're asking me?
 - Q. Right.
- A. I don't believe they intended that specific outcome, necessarily. Although, you know, within the framework of a 5 to 10-year period that -- you could have a circumstance, I suppose, where that occurred.

But nevertheless, you know, the Commission does not appear to be instructed to lower the percentage in such a situation. It's just required to have a -- no more than a certain percentage. So I don't see any instruction or direction to the Commission to lower it below 20 in that circumstance.

- Q. Do you bel -- is your opinion, Mr. Higgins, that the Ohio Commission has the discretion to adjust the blending percentages when doing so would provide a benefit to electric generation consumers in the form of reduced generation costs?
- A. Yes, consistent with the provisions of Division D of the portion of the revised code that we were talking about, which sets guidance as to the percentages that are allowed.
- Q. So in that regard, sir, it's your opinion that the Commission in the first five years of the market rate offer can adjust the percentages, but no more than 10 percent in year one, 20 percent in year two, 30 percent in year three, 40 percent in year four, and 50 percent in year five, correct?
- A. Yes, with the exception of year one, where I don't believe there appears to be any discretion.
- Q. Okay, that's fair. Mr. Higgins, what is your definition of a robust competitive market for electric

generation service?

A. I would consider a market to be robust if there was not any undue ability for participants to exercise market power in, in that market. And, you know, of course there are, there's a lot of discussion in the literature and in, you know, in the regulatory world about what would constitute market power. Or what tests are necessary to pass market power tests.

But -- or pass muster for a robust market.

But in general it's when you do not have any undo

market power by the participants who are selling into
that market.

- Q. Does a robust competitive market correspond to a particular level of customers being served by alternative suppliers?
- A. I believe that would be a factor. But also a factor is the number of suppliers and their relative concentration of the sales volume.
- Q. Okay. Do you know how many certified retail electric service providers are serving customers in Duke Energy Ohio's service territory?
- A. I don't -- I do not know the count of the different providers.
- Q. You are aware, sir, of the percentage of Duke Energy Ohio's load that has selected alternative

generation suppliers, correct?

A. Yes, I am.

- Q. Do you have an opinion, sir, as to whether Duke Energy Ohio's service territory represents a robust and competitive market for electric generation service?
- A. In my opinion the jury is still out on that. There is certainly -- there has certainly been an opportunity for customers to take alternative service other than the ESP product offered by Duke Energy Ohio.

But it's not clear to me, based on the market concentration in that market, whether or not it would qualify to be considered robust, or robust over the long term.

- Q. Can you help me understand how much more of Duke Energy Ohio's load would need to switch to competitive suppliers before competition would be deemed robust in its territory?
- A. Well, it's not so much a matter of the percentage that have switched, but for example in an evaluation of market power one would look at the fact that 60 percent of the switched customer load appears to be being served by Duke's affiliate company, Duke Energy Retail Sales.

And in most evaluations of electric power market structure, a 60 percent concentration would be considered pretty high for one seller. It could give rise to concerns about market power. So that's not -- that does not mean to say that customers have not had the opportunity to save money by switching. Obviously that is the case.

And so there is a market they can go to. But whether or not that market is providing pricing that would be considered robust or sustainable over the long term, again, would be an open question. I haven't concluded that it's not. But I certainly wouldn't be prepared to say that a market where 60 percent of the, of the market share is in the hands of one company is necessarily robust.

- Q. Do the -- to your knowledge, sir, do the Kroger facilities located in Duke Energy Ohio's service territory take electric generation service from entities other than Duke Energy Ohio?
 - A. Yes, they do.
- Q. Do you know if any of the contracts between those certified retail electric service providers and the Kroger facilities taking service from them in Duke Energy Ohio's territory include a demand component?
 - A. I have not seen the contracts.

- Q. Do you know, Mr. Higgins, whether any certified retail electric service provider active in Duke Energy Ohio's service territory, do you know of any such provider that includes demand charges as part of their offer?
- A. I'm not specifically aware of that. Although
 I am somewhat aware that arrangements between the
 CRE -- a CRES provider and a customer sometimes takes
 the form of a differential relative to the ESP price.

And it would seem to me that implicit in that then is that, to the extent there is such an arrangement in place, that there would -- while there may not be an explicit demand charge in the contract, being tied to a differential off of the ESP price then certainly the price involved would have been derived from demand charges. Since demand charges are part of the ESP price.

- Q. Are you -- aside from the pricing that you just described, sir, the differential to the ESP price, do you know whether these CRES, C-R-E-S, providers use fixed kilowatt hour charges in their offers to commercial and industrial customers?
 - A. I'm not specifically aware.
- Q. So you can't deny that those CRES providers would, in fact, use such an offer based on fixed

1	kilowatt hour charges?
2	A. I
3	MR. YURICK: Objection, asked and answered.
4	But you can go ahead and answer again.
5	THE WITNESS: I have not seen any of the
6	contracts and so I can't deny what you just said.
7	Q. (By Ms. Spiller) I'm sorry, sir. Because
8	you haven't seen any of the contracts you do not know
9	whether any CRES providers use fixed kilowatt hour
10	charges for purposes of developing offers to their
11	customers?
12	A. That's correct.
13	Q. Okay. Would that be true with regard to any
14	Kroger facilities that may be that exist that may
15	be operating in other service territories within Ohio
16	as well?
17	A. I, I've not seen the Kroger contracts in
18	other service areas in Ohio.
19	Q. Okay. Mr. Higgins, do you agree that the
20	market rate offer is to be established through a
21	competitive bidding process?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. And that competitive bidding process, sir,
24	will yield market-based rates, correct?
25	A. Yes.

1 0. And market-based rates do not incorporate 2 demand charges, do they? 3 Not -- well, actually they can. Certainly Α. 4 through the structure that Duke has proposed they, 5 they would not, because the requirements of the 6 proposed bidding arrangement that the Company has 7 filed preclude a demand charge bid. 8 So by design, by definition, this market rate 9 offer would not include a demand charge. 10 certainly there are demand charges in the market. 11 With regard to the rate design proposal that Q. 12 reflects -- or described in your testimony, Duke 13 Energy Ohio would be taking the per-kilowatt-hour rate 14 from suppliers and then converting that to another 15 rate when charging customers, correct? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Q. And in that circumstance, Mr. Higgins, Duke 18 Energy Ohio would be collecting one rate from its 19 customers and yet paying suppliers a different rate, 20 correct? 21 Α. Yes. 22 And sir, in that circumstance some sort of 0. 23 supplier cost reconciliation rider would be necessary

24

25

to ensure that what is charged by suppliers aligns

with that which is paid by customers, correct?

1 I believe that, you know, such a vehicle can Α. 2 accomplish that, yes. 3 Do you believe that such a vehicle should 0. 4 accomplish that? 5 Α. I believe it's not unreasonable for such a vehicle to accomplish that. You know, I believe that, 6 7 you know, depending on the circumstances such a 8 vehicle may or may not be necessary. I didn't testify 9 about such a vehicle, but I don't find one to be 10 unreasonable. 11 Okav. Under the market rate offer as 0. 12 proposed by Duke Energy Ohio and the rate design 13 described in its filing, distribution charges would 14 still have a demand component, correct? 15 Α. Yes. 16 To your knowledge, sir, is The Kroger Company Q. 17 averse to hourly pricing? 18 Α. No. 19 Mr. Higgins, your proposal as reflected in 0. 20 your testimony, your proposal concerns demand charges 21 with an energy-based kilowatt hour credit, correct? 22 Your phone cut out briefly during your 23 question, so could you please ask that again? 24 I'm sorry, I'll restart it. I'd like 0. Okay. 25 to ask you about your proposal of demand charges with

(December 22, 2010 - Kevin Higgins) 1 an energy-based kilowatt hour credit. 2 Α. Yes. uh-huh. Would you agree with me, Mr. Higgins, that 3 Q. 4 under this proposal -- this proposal would unjustly 5 reward high-load-factor customers in the same way that 6 you claim capacity-related charges unjustly charge 7 them? 8 Α. 9 Q. And why not, sir? 10 Α.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- No, I don't agree with that.
- Because under my proposal -- this is my alternative proposal -- there would, there would be an alignment between the demand charges that are currently reflected in Duke's rates as a proxy for going forward capacity costs, which Duke clearly recognizes are included as part of the bid.

The suppliers to -- the winning suppliers from the bidding process are obligated to bring capacity to the table to -- as part of their product. And so they will clearly be embedding the capacity cost in their energy bid.

And my proposal is simply an attempt to reflect capacity costs in the retail price, knowing that capacity costs are embedded in the wholesale bid. And I offer an alternative that simply lines up with the current Duke weighting of capacity costs in its

1 generation rate.

I don't think there's anything unjust about that at all. I think it's reasonable.

- Q. Mr. Higgins, I would ask you, do you have your deposi -- or your testimony still readily available to refer to page 13, please?
 - A. Yes. Yes.
- Q. Line 11, sir? Could you describe for me -- or I guess my question is, what is the windfall benefit that the lower-load-factor customers would receive under Duke Energy Ohio's rate design as proposed?
- A. Well, if you look at Table KCH-1 you can see an illustration of that windfall benefit? Table KCH-1 shows, on a revenue-neutral basis -- that is, abstracting away from any changes in the overall level of generation costs -- what the rate impacts are from the Company's proposed rate design in the Company's proposed year three.

So you can see, for example, for a DP customer with a load factor of 30 percent, that customer would see a rate reduction of about 16 and-a-half percent solely through the change that Duke is proposing in eliminating generation-related demand charges and pricing the bid component strictly on a

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

kilowatt hour basis. Even though the bid component includes a capacity product.

In my view this creates a windfall benefit for the low-load-factor customers, as illustrated in

- With regard to your table, sir, that appears on page 14 of your testimony, what was the source on which you relied in identifying a 30 percent load factor for Duke Energy Ohio's customers on rates TS?
- The -- it was simply for consistency across the different categories. There may not be a 30 percent load factor on Schedule TS, but if there were, this would be the rate that would be shown from

In my testimony, of course, I did not refer to a 30 percent load factor customer on TS. But for consistency across the table I showed all the available -- all the categories that were there.

- Are you aware, Mr. Higgins, of any Duke Q. Energy Ohio customers on rate TS having a 30 percent load factor?
 - Α. As I said, I'm not.
- Okay. Are you aware, Mr. Higgins, of any Q. Duke Energy Ohio customers on rate D, as in "David," 5, having an 80 percent load factor?

- A. I'm not specifically aware of any.
- Q. So for purposes of developing this table, with specific reference to the 80 percent load factor for rate DS, was that simply, as you just described, to compare each of these load factors and each of these rate schedules?
- A. It was to provide a consistent set of benchmarks across the rate schedules. It's not implausible for there to be an 80 percent load factor customer on DS, although it would be on the high end. You know, the -- for example, grocery stores often run as high as an 80 percent load factor. And so it's not an implausible load factor for a DS customer.
- Q. In speaking with Mr. George did he share with you the load factor of the Kroger facilities here in Duke Energy Ohio's service territory?
- A. Mr. George provides me with the data for the Kroger stores. And so I'm generally familiar with the load factors for the Kroger stores.
- Q. And what is the Kroger stores' load factor here in Duke Energy Ohio's service territory?
- A. I -- let me reflect on that for a moment.

 The -- a typical load factor for a Kroger store runs

 between 65 and 80 percent. I can't say for sure

 whether that's the load factor in the Duke Energy Ohio

1	service territory, but that's a typical range.
2	Q. And on what rate schedule are the Kroger
3	stores here in Duke Energy Ohio's service territory?
4	A. In for the most part they're on DP.
5	Q. Continuing, sir, on page 14 of your
6	testimony, lines 8 and 9. You state there that the
7	rate impacts reflected in the table KCH-1 are
8	calculated for total SSO rates, correct?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. You conclude on line 9 that the rate impact
11	for the generation component is considerably larger,
12	correct?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. Mr. Higgins, does this mean that for purposes
15	of your analysis as summarized on Table KCH-1 that you
16	used an all-in rate that included generation,
17	transmission, and distribution?
18	A. Yes. That's what was in the Company's work
19	papers.
20	Q. Okay. Sir, if we may turn to page 18 of your
21	deposition? Line 14, please?
22	A. Of my 18 of my testimony?
23	Q. I'm sorry, of your testimony, yes, sir.
24	A. And page 18, did you say?
25	Q. Page 18, line 14, please?

1	A. Okay.
2	Q. You reference there a rider implemented by
3	the FirstEnergy companies, correct?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. And that rider is GT, correct?
6	A. Well, actually it was, it was for rate
7	schedule it was applicable to rate schedule GT.
8	Q. Okay.
9	A. The rider was included as a part of what I
10	believe was rider EDR, which was the economic
11	development rider that FirstEnergy had.
12	Q. Okay. And that was a rider that was approved
13	under a case filed by the FirstEnergy Distribution
14	Utilities as Case No. 08935, correct?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. Do you know, sir, whether that rider is still
17	in existence?
18	A. To my knowledge, it is not.
19	Let me clarify that. I really should say I
20	don't know.
21	Q. Okay. Do you have an opinion, Mr. Higgins,
22	as to whether the structure of Duke Energy Ohio's
23	rates for commercial (inaudible) industrial customers
24	is appropriate?
25	A. You know, there was some background noise

1	during your question. I think you asked me if I have
2	an opinion of whether the Company's ESP rate design is
3	appropriate?
4	Q. The rate structure for our commercial and
5	industrial customers.
6	A. In my opinion the, the rate structure, the
7	rate design is an appropriate rate design.
8	MS. SPILLER: Thank you. To continue along,
9	we will we are Mr. Higgins, we are I have no
10	further questions at this time. Would certainly offer
11	the opportunity for questioning from other counsel on
12	the phone.
13	And I don't want to put anyone on the spot
14	but I think, you know, we can just start with the list
15	of attendees as they called in. And Rick, I think you
16	were first that I heard join the call this morning.
17	MR. REESE: I have no questions.
18	MS. SPILLER: Doug, do you have any questions
19	for the witness?
20	MR. HART: Nope.
21	MS. SPILLER: David or Mark?
22	MR. KUTIK: This is David Kutik. Yes, I do
23	have questions for the witness.
24	***
25	***

1	EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. KUTIK:
3	Q. Mr. Higgins, you indicated that you're not a
4	lawyer, correct?
5	A. Correct.
6	Q. I'm sorry, I did not hear you.
7	A. Correct.
8	Q. And can you tell me what, in your
9	qualifications or experience, provides you with the
10	expertise to provide an interpretation of a statute
11	for the Commission?
12	MR. YURICK: Other than his understanding of
13	English?
14	THE WITNESS: Well, my testimony in my
15	testimony I do not attempt to draw any legal opinions.
16	I, I have been involved in the formulation of energy
17	policy, either on behalf of the State government or as
18	a party to proceedings for about 27 years.
19	And so it's I'm attempting to comment on
20	what the language appears to say and what the policy
21	implications of that language is.
22	Q. (By Mr. Kutik) Would you agree with me that
23	to discern what the policy is of a statute one has to
24	interpret the statute?
25	MR. YURICK: Objection, he already answered

1	the question.
2	MR. KUTIK: No, he didn't. No, he didn't.
3	And stop coaching him.
4	MR. YURICK: Yeah, he did. Yeah, he did.
5	MR. KUTIK: He did not.
6	MR. YURICK: You can, you can go ahead and
7	answer it again, Kevin.
8	THE WITNESS: I've one has to, one has to
9	bring your judgment and experience to bear in reading
10	the language and inferring what the policy is from it.
11	Q. (By Mr. Kutik) All right. But one has to
12	interpret the statute, in part, to determine what the
13	policy underlying the statute is. Fair to say?
14	A. Well, yeah, you have to interpret the
15	language.
16	Q. Okay. Now, did you have any involvement in
17	the development of SB-221?
18	A. I did not.
19	Q. Did you have any involvement in the
20	development of a statute called "SB-3"?
21	A. I did not.
22	Q. Did you review any legislative history with
23	respect to SB-221?
24	A. I don't believe I had.
25	Q. Would your answer be the same for SB-3?

1	A. Probably. Although SB-3 goes back to 2000,
2	and I was involved in reviewing a number of the
3	applications that were made by Ohio Utilities pursuant
4	to SB-3. So I don't have any
5	Q. Again my question is, did you review any
6	statutory history related to SB-3?
7	A. And I'm saying I can't recall whether I had
8	or not back in 2000.
9	Q. Okay. Now, with respect to what you did to
.0	prepare for this testimony would it be fair to say
1	that in developing your interpretations of the statute
.2	you relied, at least in some part, on what you were
L3	advised by counsel?
.4	A. In some part. I, I actually, you know, did
.5	my own reading and discussed the issues with counsel.
6	Q. I'm sorry? Could you repeat what you said?
.7	A. Sure. I did my own reading and research and
8.	discussed the issues with counsel.
ا 19	Q. Okay. Would it be fair to say, them, that
20	your interpretation of the statute was confirmed to
21	you by counsel?
22	MR. YURICK: Objection. You don't answer
23	that, that's privileged.
24	Q. (By Mr. Kutik) Can you answer that, sir?
25	MR. YURICK: No, he can't.

1	Don't answer it.
2	MR. KUTIK: Okay.
3	Q. (By Mr. Kutik) Can you explain to me, sir,
4	what role the interpretation of counsel played in your
5	interpretation and testimony in this case?
6	MR. YURICK: Same objection, but you can
7	answer it if you can without disclosing any
8	conversations that you and I have had.
9	THE WITNESS: Well, that's in that's a bit
10	of a challenge to do.
11	MR. YURICK: Well, if you can't do it, don't
12	do it.
13	THE WITNESS: I can say that I, I haven't
14	provided any testimony that, to my knowledge, is
15	contradicted by anything counsel has discussed with
16	me.
17	Q. (By Mr. Kutik) That's not my question. My
18	question is, can you describe for me what role the
19	interpretation of counsel played in developing your
20	testimony?
21	MR. YURICK: There's, there's an objection.
22	And I think you can answer it to the extent that you
23	don't disclose any conversation that has passed
24	between you and I.
25	THE WITNESS: It I would say that, that

1	counsel did not really play much of a role, if any, in
2	developing my testimony.
3	Q. (By Mr. Kutik) Okay. Did it play much of a
4	role in developing your thinking as to what the
5	interpretation of the statute was?
6	MR. YURICK: Objection. You can go ahead and
7	answer. Same, same thing. If you can answer the
8	question without divulging conversations between you
9	and I, you can answer it.
10	THE WITNESS: The answer is no.
11	Q. (By Mr. Kutik) So you can't tell me what
12	role counsel counsel's interpretation played in
13	your the development of your interpretation
14	A. I'm not I didn't hear that to be your
15	question.
16	Q. All right. Can you tell me what role the
17	interpretation of counsel played in your
18	interpretation of the statute?
19	MR. YURICK: Objection. You can go ahead and
20	answer to the extent that it doesn't involve
21	disclosing conversations that you and I have had.
22	THE WITNESS: I would say that strictly
23	speaking, the answer is none.
24	Q. (By Mr. Kutik) Okay. So you developed your
25	interpretation of the statute independent of anything

1	that your counsel told you. Fair to say?
2	A. I would say that's, that's fair to say. You
3	know, you're asking me to parse my thought process
4	separate and apart from any actual conversations I may
5	have had. But I would say that it's in my view
6	the I arrived at my own conclusions.
7	Q. Okay. Now, for this case did you prepare any
8	study or analysis of wholesale power prices in the
9	P in PJM?
10	A. No.
11	Q. Did you prepare any study or analysis of
12	wholesale prices within MISO?
13	A. No.
14	Q. For purposes of this case did you review any
15	study or analysis of wholesale power prices in PJM?
16	A. Not for purposes of this study, no. Not for
17	purposes of this testimony.
18	Q. Have you seen such studies or analysis?
19	A. Over the years I have, yes, uh-huh.
20	Q. Okay. Would your answers be the same with
21	respect to wholesale prices in MISO?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. In other words you haven't seen them for
24	purposes of this case, but you've seen them generally
25	over the years?

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Have you reviewed the testimony submitted by
3	Duke of Mr. Rose in this case?
4	A. You know, the answer is no. I attempted to,
5	but the file was corrupt. So
6	Q. I'm sorry, could you state that again? You
7	said the transmission here was corrupt.
8	A. Okay. The I attempted to review
9	Mr. Rose's testimony, but the file was corrupt and I
10	couldn't access it. And I, I didn't, I didn't feel it
11	was necessary for purposes of my testimony, but I did
12	not have an opportunity to read his testimony.
13	Q. All right. So the answer to my question is
14	that you have not reviewed Mr. Rose's testimony?
15	A. Correct.
16	Q. So would it be fair to say at the present
17	time you have no basis to dispute Mr. Rose's
18	testimony?
19	A. That is correct.
20	MR. YURICK: Objection. You can go ahead and
21	answer.
22	THE WITNESS: That is correct.
23	Q. (By Mr. Kutik) Have you done or seen any
24	study of Duke's riders or cost recovery mechanisms for
25	the recovery of fuel costs?

1	A. Well, I mean, I'm generally familiar with
2	them.
3	Q. Okay. And so you reviewed those riders and
4	the level of those riders?
5	A. I'm familiar with the, for example the, the
6	pattern. The fact that the recovery has been somewhat
7	volatile.
8	Q. Okay. Are you familiar enough to know what
9	the level of those riders is?
10	A. I, I do not recall at this moment.
11	Q. Okay. Have you reviewed the riders for the
12	cost recovery mechanisms relating to recovering costs
13	for purchased power, again for Duke?
14	A. Not, not to my, you know, recent
15	recollection. I mean, I say that because it's, you
16	know, from time to time I will review the various rate
17	impacts on Kroger from for different utilities, and
18	so that would include all the various riders.
19	But I haven't I don't recall specifically
20	looking at the purchased power rider in preparing for
21	this case, for example.
22	Q. Okay. So since you can't recall it, you
23	couldn't provide any description of the variability of
24	the that rider or the level of that rider?
25	A. No.

1	Q. What I said was correct?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Okay. Have you reviewed any rider that Duke
4	has or any cost recovery mechanism for the recovery of
5	costs relating to supply and demand resource portfolio
6	compliance?
7	A. Not, not specifically in preparing for this
8	case.
9	Q. Would it be fair to say that you could not
10	comment on the variability or level of such riders or
11	cost recovery mechanisms?
12	A. No.
13	Q. It would be fair to say that?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. And have you reviewed Duke's riders or cost
16	recovery mechanisms, with respect to the recovery of
17	environmental costs, sufficient to be able to tell me
18	what the variability of that rider or cost recovery
19	mechanism is?
20	A. No.
21	Q. Is it your understanding in this case that
22	Duke is proposing to transfer some of its generation
23	assets to an affiliate?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. Is that transfer an issue that is up for the

1	Commission's approval in this case, to your
2	understanding?
3	A. To my understanding, it is not.
4	Q. I want to refer you to the language of Ohio
5	Revised Code 4928.142(E), as in "Edward," which part
6	of which appears on page 7 of your prepared testimony.
7	A. Okay.
8	Q. Are you there, sir? Are you there, sir?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. On line 17 of your of that page of your
11	testimony there is a phrase "notwithstanding any other
12	requirement of this section." Do you see that?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. What does that mean?
15	A. It means it's not contingent on any other
16	section or any other division in the
17	Q. So that so when it talks about any other
18	requirements of this section
19	A. Uh-huh.
20	Q that would include Division D, as in
21	"David"?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. In the same Division of Section 142 excuse
24	me, Section 4928.142 there is a phrase starting on
25	line 18 of vour testimony which discusses:

1	"to mitigate any effect of an
2	abrupt change abrupt or significant
3	change in the electric distribution
4	utility's standard service offer
5	price"
6	Do you see that?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. What does "any" mean in that phrase?
9	MR. YURICK: Objection (inaudible.)
10	THE REPORTER: I'm sorry?
11	MR. YURICK: I said objection, but he can
12	answer.
13	THE WITNESS: Well, "any" typically means
14	or in that phrase would refer to an effect that would,
15	um. From it would be an effect from any possible
16	change. I guess I'm using the word in the definition.
17	It's kind of a tough word to define since it's so
18	basic, but.
19	Q. (By Mr. Kutik) Well
20	A. Not, you know, I guess "any" would mean, you
21	know, not precluding other not, not precluding
22	anything so, so long as it comports to the, the
23	requirements in the rest of the sentence.
24	Q. Okay. Well, would you agree with me that
25	"any" there could include all effects of an abrupt or

1	significant change?
2	A. Potentially, yes, uh-huh.
3	Q. That wouldn't be unreasonable to read it that
4	way, correct?
5	A. I would say probably not.
6	Q. That it probably would not be unreasonable?
7	A. Correct.
8	Q. Okay. Do you read this phrase well, let
9	me just let me back up.
10	With respect to what you have quoted here as
11	part of Section 4928.142(E) on page 7 of your
12	testimony, do you believe that that was written in
13	part to protect the Company?
14	A. No, I think it was written to protect, to
15	protect customers.
16	Q. Okay. So that's the sole basis of the change
17	that should be mitigated, would be for the protection
18	of customers?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Now, I want to talk with you about the phrase
21	"Beginning in the second year" in Division (D) of
22	Section 4928.142(E.) Do you read that to mean that
23	the Commission could only begin to consider potential
24	changes to the proportions outlined in Division (D)
25	starting the second year? In other words, they can

1	only start their consideration in the second year?
2	A. Well, it, it specifically says that beginning
3	in the second year is when they can take action.
4	The so I suppose it would be a matter of
5	interpretation to say whether they could consider it
6	prior to the, prior to the period they can take
7	action.
8	Q. So one possible interpretation of this
9	statute, and particularly phrase, might mean that the
ιo	Commission could consider a change before the
L1	beginning of the second year, but you could only
L2	effect that change sometime after the beginning of the
L3	second year?
L4	A. Potentially, yes.
L5	Q. Now, in your testimony you provide some
16	commentary about your view as to what the phrase "not
L7	more than" modifies in Division (D) of
18	Section 4928.142, correct?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. And you have or you quote part of that
21	division on page 9 of your testimony at line 8,
22	correct?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Would you agree with me that if the general
25	assembly wanted to be clear or clearer in adopting

1	your view of what "not more than" modifies, the
2	general assembly would have included the word "and,"
3	a-n-d, before the phrase "not more than"?
4	A. I'm thinking. I would agree that if they had
5	inserted the word "and" before that it would
6	potentially provide more clarity.
7	Q. Okay. Now, you believe that and I'm now
8	referring to page 8 of your testimony that there is
9	an underlying public policy of gradual transformation
10	to market pricing for SSO generation service, correct?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. And would you, would you agree with me that
13	your basis for believing that the general assembly has
14	articulated that public policy is in Section or
15	Revised Code Section 4928.142(E) and (D)?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. You also say there is a goal of conservative
18	migration to full MRO pricing, correct?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. And would you is it your testimony or your
21	belief that that goal has been expressed
22	SPEAKER UNKNOWN: Now entering.
23	Q. (By Mr. Kutik) by the general assembly
24	MS. TURKENTON: Tammy Turkenton.
25	Q. (By Mr. Kutik) by the general assembly in

Section 140 -- 1 -- Section 4928.142(D) and (E)? 1 I believe it's embodied in that, yes. 2 Α. 3 Okay. Well, with respect to those -- the two Q. 4 goals that -- or the two policies that you've 5 articulated that we've just talked about in the last 6 few questions, can you point me to any other statute 7 in Ohio that embodies either of those policies? 8 Α. Not off the top of my head. 9 Do you believe that there are such statutes? 0. 10 MR. YURICK: Objection. Go ahead and answer 11 if you can. THE WITNESS: Well, you know, it wouldn't 12 13 surprise me if there were, in that, that that would 14 show consistency with the two divisions we just 15 discussed. (By Mr. Kutik) All right. But I guess what 16 0. 17 I'm saying is you say you can't recall anything off the top of your head. My question to you is, do you 18 19 believe that there are other statutes which support the embodiment or the articulation of either of those 20 21 policies? 22 Α. I'm not specifically aware of any. 23 Q. Now, when we're talking about conservative 24 migration can you define that for us, please? 25 Α. Yes. By "conservative migration" I mean one

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Well, I, I don't have a specific number such as that, but I don't know that a number like that would be unreasonable.
- Q. Okay. Well, would you think that a 50 percent increase in the market-based component of the SSO price would constitute conservative migration?
- Α. I don't think it would, no. Well, let me, and let me go back to your prior question, if I may? I think I misunderstood your question. I thought you were asking about the price change itself.

But if you were asking me about the, the change in the proportion of the market-based component then I do believe that, you know, moving 10 percent at

1	a time would constitute a conservative migration.
2	Q. I'm sorry, I didn't hear the last portion of
3	your answer. So could either the Court reporter 📲
4	well let me just have the court reporter read it,
5	please.
6	THE REPORTER: One moment.
7	MR. KUTIK: (Inaudible.)
8	THE REPORTER: What?
9	MR. KUTIK: Could I could we have the
10	court reporter read the entire answer. And when you
11	read it could you come close to the phone? Because
12	I'm really having trouble hearing the witness.
13	THE REPORTER: Okay.
14	(The previous answer was read back as
15	follows:
16	"I don't think it would, no. Well,
17	let me go back to your prior question,
18	if I may? I think I misunderstood your
19	question. I thought you were asking
20	about the price change itself.
21	"But if you were asking me about the
22	change in the proportion of the
23	market-based component then I do believe
24	that, you know, moving 10 percent at a
2.5	time would constitute a conservative

migration.")

^

1

Q. (By Mr. Kutik) So Mr. Higgins, would an increase that is greater than 10 percent in the market -- in the allocation or proportion of market -- of the market-based component of the SSO price be inconsistent with conservative migration as you've defined that term?

A. There could be circumstances when an increase of 10 percent -- more than 10 percent was warranted. I think it would, you know, depend on what assessment the Commission makes of the, of the market in Ohio. Or in -- specifically in Duke's Energy Ohio's service territory.

I think that the 10 percent number at least for the first five years provides some guidance as to what a, a conservative migration would look like. But it wouldn't preclude in any particular year making it more than 10 percent.

- Q. Well, again, would you believe that an increase in the component -- the market-based component that is greater than 10 percent on an annual basis would be inconsistent with conservative migration?
 - A. Not necessarily.
 - Q. Now, you've talked on page 9 of your

1	testimony oh, excuse me, on page 12 of your
2	testimony about and I'm particularly looking now at
3	line 9, about:
4	"Allowing the full blending period
5	to occur will allow the Commission to
6	monitor this retail market development."
7	Do you see that?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. So one of is it your opinion that one of
ιo	the things that the Commission needs to take into
۱1	account is the retail market development in the Duke
12	Energy Ohio service territory?
13	A. I believe that it would be a reasonable
14	factor for them to take into account, yes.
15	Q. All right. And would it be fair to say that
16	you have done no market analysis with respect to that
17	retail market in terms of determining how competitive
18	that market is?
19	A. I haven't done any in-depth analysis.
20	I've I'm familiar with the market share, for
21	example, that Duke Energy Retail Sales apparently has.
22	And it is on the high side when it comes to
23	assessments of potential market power. How
24	Q. But did I understand your prior testimony in
25	response to Ms. Spiller's question

1 Α. Yes. 2 0. -- that you are not prepared to say at this 3 time whether the retail market in the Duke Energy Ohio 4 service territory is competitive or not? 5 Α. That is -- that's correct, yes. Or whether 6 it would pass the test of robustness is what I believe 7 I said. 8 Q. All right. 9 Α. And I -- and that's true. 10 0. And would it also be in terms of whether the 11 market is a competitive market? In other words, 12 you're not prepared to make a determination at this 13 time as to whether it is or not? 14 Α. Correct. I mean, when I say -- when we say 15 "competitive market" we mean a -- I think implicit in 16 I would that is a robust competitive market. 17 certainly agree that there are opportunities or -apparently ample opportunities for customers to shop 18 19 from a CRES supplier. 20 However, whether or not the market would 21 constitute a robust competitive market is an open 22 question. In my mind. Let me refer you to page 11 of your 23 Q. 24 testimony. And the sentence that begins on line 17, 25 where you say:

1	"In such a scenario, it is
2	particularly important for customers to
3	be able to access a robust competitive
4	market themselves; to that end, the
5	blending period is important to ensure
6	that such a robust market materializes."
7	Now, the market that you're referring to
8	there is what?
9	A. It's the retail competitive market.
10	Q. Did you say it could be, or it is?
11	A. It is the retail competitive market, That I
12	am referring to in my testimony.
13	Q. And is it, is it your testimony that whether
14	a this market in Duke's territory is robust is a
15	question that includes an analysis of the presence or
16	absence of market power?
17	A. Ultimately I believe the answer to that is
18	yes.
19	Q. And you have not done that analysis, correct?
20	A. I have not done that analysis beyond the
21	commentary that I have offered.
22	Q. Why is it important for customers to have
23	access to a robust competitive market?
24	A. Well, it, it appears to me to be consistent
25	with the general thrust of a policy in which prices

would be set through a market process. And so to me
it seems to be a, an important component of the
overall policy that is established with a market rate
offer.

That there's a, an option that the electric
distribution company offers, but that customers also
have the ability to access the market themselves. It

distribution company offers, but that customers also have the ability to access the market themselves. It appears to be part of a -- both appear to be components of the overall policy goal in mind with this legislation.

- Q. Do customers benefit if they have access to a robust competitive market?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Do you have any reason to believe that Duke is exercising market power in its service territory with respect to the retail market?
- A. I have -- I do not have any -- I don't -- I do not have an opinion on that at this point:
- Q. All right. Do you have any reason to believe that any supplier or entity at all is exercising market power in Duke's service territory with regard to the retail market?
 - A. I, I do not have an opinion about that.
- Q. You -- in answer to Ms. Spiller's questions you indicated that you were familiar with the

1	circumstances under which Kroger takes generation
2	service in Duke's territory, correct?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. And is it the case that Duke that, excuse
5	me, that Kroger is receiving all of its generation
6	service from CRES suppliers? Or providers?
7	A. To my knowledge. I, I don't know about all.
8	But certainly I do I am aware that Kroger is
9	purchasing a substantial amount, if not all of its
10	power in that service territory, from a CRES supplier.
11	Q. Do you know whether Kroger is receiving
12	generation service from more than one CRES provider in
13	Duke's territory?
14	A. To my knowledge, they are not.
15	Q. Do you know who that provider is?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Who is it?
18	A. Duke Energy Retail Sales.
19	Q. Do you know the term or length of any of the
20	contracts that Kroger has for that service with Duke
21	Retail?
22	A. I believe they extend until the end of 2011.
23	MR. KUTIK: Let me have one moment, please.
24	(Pause.)
25	MR. KUTIK: I have no further questions at
	65

(December 22, 2010 - Kevin Higgins)

1	this time. Thank you, Mr. Higgins.			
2	THE WITNESS: Thank you.			
3	MS. SPILLER: Rick, did you have any this			
4	is Amy Spiller. Rick, did you have any questions?			
5	MR. CHAMBERLAIN: No, I don't.			
6	MS. SPILLER: Steve, certainly wanted to			
7	extend the opportunity to you as well. Any questions?			
8	MR. BEELER: No questions.			
9	MS. SPILLER: And Kelly, for purposes of the			
10	record, Tammy Turkenton, also with the Ohio Public			
11	Utilities Commission of Ohio, joined the deposition			
12	while in progress.			
13	(A discussion was held off the record.)			
14	MS. SPILLER: And I don't have any other			
15	questions for the witness.			
16	MR. YURICK: We'll need this is Mark			
17	Yurick. We'll need signature on that.			
18	(The deposition was concluded at 11:28 a.m.)			
19	(Read and sign was requested by counsel for			
20	the witness.)			
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

1	<u>CERTIFICATE</u>
2	STATE OF
3	STATE OF > ss.
4	I HERERY CERTIES that I have read the foregoing
5	66, inclusive, and the same is a true and correct
6	testimony consisting of 62 pages, numbered from 5 to 66, inclusive, and the same is a true and correct transcription of said testimony, with the exception of the corrections I have listed below in ink, giving my
7	reasons therefor.
8	1. Page Line Correction
9	Reason
10	3. Page Line Correction
_ •	4. Page Line Correction
11	5. Page Line Correction
12	Reason
13	Reason
14	Reason 8. Page Line Correction Reason
15	Reason 9. Page Line Correction Reason
16	Reason Correction
17	Reason 10. Page Line Correction Reason
18	I II. I III. III. III. IIII. IIII IIII
19	Reason 12. Page Line Correction Reason
20	
21	KEVIN HIGGINS
22	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to at, 2010.
23	
24	NOTARY PUBLIC
25	
	·
	67

1	<u>CERTIFICATE</u>
2	STATE OF UTAH)
3	COUNTY OF SALT LAKE)
4	
5	This is to certify that the deposition of KEVIN HIGGINS was taken before me, KELLY L. WILBURN, a
6	Certified Shorthand Reporter and Registered Professional Reporter in and for the State of Utah, at
7	the time and place set forth herein;
8	That the said witness was duly sworm by me to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the
9	tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth; that the testimony of said witness was reported by me in stenotype and thereafter caused by me to be
10	transcribed into typewriting; that a full, true, and correct transcription of said testimony is set forth
11	in the foregoing pages, inclusive, and said witness deposed and said as in the foregoing annexed
12	deposition.
13	I further certify that I am not of kin or otherwise associated with any of the parties to said
14	cause of action, and that I am not interested in the event thereof.
15	SIGNED ON THIS 26th DAY OF December, 2010.
16	
17	Kelly L. Wilburn, CSR, RPR Utah CSR No. 109582-7801
18	ULAN CSK NO. 109362-7601
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	co

0	4	35:2, 35:4, 35:6 account 4 - 18:8,	analyze (1) - 21:17 AND (1) - 56:3	associated (1) - 7:18
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		58:1, 61:11, 61:14	and-a (1) - 37:22	assume [2] - 26:17.
08920 [3] ~ 7:12,	49 [1] - 28:20		, ,	
8:14, 8:19	4928.142 [2] - 52:24,	act (1) - 7:3	annual (2) - 58:10,	26:22
08935 [1] - 41:14	55:18	action (2) - 55:3,	60:21	assumptions (3) -
44540 [1] - 41.14	1	55:7	answer [31] - 10:22,	26:18, 27:1, 27:11
4	4928.142(D[1] - 57:1	active [1] - 32:2	12:7, 12:9, 16:6,	assurance [1] -
1	4928.142(E [5] -	actual (1) - 48:4	17:24, 19:19, 19:21,	15:11
	20:3, 52:5, 54:11,	addi (1) - 17:10	33:4, 44:7, 44:25,	attempt [2] - 36:21,
1 m - 57:1	54:22, 56:15	additional (1) - 17:10	45:22, 45:24, 46:1,	43:15
10[11] - 19:1, 28:19.		address [6] - 5:23,	46:7, 46:22, 47:7,	attempted (3) -
58:10, 58:25, 59:24,	5	5:24, 10:21, 11:14,	47:9, 47:10, 47:20,	21:12, 49:4, 49:8
60:3, 60:9, 60:14.		12:2, 13:7	47:23, 49:4, 49:13,	attempting (1) -
		addressed (a) - 11:3,	49:21, 53:12, 57:10,	43:19
60:18, 60:21	5[1] - 27:24	11:18	59:3, 59:10, 59:14,	attendess rn - 42:15
10-year [1] - 27:24	50 [2] - 28:21, 58:17	adjust p; - 25:6,	63:17, 64:24	attorney m - 14:5
11 (2) - 37:8, 6 2:23			answered (2) - 33:3.	
11:28 [1] - 66:18	6	28:8, 28:18		available [4] - 12:20,
12 [1] - 61:1		adjuster (1) - 24:7	43:25	15:1, 37:6, 38:18
13 [2] - 6:7, 37:6		adjustnænts (1) -	answers (1) - 48:20	averse (i) - 35:17
14 [4] - 38:7, 40:5,	60 [3] - 30:23, 31:2,	23:22	apart [1] - 48:4	aware [11] - 16:10,
40:21, 40:25	31:14	adopted (1) - 7:3	appear (2) - 28:2,	26:5, 29:24, 32:6,
140 m - 57:1	65 [1] - 39:24	adopting (1) - 55:25	64:8	32:7, 32:23, 38:19,
142 [1] - 52:23		adoption [1] - 16:19	appeared [1] - 20:5	38:23, 39:1, 57:22,
15th (1) - 8:10	8	advised [1] - 45:13	applicable [1] - 41:7	65:8
• •		affiliate (2) - 30:24.	application (3) -	awareness (1) - 26:8
16 [3] - 20:1, 20:13,		51:23	6:20, 8:9, 9:3	
37:22	8 [2] - 40:6, 55:21	afternoon [1] - 23:8	applications [1] -	В
17 [z] - 52:10, 62:24	80 [7] - 26:20, 26:23,	I	45:3	
18 [5] - 40:20, 40:22,	38:25, 39:3, 39:9,	agree (13) - 18:18,	appropriate [3] -	
40:24, 40:25, 52:25	39:12, 39:24	20:11, 23:8, 27:9,	41:24, 42:3, 42:7	background [1] -
19 [1] - 21:24	84111 [1] - 5:25	33:19, 36:3, 36:8,	1 -	41:25
······································]	43:22, 53:24, 55:24,	approval [2] - 9:4,	base [1] - 14:12
2	9	56:4, 56:12, 62:17	52:1	based [18] - 16:16,
		ahead [10] - 12:9,	approved (4 - 7:10,	16:19, 20:23, 23:16,
		16:6, 17:24, 19:19,	13:19, 14:1, 41:12	24:8, 24:9, 30:12,
20 [5] - 21:24, 26:15,	9 (3) - 40:6, 40:10.	33:4, 44:6, 47:6,	areas (4) - 10:22,	32:25, 33:24, 34:1,
26:20, 28:6, 28:19	61:3	47:19, 49:20, 57:10	10:24, 11:2, 33:18	
200 [1] - 5 :25	9:45 [1] - 5:1	alignment (1) - 36:12	arrangement [2] -	35:21, 36:1, 58:9,
2000 [4] - 16:17,	1	aligns (1) - 34:24	32:12, 34:6	58:17, 58:24, 59:23,
16:24, 45:1, 45:8	A	all-in [1] - 40:16	arrangements (1) -	60:5, 60:20
2001 [1] - 16:11		allocation (1) - 60:4	32:7	basic[1] - 53:18
2010 [4] - 5:1, 6:7.		allow [2] - 15:10,	arrived m - 48:6	basis (8) - 14:20,
6:25, 16:25	a.m [1] - 66:18	61:5	articulated (2) -	37:15, 38:1, 49:17,
2011 [1] - 65:22	AM[1] - 5:1	I -	56:14, 57:5	54:16, 56:13, 58:10,
	ability(3) - 13:25.	aliowed (2) - 15:24,	articulation (1) -	60:22
215 (1) - 5:24	29:3, 64:7	28:15	57:20	bear[2] + 24:16, 44:9
21st [1] - 8:8	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	allowing [1] - 27:16		SEELER (1) - 68:8
22 [1] - 5:1	able [2] - 51:17, 63:3	Allowing [1] - 61:4	aside [1] - 32:18	begin m - 54:23
221 (5) - 7:3, 8:12,	abrupt [16] - 20:18,	alter(3) - 20:14,	Aside [2] - 9:7, 10:12	beginning (e) - 19:8,
16:3, 16:20, 17:4	20:21, 21:2, 21:5,	25:11, 25:12	aspects (1) - 11:8	20:1, 20:12, 55:2,
27 [1] - 43:18	21:13, 21:21, 24:2,	alternative (s) -	assembly (a) - 55:25,	1 ' ' '
28 [1] - 6:25	24:6, 24:9, 24:14,	29:15, 29:25, 30:9,	56:2, 56:13, 56:23,	55:11, 55:12
	24:19, 24:24, 25:13,	36:11, 36:24	56:25	Beginning (1) -
3	53:2, 53:25	Amended (5) - 6:6,	889835 ment (1) •	54:21
<u></u>	absence (1) - 63:16	7:2, 8:11, 16:3, 16:19	60:10	begins (1) - 82:24
	abstracting (1) -	amount [1] - 65:9	assessments [1] -	behelf(3) - 9:2,
3 [1] - 16:4	37:16		61:23	11:23, 43:17
30 (6) - 28:20, 37:21,	accelerate [3] - 17:5,	ample [1] - 62:18		bel (1) - 28:7
38:8, 38:12, 38:16,		Amy [2] - 5:10, 66:4	assets (1) - 51:23	belief(1) - 56:21
38:20	17:8, 18:3	analysis (11) - 7:17,	assist (1) - 10:20	believes [1] - 19:11
	access [5] - 49:10,	40:15, 48:8, 48:11,	assistant (i) - 14:18	balow [2] - 18:23,
	63:3, 63:23, 64:7,	48:15, 48:18, 61:16,	essisted [1] - 10:9	28:6
	64:11	61:19, 63:15, 63:19,	associate [2] - 9:15,	benchmarks [1] -
	I AAAAMMAIIAH M	1 44 44	1 46 6	: 475 [4] [4] [5] [5] [6]
	accomplish [३] -	63:20	10:8	39:8

case (22) - 8:16,

8:20, 9:1, 9:8, 9:22,

10:14, 13:18, 18:9,

18:13, 24:13, 31:7,

41:13, 46:5, 48:7,

48:14, 48:24, 49:3,

50:21, 51:8, 51:21,

categories [2] -

causing (1) - 27:18

certain [3] - 20:16,

certainly (12) - 18:2,

21:15, 25:22, 30:8,

31:12, 32:15, 34:10,

42:10, 62:17, 65:8,

52:1, 65:4

38:11, 38:18

28:4, 58:4

benefit |5| - 28:10, 37:10, 37:14, 38:3, 64:11 best (1) - 5:19 better[1] - 10:25 between [6] - 31:21, 32:7, 36:12, 39:24, 46:24, 47:8 beyond (1) - 63:20 bld [14] - 22:3, 22:17, 25:1, 25:7, 25:17 26:15, 27:2, 27:4 34:7, 36:15, 36:20, 36:23, 37:25, 38:1 bidding [7] - 12:24, 13:5, 13:10, 33:21, 33:23, 34:6, 36:17 Bill [6] - 7:3, 8:12, 16:3, 16:4, 16:20, 17:4 bit(5) - 5:14, 11:13, 12:16, 15:21, 46:9 blend [5] - 20:14, 25:6, 25:18, 27:10. 27:18 blended [3] - 27:5, 27:13, 27:20 blending [9] - 18:19, 18:20, 22:14, 23:15, 26:1, 26:19, 28:9. 61:4, 63:5 briefly (1) - 35:22 bright [2] - 21:13, 24:13 bring [3] - 6:13, 36:17, 44:9 **broke** (1) - 11:13 brought[16] - 6:14, 6:17, 6:19, 6:20, 7:2, 7:6, 7:7, 7:15, 7:19, 7:21, 7:23, 7:24, 8:1, 8:4, 24:16 **business** [3] - 5:23, 5:24, 8:11 Business (1) - 6:25 **BY**[2] - 5:9, 43:2

C

calculated [1] - 40:8 cannot [8] - 17:15, 19:9, 24:2 capacity [8] - 36:6, 36:14, 36:18, 36:19, 36:22, 36:23, 36:25, 38:2 capacity-related [1] -36:6 career [1] - 11:8

Case [4] - 7:12, 8:14,

8:19, 41:14

66:6 Certainly [2] - 10:20, 34:3 certified [3] - 29:19, 31:22, 32:2 challenge (1) - 46:10 CHAMBERLAIN (1) change (29) - 18:19, 20:18, 20:21, 20:25, 21:8, 21:13, 21:14, 21:21, 23:15, 23:18, 24:6, 24:7, 24:9, 24:15, 24:18, 24:24, 27:9, 37:23, 53:2, 53:3, 53:16, 54:1, 54:16, 55:10, 55:12, 58:22, 58:24, 59:20, 59:22 changes [12] - 15:9, 23:19, 23:25, 24:3, 25:1, 25:13, 25:18, 25:24, 27:3, 27:6, 37:16, 54:24 changing [1] - 27:8 characterizes [1] -12:10 charge [4] - 32:13, 34:7, 34:9, 36:6 charged [8] - 22:11, 24:3, 24:20, 24:25, 25:25, 34:24 charges [14] - 32:4. 32:16, 32:21, 33:1, 33:10, 34:2, 34:10, 35:13, 35:20, 35:25, 36:6, 36:12, 37:25 charging (1) - 34:15 Charles River Associates [1] - 13:14 check[1] - 6:13 circumstance (4) -27:25, 28:6, 34:17, 34.22

circumstances (7) -20:17, 20:23, 21:11, 24:12, 35:7, 60:8, 65.1 citation [1] - 6:22 cited m - 6:21 claim [1] - 36:6 clarify [1] - 41:19 clarity |2| - 23:7, 56.6 clear (2) - 30:12, clearer [1] - 55:25 clearly (3) - 5:17, 36:14, 36:19 client [1] - 10:20 close [1] - 59:11 coaching [1] - 44:3 Code (s) - 20:3, 52:5, 56:15 code [2] - 21:6, 28:13 cognisant (1) - 18:15 collecting [1] - 34:18 combination [1] -15:8 coming (1) - 25:17 comment [2] - 43:19, 51:10 commentary (2) -55:16, 63:21 commercial py -32:22, 41:23, 42:4 Commission [23] -13:20, 17:20, 18:3, 18:14, 18:19, 19:9, 20:14, 21:3, 21:19, 22:8, 25:5, 25:11, 28:1, 28:6, 28:8, 28:17, 43:11, 54:23, 55:10, 60:11, 61:5, 61:10, 66:11 Commission's [1] companies [2] -15:2, 41:3 company pj - 30:24, 31:15, 64:6 Company [3] -12:22, 34:6, 54:13 Company's [9] -7:19, 20:18, 22:4, 22:24, 23:2, 37:18, 40:18, 42:2 compare [1] - 39:5 compares [1] - 26:6 competition [1] -30:18 competitive (28) -12:24, 12:25, 13:4,

16:14, 17:1, 17:6, 17:8, 22:3, 28:25, 29:13, 30:5, 30:18, 33:21, 33:23, 61:17, 62:4, 62:11, 62:15, 62:16, 62:21, 63:3, 63:9, 63:11, 63:23, 64:12 compliance [1] -51:6 component [21] -16:1, 22:20, 22:22, 23:2, 23:8, 24:10, 26:15, 27:4, 31:24, 35:14, 37:25, 38:1, 40:11, 58:9, 58:17, 58:24, 59:23, 60:5, 60:20, 60:21, 64:2 components [1] -64:9 comports [1] - 53:22 computer [1] - 8:1 conceive [1] - 25:23 concentration (3) -29:18, 30:13, 31:2 concerns [2] - 31:4, 35.20 conclude (1) - 40:10 concluded (2) -31:12, 66:18 concluding (1) - 14:9 conclusion (1) -17:23 conclusions [2] -21:16, 48:6 confirmed (1) -45:20 connection (2) -5:11, 9:2 consequences [1] -58:2 conservation [1] -14:23 conservative (12) -56:17, 57:23, 57:25, 58:3, 58:8, 58:11, 58:18, 59:1, 59:25, 60:6, 60:16, 60:22 consider [4] - 29:2, 54:23, 55:5, 55:10 considerably [1] -40:11 consideration [1] -55:1 considered [3] -30:14, 31:3, 31:10 consistency 31 -38:10, 38:17, 57:14 consistent [4] -27:15, 28:12, 39:7, 63:24

constitute [6] -21:13, 29:7, 58:18, 59:1, 59:25, 62:21 constitutes (1) -21:10 consultation [1] -19:18 consulted [1] - 19:13 consulting (1) -10:18 CONSUMERS [1] -28:10 contained [1] - 21:24 **contend** [1] - 20:9 conterit [1] - 19:17 contingent [1] -52-15 continue (1) - 42:8 Continuing in - 40:5 contract (1) - 32:13 contracts (6) - 31:21, 31:25, 33:6, 33:8, 33:17, 65:20 contradicted [1] -46:15 conversation [1] -46:23 conversations (4) -46:8, 47:8, 47:21, 48:4 converting [1] -34:14 copy (sj - 7:8, 7:21, 8:4, 8:13, 16:3 correct [56] - 9:22, 9:23, 11:17, 11:24, 11:25, 12:3, 12:4, 12:25, 13:20, 13:22, 14:1, 14:2, 17:15, 18:6, 18:21, 20:3, 22:11, 22:15, 22:18, 22:25, 23:1, 23:5, 23:12, 23:20, 23:21, 23:23, 24:21, 25:2, 26:15, 28:21, 30:1, 33:12, 33:24, 34:15, 34:20, 34:25, 35:14, 35:21, 40:8, 40:12, 41:3, 41:5, 41:14, 43:4, 49:19, 49:22, 51:1, 54:4, 55:18, 55:22, 56:10, 56:18, 62:5, 63:19, 65:2 Correct [9] - 7:13, 22:12, 22:16, 22:19, 43:5, 43:7, 49:15, 54:7. 62:14 correctly (1) - 24:17 correspond [1] -29:13 соттиріі (э) - 49:5,

13:10, 15:13, 16:10,

49:7, 49:9 cost [9] - 11:20, 34:23, 36:20, 49:24, 50:12, 51:4, 51:11. 51:15, 51:18 costs [15] - 13:19, 14:1, 17:20, 18:6. 23:18, 28:11, 36:14, 36:22, 36:23, 36:25, 37:17, 49:25, 50:12, 51:5, 51:17 counsel [16] - 5:10 9:7, 19:13, 42:11 45:13, 45:15, 45:18, 45:21, 46:4, 46:15. 46:19, 47:1, 47:12, 47:17, 48:1, 66:19 counsel's [1] - 47:12 count[1] - 29:22 couple [3] - 9:9, 26:18 course [4] - 11:7, 15:19, 29:5, 38:15 **CRA International** m - 13:14 CRE [1] - 32:8 created [1] - 21:9 creates [1] - 3B:3 creating [2] - 25:18. 25:24 credit [2] - 35:21, 36:1 CRES 191 - 32-8 32:20, 32:24, 33:9, 62:19, 65:6, 65:10. 65:12 current [9] - 7:9, 10:1, 22:4, 23:4, 25:8, 26:6, 26:9, 36:25 customer [7] - 30:23. 32:8, 37:21, 37:22. 38:16, 39:10, 39:13 customers |28| -17:19, 18:5, 21:9. 26:9, 29:14, 29:20, 30:9, 31:5, 32:22, 33:11, 34:15, 34:19, 34:25, 36:5, 37:10, 38:4, 38:9, 38:20. 38:24, 41:23, 42:5, 54:15, 54:18, 62:18, 63:2, 63:22, 64:6, 64:11 cut (1) - 35:22

D

d/b/a |1] - 13:14 daily [1] - 14:20 data [1] - 39:17 David [4] - 38:24,

42:21, 42:22, 52:21 **DECEMBER** [1] - 5:1 December |2] - 6:7, deemed [1] - 30:19 define [3] - 20:20, 53:17, 57:24 defined [2] - 58:4, 60:7 definition (4 - 28:25, 34:8, 53:16, 58:11 degree (6) - 15:7, 15:16, 15:20, 15:25, 16:1, 20:24 delineates m -24:14 demand [15] - 31:24, 32:4, 32:13, 32:16, 34:2, 34:7, 34:9, 34:10, 35:14, 35:20, 35:25, 36:12, 37:24, 51:5 Denis George [2] -10:5, 10:6 deny [2] - 32:24. 33:6 deposi [1] - 37:5 deposition [7] - 6:6, 6:10, 6:18, 8:5, 40:21, 66:11, 66:18 Deposition [1] - 6:7 depth [1] - 61:19 derived [3] - 22:3, 22:14, 32:15 describe (z) - 37:8, 46:18 described (4) -32:19, 34:12, 35:13, 39:4 description [1] -50:23 design [1] - 34:8 designated [1] - 13:9 designation [1] -13:14 designed [1] - 58:3 determination (4) -11:3, 21:19, 22:8, 62:12 determinations [1] -11:15 determine m - 44:12 determining [1] -61:17 developed [1] -47:24 developing (6) -33:10, 39:2, 45:11.

17:5, 17:8, 17:12, 41:11, 44:17, 44:20, 47:13, 61:6, 61:11 different [6] - 17:11, 22:14, 29:23, 34:19, 38:11, 50:17 differential (3) -32:9, 32:14, 32:19 direct [1] - 12:11 direction [3] - 9:13, 9:15, 28:5 director m - 14:19 discern [1] - 43:23 disclose [1] - 46:23 disclosing [2] - 46:7, 47:21 discretion [8] -18:19, 18:22, 18:23, 20:14, 21:18, 25:11, 28:8, 28:23 discussed [5] - 7:22, 45:15, 45:18, 46:15, 57:15 discusses [1] -52:25 discussion (2) -29:5, 68:13 dispute [4] - 13:4, 13:13, 13:25, 49:17 disputing [3] - 12:23, 13:1, 13:8 Distribution (2) - 9:3, 41:13 distribution (5) -15:1, 35:13, 40:17, 53:3, 64:6 division (2) - 52:16. Division (c) - 28:13, 52:20, 52:23, 54:21, 54:24, 55:17 divisions [1] - 57:14 divuiging [1] - 47:8 document (2) - 6:21. 9:18 documents [7] -6:10, 6:16, 8:3, 8:15, 9:13, 9:14, 10:14 done [5] - 49:23, 61:16, 61:19, 63:19, 63:20 double [1] - 6:13 Doug (1) - 42:18 down [1] - 23:20 DP [2] - 37:20, 40:4 draw [1] - 43:15

49:3, 50:13, 51:3, 51:22, 64:14, 65:4, 65:20 Duke Energy [4] -9:17, 30:24, 61:21, 65:18 **Duke Energy Ohio** [17] - 5:11, 7:11, 7:16, 8:14, 9:17, 9:21, 12:21, 30:10, 31:19, 34:12, 34:17, 35:12, 38:19, 38:24, 39:25, 61:11, 62:3 **Duke Energy** Ohio's [24] - 6:19, 8:9, 8:10, 12:2, 12:24, 13:4, 13:9, 13:18, 13:25, 23:4, 26:5, 29:21, 29:24, 30:4, 30:17, 31:17, 31:23, 32:3, 37:11, 38:9, 39:16, 39:21, 40:3, 41:22 Duke's [10] - 20:6, 30:24, 36:13, 49:24, 51:15, 60:12, 63:14, 64:21, 65:2, 65:13 duly (2) - 5:3, 5:6 duration (1) - 18:20 during [5] - 22:13, 23:15, 26:1, 35:22, 42:1

Ε

earnings (1) - 9:19 Earnings (1) - 6:24 economic pg - 41:10 economist (1) - 6:2 EDR [1] - 41:10 Edward [1] - 52:5 effect [7] - 20:17, 22:7, 27:12, 53:1. 53:14, 53:15, 55:12 effects [1] - 53:25 elther [5] - 23:20, 43:17, 57:7, 57:20, 59:3 electric [12] - 11:21, 16:11, 28:10, 28:25. 29:20, 30:5, 31:1, 31:18, 31:22, 32:2, 53:3, 64:5 electricity pj - 10:2, 11:1, 11:9 electronic (1) - 8:4 electronically HI -7:15, 7:20, 7:23, 7:24 element [2] - 15:16, 15:19 eliminating (1) -

25:20

5:8, 43:1

exact [1] - 6:22

EXAMENATION 121 -

examined (1) - 5:7

example [7] - 23:18,

37:24 elsewhere [1] -14:14 - [1] bebbedme 36:23 embedding (1) -36:19 embodied [1] - 57:2 embodies [1] - 57:7 embodiment [1] -57:20 enable |2] - 17:19, 18:5 enables [1] - 20:13 end [3] - 39:10, 63:4, RS 22 Ener[1] - 14:4 Energy [7] - 6:3, 9:10, 10:16, 13:19, 14:5, 14:19, 60:12 energy [a] - 9:24, 10:3, 14:21, 14:22, 35:21, 36:1, 36:20, 43:16 Energy's (1) - 6:24 energy-based [2] -35:21, 36:1 engaged (1) - 10:20 English [1] - 43:13 ensure [3] - 34:24, 58:5, 63:5 entering (1) - 56:22 entire :za - 18:8. 59:10 entities [1] - 31:19 entity (1) - 64:20 enumerated [2] -19:3, 19:5 environmental (1) -51:17 equity (3) - 11:5. 11:7, 11:16 ESP [16] - 7:8, 7:9, 7:11, 8:18, 8:21, 23:4, 26:6, 26:9, 26:13, 26:22, 30:10, 32:9, 32:14, 32:17, 32:19, 42:2 established (2) -33:20, 64:3 evaluation [1] -30:22 evaluations [1] -31:1 event [2] - 25:16,

3

DS (3) - 39:4, 39:10,

Duke [14] - 6:24,

36:14, 36:25, 37:23,

9:17, 20:6, 34:4,

39:13

46:19, 47:2, 47:4

development (12) -

14:21, 14:22, 17:4,

49.9

file [3] - 12:22, 49:5.

30:21, 37:20, 39:11, 50:5, 50:21, 61:21 exception [3] - 11:4, 18:25, 28:22 excerpt [1] - 20:7 excuse [3] - 52:23, 61:1, 65:4 exercise (1) - 29:4 exercising (2) -64:15, 64:20 Exhibit 1[1] - 6:5 exhibits [1] - 6:13 exist [1] - 33:14 existence [1] - 41:17 existing [1] - 22:4 experience [5] -11:1, 14:12, 16:17, 43:9, 44:9 expertise (1) - 43:10 explain [1] - 46:3 explicit [1] - 32:13 expressed [1] -56:21 extend [3] - 19:6, 65:22, 66:7 extent (4) - 16:1. 32:11, 46:22, 47:20

F

facilities [4] - 31:17, 31:23, 33:14, 39:15 fact [3] - 30:22, 32:25, 50:6 factor [20] - 29:16, 29:17, 36:5, 37:10, 37:21, 38:4, 38:9, 38:12, 38:16, 38:21, 38:25, 39:3, 39:9, 39:12, 39:13, 39:15, 39:20, 39:23, 39:25, 61:14 factors (3) - 23:17, 39:5, 39:19 facts [1] - 24:12 fair (12) - 11:18, 12:25, 13:5, 16:9, 28:24, 45:10, 45:19, 48:2, 49:16, 51:9, 51:13, 61:15 Fair 131 - 20:11. 44:13, 48:1 fairty (2) - 11:19, 12:10 familiar (7) - 16:4, 39:18, 50:1, 50:5. 50:8, 61:20, 64:25 Federal [1] - 13:19 FERC (2) - 13:20, 13:25

few [1] - 57:6

filed [9] - 6:7, 7:12, 8:8, 8:9, 8:13, 9:3, 9:21, 34:7, 41:13 filing pg - 6:20, 7:19, 8:9, 12:3, 20:6, 35:13 final (1) - 58:6 firm [1] - 6:3 first (4) - 26:19, 28:17, 42:16, 60:15 FirstEnergy (4) - 9:3, 41:3, 41:11, 41:13 five [12] - 17:15, 17:18, 18:5, 18:13, 18:17, 19:2, 19:6, 19:7, 19:10, 28:17. 28:21, 60:15 five-year |2| - 18:13, 18:17 fixed (3) - 32:21, 32:25, 33:9 focus [1] - 11:15 follows [2] - 5:7. 59:15 form [2] - 28:10, 32:9 forming [2] - 14:17, 19:14 forms [1] - 26:23 formulation [1] -43:16 forth #1 - 21:23 forward [1] - 36:14 four[2] - 19:2, 28:21 framework [3] -17:11, 21:12, 27:24 front [1] - 6:4 fuel (5) - 23:18, 24:1, 24:7, 24:9, 49:25 full [5] - 18:4, 18:11, 18:13, 56:18, 61:4

G

general [10] - 8:22, 12:17, 26:7, 29:10, 55:24, 56:2, 56:13, 56:23, 56:25, 63:25 generally [3] - 39:18, 48:24, 50:1 generation (19) -16:11, 17:20, 18:6, 28:10, 28:11, 29:1, 30:1, 30:5, 31:18, 37:1, 37:17, 37:24, 40:11, 40:16, 51:22, 58:10, 65:1, 65:5. 65:12 generation-related (1) - 37:24

George [2] - 39:14,

39:17 goal HJ - 56:17, 56:21, 58:6, 64:9 goals [1] - 57:4 government [1] -43:17 gradual (9) - 14:10, 15:6, 15:17, 15:18, 16:1, 16:13, 16:25, 56:9, 58:4 greater (3) - 58:10, 60:3, 60:21 grocery[1] - 39:11 GT_[2] ~ 41:5, 41:7 guess (6) - 7:9, 17:2, 37:9, 53:16, 53:20, 57:18 guidance (4) - 17:10. 18:15, 28:14, 60:15

H

half [1] - 37:23

hands [1] - 31:14

happy [1] - 18:2

HART [1] - 42:20

head [2] - 57:8,

hard [2] - 7:21, 8:3

57:18 hear[5] - 5:12, 5:17, 43:6, 47:14, 59:2 heard (ŋ - 42:18 hearing [2] - 10:21, 59:12 held [1] - 66:13 help[1] - 30:18 helping (1) - 14:13 HIGGINS (1) - 5:5 Higgins [35] - 5:10, 5:20, 5:22, 6:4, 6:9, 9:1, 10:12, 12:8, 12:12, 12:23, 13:8, 13:17, 14:3, 15:4, 16:2, 18:1, 19:23, 23:14, 25:4, 28:7, 28:24, 32:1, 33:19, 34:17, 35:19, 36:3, 37:4, 38:19, 38:23, 40:14, 41:21, 42:9. 43:3, 60:2, 66:1 high (s) - 31:3, 36:5, 39:10, 39:12, 61:22 high-load-factor(1) - 36:5 higher (5) - 25:22, 26:10, 26:11, 27:18, 27:19 history (2) - 44:22, hoping [1] - 5:16 hour[1] - 34:13

hourly [1] - 35:17

identif (1) - 24:14

Identified [4] - 8:2,

Identify (2) - 5:20,

8:8, 14:3, 23:17

21:12

Identifying [1] - 38:8 illegal (1) - 17:21 Illustrated [1] - 38:4 Illustration [1] -37:14 Impact [5] - 16:1, 21:8, 21:9, 21:11, 40:10 impacts (3) - 37:17, 40:7, 50:17 implausible [2] -39:9, 39:13 Implemented [2] -17:3, 41:2 implications [1] -43:21 implicit [2] - 32:10, 62:15 implies |1] - 15:19 Important [4] - 63:2, 63:5, 63:22, 64:2 Impose [1] - 14:10 in-depth [1] - 61:19 inaudible [2] - 41:23, Inaudible (1) - 59:7 include [5] - 31:24, 34:9, 50:18, 52:20, 53:25 included |4] - 38:15, 40:16, 41:9, 56:2 includes (4) - 11:2, 32:4, 38:2, 63:15 inconsistent [2] -60:6, 60:22 incorporate[1] -34:1 Increase [6] - 27:5, 58:8, 58:17, 60:3, 60:8, 60:20 increasing [1] -27:12 incrementally [1] -15:21 independent [3] -13:10, 13:15, 47:25 indicated pg - 23:11, 43:3, 64:25 industrial [3] - 32:22, 41:23, 42:5 Inferring [1] - 44:10

Inserted [1] - 56:5 Instead [1] - 10:11 **Instructed** (1) - 28:2 instruction (1) - 28:5 Instrumental HI -14:17 intended [3] - 17:10, 27:15, 27:22 intending [1] - 13:6 Intent pg - 16:18, 16:24 interpret (4) - 21:25, 43:24, 44:12, 44:14 interpretation [14] -19:15, 43:10, 45:20, 46:4, 46:5, 46:19, 47:5, 47:12, 47:13, 47:17, 47:18, 47:25, 55:5, 55:8 interprétations [1] -45:11 interpreted [1] - 21:5 involve[1] - 47:20 involved (a) - 11:6, 11:8, 14:13, 14:20, 20:25, 32:15, 43:16, 452 Involvement [2] -44:16, 44:19 iesue (zj - 5:15, 51:25 issued (1) - 6:25 issues (d. - 10:17. 11:4, 11:7, 11:15, 11:16, 11:19, 12:2, 45:15, 45:18 **Itamas** (1) - 8:7 itself [3] - 12:6,

J

58:22, 59:20

join (1) - (42:16 joined (1) - 66:11 judgment (3) - 20:23, 24:15, 44:9 jury (1) - 30:7

K

KCH-1 [q - 37:13, 37:14, 40:7, 40:15 Keity [2] - 5:4, 66:9 KEVIN [9] - 5:5 Kevin [2] - 5:22, 44:7 kilowatt [9] - 34:13 kilowatt hour [9] -32:21, 33:1, 33:9, 35:21, 36:1, 36:1 kind [9] + 53:17

knowing [1] - 36:22 knowledge [11] -13:2, 13:6, 13:12, 13:16, 13:23, 31:16, 35:16, 41:18, 46:14, 65:7, 65:14 Kroger [23] - 9:2, 9:24, 10:3, 13:2, 13:6, 13:12, 13:23, 31:17, 31:23, 33:14, 33:17, 39:15, 39:18, 39:19, 39:20, 39:23, 40:2, 50:17, 65:1, 65:5, 65:8, 65:11, 65:20 Kroger's [1] - 9:25 KUTIK [9] - 42:22, 43:2, 44:2, 44:5, 46:2, 59:7, 59:9, 65:23, 65:25 Kutik [15] - 42:22, 43:22, 44:11, 45:24, 46:3, 46:17, 47:3, 47:11, 47:24, 49:23, 53:19, 56:23, 56:25, 57:16, 60:2

L

language (9) - 14:15,

20:2, 20:12, 21:18,

Kutlk's [1] - 5:16

43:20, 43:21, 44:10, 44:15, 52:4 larger (1) - 40:11 last [4] - 7:8, 7:9, 57:5, 59:2 law [3] - 8:11, 12:20, 15:1 laws [2] - 14:24, 14:25 lawyer[1] - 43:4 least [3] - 25:22, 45:12, 60:14 leaves [1] - 21:18 Legacy ESP [7] -23:9, 23:11, 23:19, 24:1, 24:10, 24:19, 26:20 legal [2] - 17:22, 43:15 legislation (2) -16:22, 64:10 legislative [1] legislature (2) - 17:3, length (1) - 65:19 less [4] - 17:15, 17:18, 18:4, 19:10 level [6] - 29:14, 37:16, 50:4, 50:9,

50:24, 51:10 light[1] - 18:1 likely (3) - 7:22, 15:13, 16:23 limited [1] - 18:22 Line [2] - 37:8, 40:21 line (11) ~ 20:1, 20:13, 21:13, 24:13, 40:10, 40:25, 52:10, 52:25, 55:21, 61:3, 62:24 lines [3] - 21:24, 36:24, 40:6 list [1] - 42:14 literature (1) - 29:6 LLC (2) - 6:3, 14:5 load [22] - 29:25, 30:17, 30:23, 36:5, 37:10, 37:21, 38:4, 38:8, 38:12, 38:16, 38:21, 38:25, 39:3, 39:5, 39:9, 39:12, 39:13, 39:15, 39:19, 39:20, 39:23, 39:25 located [1] - 31:17 look (3) - 30:22. 37:13, 60:16 looking [3] - 20:24, 50:20, 61:2 low m - 38:4 low-load-factor[1] -38:4 lower (6) - 17:19, 18:6, 18:10, 28:2, 28:6, 37:10 lower-toad-factor[1] - 37:10 lowering [1] - 27:18

М

manager (4) - 9:24, 10:3, 13:9, 13:15 Mark [2] - 42:21, 66:16 marked [2] - 6:5 market [88] - 14:11, 15:5, 15:12, 15:13, 16:11, 16:14, 17:1, 17:6, 17:8, 17:14, 17:18, 18:4, 19:10, 22:17, 25:1, 25:7, 25:16, 25:21, 26:6, 26:10, 26:14, 26:20, 26:24, 27:2, 27:4, 27:11, 28:25, 29:2, 29:4, 29:7, 29:8, 29:9, 29:11, 29:12, 29:13, 30:5, 30:12, 30:13, 30:22, 31:2, 31:4, 31:8, 31:9, 31:13,

31:14, 33:24, 34:1, 34:10, 56:10, 58:9, 58:17, 58:24, 59:23, 60:4, 60:5, 60:11, 60:20, 61:6, 61:11, 61:16, 61:17, 61:18, 61:20, 61:23, 62:3, 62:11, 62:15, 62:16, 62:20, 62:21, 63:4, 63:6, 63:7, 63:9, 63:11, 63:14, 63:16, 63:23, 64:1, 64:7, 64:12, 64:15, 64:16, 64:21, 64:22 market rate offer [19] - 7:3, 9:4, 12:13, 12:17, 14:25, 17:14, 18:20, 20:15, 24:4, 24:20, 24:25, 25:6, 26:1, 27:16, 28:18, 33:20, 34:6, 35:11, markst-based [7] -33:24, 34:1, 58:17, 58:24, 59:23, 60:5, 60:20 market-rate-based m - 58:9 markets [1] - 11:21 material [2] - 7:18, 7:19 materializes [1] -83:6 mathematically (1) -25:20 matter[7] - 5:11, 8:22, 15:7, 20:23, 24:15, 30:20, 55:4 matters (1) - 11:20 mean [17] - 6:13, 11:12, 15:15, 20:22, 23:14, 31:5, 40:14, 50:1, 50:15, 52:14,

53:8, 53:20, 54:22,

55:9, 57:25, 62:14,

means (3) - 52:15,

mechanism (2) -

mechanisms [4] -

49:24, 50:12, 51:11,

memoranda [1] -

might [3] - 12:22,

migration [12] -

58:3, 58:8, 58:12,

58:18, 59:1, 60:1,

56:18, 57:24, 57:25.

mentioned [1] - 16:2

53:13, 58:12

51:4, 51:19

62:15

51:16

10:13

18:10, 55:9

name [2] - 5:22, 10:8 Namely [1] - 20:17 nature (1) - 15:19 necassarily [5] -17:7, 18:12, 27:23, 31:15, 60:24 necessary (5) - 15:8, 29:8, 34:23, 35:8, 49:11 need (5) - 18:7, 18:16, 30:17, 66:16, 66:17 needed [1] - 6:12 needs [1] - 61:10 neutral (1) - 37:15 nevertheless [1] -28:1 next [1] - 26:19 noise (1) - 41:25 none [1] - 47:23 Notice [1] - 6:8 natice [2] - 6:11, 6:18

mind [4] - 21:1, 27:1, 62:22, 64:9 minimum [1] - 18:17 MISO [2] - 48:12, 48.21 misunderstood (2) -58:21, 59:18 mitigate [3] - 20:17, 27:3, 53:1 mitigated m - 54:17 modifies (2) - 55:17, moment (e) - 6:23, 7:25, 39:22, 50:10, 59:6. 65:23 money [1] - 31:6 monitor(1) - 61:6 morning [3] - 5:18, 6:10, 42:16 most pg - 11:2, 11:8, 11:18, 22:24, 23:3, 25:22, 31:1, 40:4 Most [1] - 11:4 move [1] - 58:4 movement [1] -16:25 moving |4| - 18:11, 58:2, 58:25, 59:24 MRO [7] - 19:9, 22:1, 22:11, 22:20, 22:23, 26:14, 56:18 must (1) - 18:25

60:6, 60:16, 60:23

N

mueter [1] - 29:9

42:10 30:10, 63:21 33:10, 64:6 23:23, 39:11 66:10, 66:11 10:10 35:9, 43:23, 44:8.

notion (1) - 12:17 notwithstanding [1] 52:11 November (1) - 8:10 number [9] - 14:18, 16:8, 21:1, 24:13, 29:17, 45:2, 58:13, 58:14, 60:14

o

objection |4] - 18:2,

46:6, 46:21, 53:11

Objection [12] - 12:5, 16:5, 17:22, 19:16, 33:3, 43:25, 45:22, 47:6, 47:19, 49:20, 53:9, 57:10 obligated [1] - 36:17 Obviously (2) - 21:2, 31:6 obviously [2] -20:22, 20:24 occupation (1) - 6:1 occur(# - 17:15, 23:23, 25:1, 26:15, 25:16, 81:5 occurred [3] - 17:18. 19:18, 27:25 October (1) - 6:25 offer(e) - 13:7. 20:21, 22:2, 25:14, 32:5, 32:25, 36:24, offered (3) - 13:21, offering [4] - 13:3, 13:12, 13:17, 13:24 offers (1) - 32:22, Office (i) - 14:19 often pj - 11:19, Ohlo [21] - 8:11, 14:9, 14:14, 15:1, 16:4, 16:10, 16:17, 17:3, 17:20, 18:18, 20:13, 25:5, 28:8, 33:15, 33:18, 45:3, 52:4, 57:7, 60:11, Ohio's [1] - 60:12 Oliwia (1) - 10:10 Oliwia Smith (1) -One (1) - 59:6 one (23) - 6:23, 9:11, 18:25, 20:24, 24:13, 28:19, 26:22, 30:22, 31:3, 31:15, 34:18,

point (4) - 7:17.

policies [3] - 57:4,

policy [18] - 11:3,

portfolio [1] - 51:5

portion (4) - 22:17,

portions [2] - 8:11,

position (2) - 10:16,

possible [2] - 53:15,

potential (3) - 54:23,

Potentially (2) - 54:2,

potentially[1] - 56:6

power [18] - 23:18,

practice [2] - 8:22,

preclude [2] - 34:7,

precluding (2) -

prepara [4] - 8:24,

prepared jaj - 9:16,

preparing [7] - 8:15,

presence (1) - 63:15

present [1] - 49:16

previous [1] - 59:14

price (55) - 20:19,

24:25, 25:2, 25:7,

25:8, 25:12, 25:14,

25:17, 25:21, 25:25,

pretty [1] - 31:3

10:13, 31:13, 52:6,

44:11, 55:8, 57:25, 61:9, 65:12, 65:23 open (4) - 12:25, 13:5, 31:11, 62:21 operating [1] - 33:15 opinion [26] - 13:18, 13:21, 15:4, 16:15, 17:2, 17:13, 17:17, 18:3, 19:8, 19:14. 21:4, 21:7, 23:25, 24:8, 24:23, 27:2, 28:7, 28:16, 30:3, 30:7, 41:21, 42:2, 42:6, 61:9, 64:18, 64:23 opinions (n - 43:15 opportunities [3] -15:12, 62:17, 62:18 opportunity [5] -30:9, 31:6, 42:11, 49:12, 68:7 oppose [2] - 12:13, 12:21 option is - 12:20. 13:9, 13:15, 22:17, 64:5 orderty [1] - 14:10 outcome [1] - 27:23 outlined (1) - 54:24 overall |8] - 24:3, 26:23, 27:5, 27:12, 27:19, 37:16, 64:3, own [3] - 45:15, 45:17, 48:6

P

page (s) - 37:6, 38:7, 40:5, 40:20, 40:24, 52:10, 61:1, 62:23 Page (1) - 40:25 page 7[4] - 19:23, 20:12, 52:6, 54:11 page 8 (1) - 56:8 page 9 [2] - 55:21, 60.25paid (1) - 34:25 paper[1] - 7:25 papers [5] - 7:16, 9:16, 9:21, 40:19 parameters [1] - 58:5 parse [1] - 48:3 part [15] - 25:17, 32:4, 32:16, 36:15, 36:18, 40:4, 41:9, 44:12, 45:12, 45:14, 52:5, 54:11, 54:13, 55:20, 64:8 partici (1) - 10:9 participants [2] -

29:3, 29:11 particular (a) - 12:2, 18:10, 57:6, 64:18 18:9, 18:10, 21:23, 24:12, 29:14, 60:17 57:7. 57:21 particularly [3] -55:9, 61:2, 63:2 11:11, 11:15, 11:20, party [1] - 43:18 14:9, 14:13, 14:16, pass [4] - 21:1, 29:8, 14:21, 43:17, 43:20, 43:23, 44:10, 44:13, 29:9, 62:6 passage [1] - 16:19 56:9, 56:14, 63:25, passed [1] - 46:23 64.3, 64.9 path (i) - 19:10 pattern [1] - 50:6 25:18, 28:13, 59:2 Pause [1] - 65:24 paying (1) - 34:19 per [3] - 22:23, 8:12 23:22, 34:13 26:14 per-kilowatt-hour(1) - 34:13 55:8 percent [34] - 19:1, 26:15, 26:20, 26:23, 58:1, 61:23 28:19, 28:20, 28:21, 30:23, 31:2, 31:14, 37:21, 37:23, 38:8, 55:14 38:12, 38:16, 38:20, 38:25, 39:3, 39:9, 39:12, 39:24, 58:10, 24:2, 29:4, 29:7, 29:8, 58:17, 58:25, 59:24, 29:11, 30:22, 31:1, 60:3, 60:9, 60:14, 31:4, 48:8, 48:15, 60:18, 60:21 50:13, 50:20, 61:23, percentage [11] -63:16, 64:15, 64:21, 65:10 18:20, 19:3, 19:5, 22:3, 27:2, 27:10, 27:19, 28:3, 28:4, 10:23 29:24, 30:21 percentages (e) -60:17 18:24, 25:6, 26:20, 28:9, 28:15, 28:18 53:21 Perhaps [1] - 10:24 perhaps pj - 5:14 45:10, 48:7, 48:11 period [12] - 15:9, 18:8, 18:13, 18:17, 19:6, 22:14, 23:15, 62:2, 62:12 26:2, 27:24, 55:6, 8:20, 8:25, 9:8, 9:11, 61:4, 63:5 periodic (1) - 23:25 50:20, 51:7 pertaining [1] -11:20 phone (5) - 5:12, 5:17, 35:22, 42:12, 59:11 phrase [9] - 52:11, 20:21, 20:25, 21:23, 52:24, 53:8, 53:14, 22:1, 22:2, 22:11, 54:8, 54:20, 55:9, 22:13, 22:18, 22:23, 55:16, 56:3 22:25, 23:3, 23:4, PJM [2] - 48:9, 48:15 23:9, 23:11, 23:14, place [1] - 32:12 23:19, 24:1, 24:3, plain [1] - 14:15 24:10, 24:19, 24:20,

play [2] - 47:1, 47:3

played (4) - 46:4,

46:19, 47:12, 47:17

26:6, 26:9, 26:23, 26:24, 27:2, 27:3, 27:6, 27:11, 27:13, 27:20, 32:9, 32:14, 32:15, 32:17, 32:20, 36:22, 58:9, 58:18, 58:22, 59:20, 60:5 price_ [1] - 53:5 prices (8) - 22:14, 26:6, 26:10, 48:8, 48:12, 48:15, 48:21, 63:25 pricing (10) - 14:11, 15:6, 15:12, 18:4, 31:9, 32:18, 35:17, 37:25, 56:10, 56:18 principal (3) - 6:2, 14:4 privileged [2] -19:16, 45:23 probability (1) - 25:9 proceeding (c) -11:23, 13:3, 13:13, 13:24, 21:14, 21:15 proceedings [6] -11:2, 11:9, 11:11, 11:14, 21:16, 43:18 process [8] - 12:25, 13:5, 13:10, 33:21, 33:23, 36:17, 48:3, 64:1 procurement [1] produced [1] - 6:9 product [3] - 30:10, 36:18, 38:2 progress (2) - 16:21, 66:12 proportion (3) -58:24, 59:22, 60:4 proportions [2] -25:12, 54:24 proposal (9) - 34:11, 35:19, 35:20, 35:25. 36:4, 36:10, 36:11, 36:21 proposed (8) -12:24, 13:4, 34:4, 34:6, 35:12, 37:12, 37:18, 37:19 proposing [2] -37:24, 51:22 prospective (1) -22:9 prospectively [2] -19:9, 25:12 protect (s) - 54:13, 54:14, 54:15 protection [1] -54:17 provide [10] - 10:18,

15:11, 17:10, 20:7, 28:9, 39:7, 43:10, 50:23, 55:15, 56:6 provided [2] - 7:16, 46:14 provider pj - 32:2, 32:4, 32:8, 65:12, 65:15 providers [7] -29:20, 29:23, 31:22, 32:21, 32:24, 33:9, 65:6 provides pg - 39:17, 43:9, 60:15 providing (1) - 31:9 provision (2) - 21:5. 21:24 provisions (1) -28:12 proxy (1) - 36:13 **public (2) - 56:9,** 56:14 Public 21 - 25:5, 66-10 PUCO (1) - 25:4 purchase (2) - 23:18, purchased [2] -50:13, 50:20 purchasing (1) -A5-0 purpose [1] - 12:1 purposes (13) - 5:21, 8:15, 23:7, 28:18, 33:10, 39:2, 40:14, 48:14, 48:16, 48:17, 48:24, 4**9**:11, 60:9 pursuant (1) - 45:3 put[1] - 42:13 Q

qualifications (1) qualify:11 - 30:14 quarter [2] - 8:10, 9:19 Quarter |1| - 8:24 quarterly [1] - 23:23 questioning (1) -42-11 questions (11) -42:10, 42:17, 42:18, 42:23, 57:8, 64:24, 65:25, 66:4, 66:7. 66:8, 66:15 quickly [1] - 58:2 **quo** [5] - 8:21, 8:23, 23:12, 25:19, 25:25 quote [3] - 20:2, 20:5, 55:20

quoted [1] - 54:10

R

range [1] - 40:1 rate [27] - 11:3, 11:9. 11:14, 15:9, 34:13, 34:15, 34:18, 34:19, 37:1, 37:17, 37:22, 38:13, 38:20, 38:24, 39:4, 39:6, 39:8, 40:2, 40:7, 40:10, 40:16, 41:6, 41:7, 42:4, 42:6, 50:16, 58:9 rate design (8) -11:19, 34:11, 35:12, 37:11, 37:18, 42:2, 42:7 rates (8) - 18:11. 22:9, 33:24, 34:1, 36:13, 38:9, 40:8, 41:23 re (1) - 9:5 re-review [1] - 9:5 Read (1) - 66:19 read [8] - 49:12, 54:3, 54:8, 54:22, 59:4, 59:10, 59:11, 59.14 readily [1] - 37:5 reading (4) - 14:14, 44:9, 45:15, 45:17 realize [1] - 17:19 really [4] - 24:11, 41:19, 47:1, 59:12 reason [2] - 64:14, 64:19 reasonable [2] -37:3. 61:13 reassessment (1) -16:20 receive [2] - 18:6, 37:11 receiving [2] - 65:5, 65:11 recent (3) - 22:24, 23:3, 50:14 recognize [1] - 14:25 recognized [1] -16:10 recognizes [1] -36:15 recollection [1] -50:15 recommendation [2] - 8:13, 8:19 reconciliation [1] record [3] - 5:21, 66:10, 66:13 recover[1] - 13:25

recovering [1] recovery [11] - 13:19, 49:24, 49:25, 50:6. 50:12, 51:4, 51:11, 51:16, 51:18 reduced 121 - 27:10. 28:11 reducing [2] - 27:2, reduction (1) - 37:22 REESE [1] - 42:17 refer [6] - 19:23, 37:6, 38:15, 52:4, 53:14, 62:23 reference [2] - 39:3, 41.2 referenced (2) - 7:7, 9:20 referred [2] - 9:18, 23:9 referring [3] - 56:8, 63:7, 63:12 reflect [2] - 36:22, 39:22 reflected [4] - 20:12. 35:19, 36:13, 40:7 reflects (1) - 34:12 refresh (1) - 8:23 regard (8) - 10:16, 13:18, 21:22, 28:16, 33:13, 34:11, 38:6, 64:21 regarding [1] - 19:14 Regulatory [1] -13:20 regulatory [2] -14:24, 29:6 reiterate [1] - 5:15 reject (1) - 17:21 related (4) - 10:14, 36:6, 37:24, 45:6 relating [2] - 50:12, relative (3) - 9:22, 29:17, 32:9 relevance [1] - 16:5 relevant (4) - 8:20. 20:2, 20:4, 20:10 reliance (1) - 15:12 relied [3] - 14:8. 38:8, 45:12 relying (1) - 20:6 repeat [1] - 45:16

rephrase [1] - 18:2

report [2] - 9:10,

reporter (3) - 59:3,

REPORTER (4) -

53:10, 59:6, 59:8,

9:19

59:4, 59:10

59:13 represents [3] -8:21, 23:12, 30:4 request [1] - 5:16 requested [1] - 66:19 required (1) - 28:3 roquirement [2] -11:19, 52:12 requirements (4) -18:16, 34:5, 52:18, 53:23 research [3] - 9:12, 10:9, 45:17 researched [1] - 9:15 resource (2) - 14:22, 51.5 respect [13] - 10:1, 11:3, 14:22, 15:9. 16:16, 44:23, 45:9, 48:21, 51:16, 54:10, 57:3, 61:16, 64:16 response [4] - 6:17, 6:18, 11:13, 61:25 responsive [1] - 6:10 rest [1] - 53:23 restart (1) - 35:24 restate (1) - 11:14 restrict (1) - 10:23 result [3] - 25:1, 27:14, 27:18 Retail (4) - 30:25. 61:21, 65:18, 65:21 retail [13] - 15:13, 29:19, 31:22, 32:2, 36:22, 61:6, 61:11, 61:17, 62:3, 63:9, 63:11, 64:16, 64:22 refurn 131 - 11:5. 11:6, 11:15 revenue (2) - 11:19. 37:15 revenue-neutral [1] review [8] - 9:1, 9:5, 22:8, 44:22, 45:5, 48:14, 49:8, 50:16 Review [1] - 6:25 reviewed [8] - 6:21, 8:14, 49:2, 49:14. 50:3, 50:11, 51:3, 51:15 reviewing [1] - 45:2 Revised [3] - 20:2, 52:5, 56:15 revised [2] - 21:6, 28:13 reward [1] - 36:5 Rick (3) - 42:15, 66:3, 66:4

41:10, 41:11, 41:12, 41:16, 50:20, 50:24, 51:3, 51:18 riders [8] - 49:24, 50:3, 50:4, 50:9, 50:11, 50:18, 51:10, 51:15 rise [2] - 16:21, 31:4 robust [19] - 15:13, 15:14, 28:25, 29:2, 29:9, 29:13, 30:5, 30:14, 30:19, 31:10, 31:15, 62:16, 62:21, 63:3, 63:6, 63:14. 63:23, 64:12 robustness [1] -62:6 role 171 - 14:20, 46:4. 48:18, 47:1, 47:4, 47:12, 47:16 Rose [1] - 49:3 Rose's (3) - 49:9. 49:14, 49:17 run (1) - 39:11 runs (1) - 39:23

S

sales (1) - 29:18 Sales [3] - 30:25. 61:21, 65:18 Salt Lake City Utah [1] - 5:25 save [1] - 31:6 SB-221 [2] - 44:17, 44:23 SB-3[5] - 44:20, 44:25, 45:1, 45:4, 45:6 scenario [1] - 63:1 Schedule (1) - 38:12 schedule pj - 40:2, 41:7 schedules [2] - 39:6, 39.8 second (e) - 23:2, 26:13, 54:21, 54:25, 55:1, 55:3, 55:11, 55:13 Section [10] - 20:3, 52:23, 52:24, 54:11, 54:22, 55:18, 56:14, 56:15, 57:1 section (7) - 7:6, 20:5, 20:10, 52:12, 52:16, 52:18 see [8] - 27:7, 28:5, 37:13, 37:20, 37:22, 52:12, 53:6, 61:7 seem [1] - 32:10 selected [1] - 29:25

seller [1] - 31:3 selling (1) - 29:11 **Senate** (a) - 7:2, 8:12, 16:3, 16:4, 16:19, 17:3 sentence pj - 53:23, 62:24 separate (1) - 48:4 serve [1] - 20:21 served (2) - 29:14, 30:24 service (31) - 11:20, 16:11, 29:1, 29:20, 29:21, 30:4, 30:6, 30:9, 31:18, 31:22, 31:23, 32:2, 32:3, 33:15, 33:18, 39:16, 39:21, 40:1, 40:3, 56:10, 60:12, 61:12, 62:4, 64:15, 64:21, 65:2, 65:6, 65:10, 65:12, 65:20 services [1] - 10:18 serving (1) - 29:20 set m - 21:23, 39:7. sets [1] - 28:14 settlement [2] - 7:8,

8:21 several [1] - 23:16 shaping (1) - 14:17 share [3] - 31:14, 39:14, 61:20 shop (1) - 82:18 shorten (1) - 19:9 show [1] - 57:14 showed [1] - 38:17 shown [2] - 18:24, 38:13 shows [1] - 37:15 elc (1) - 26:24 **side m - 61:22** algn (1) - 66:19 signature | | - 66:17 eignificant (12) -20:18, 21:14, 21:20, 24:2, 24:6, 24:9, 24:15, 24:18, 24:24, 25:13, 53:2, 54:1 similar(1) - 14:25 elmply (e) - 9:24, 19:18, 36:21, 36:24, 38:10, 39:4 situation [5] - 10:1, 25:15, 25:21, 27:5, 28:3 situations [1] - 25:23 small (1) - 58:5 sole (2) - 15:11,

54:16

solely (q - 37:23

rider[13] - 34:23,

41:2, 41:5, 41:9,

14:15, 18:16, 18:24,

sometime (1) - 55:12 **sometimes** (1) - 32:8 somewhat [4] -17:11, 21:11, 32:7, 50:6 sorry [13] - 6:17. 11:12, 12:8, 14:4, 26:14, 33:7, 35:24, 40:23, 43:6, 45:16, 49:6, 53:10, 59:2 sort [1] - 34:22 source (1) - 38:7 South [1] - 5:24 speaking [2] - 39:14, 47:23 speaks (2) - 12:6, 15:25 **specialty** [1] - 10:24 **specific** [7] - 10:17, 10:21, 12:16, 20:25, 27:22, 39:3, 58:13 specifically (9) - 9:5, 32:6, 32:23, 39:1, 50:18, 51:7, 55:2, 57:22, 60:12 spent [1] - 14:18 SPILLER (9) - 5:9, 19:17, 42:8, 42:18, 42:21, 66:3, 66:6, 66:9, 66:14 Spiller [7] - 5:10, 12:8, 16:9, 18:1, 19:22, 33:7, 66:4 Spiller's [2] - 61:25, spot [1] - 42:13 SSO[7] - 18:12, 24:3, 40:8, 56:10, 58:9, 58:18, 60:5 SSP[1] - 26:24 standard pj - 20:21, 22:2, 25:13 standard service offer (16) - 18:11, 20:19, 21:22, 21:25, 22:4, 22:6, 22:10, 22:22, 22:24, 23:3, 24:19, 24:24, 25:8, 25:14, 25:25, 53:4 start [2] - 42:14, 55:1 starting [3] - 16:24, 52:24, 54:25 State [8] - 5:24, 14:9, 14:19, 14:21, 14:24, 43:17 state |4| - 14:13, 14:16, 40:6, 49:6 status [5] - 8:21. 8:23, 23:12, 25:19, 25:24 statute (22) - 7:6,

19:4, 19:5, 19:11, 22:23, 23:22, 43:10, 43:23, 43:24, 44:12, 44:13, 44:20, 45:11, 45:20, 47:5, 47:18. 47:25, 55:9, 57:6 statutes [2] - 57:9, 57:19 statutory (8) - 19:14, 20:2, 20:12, 21:23, 45:6 steps (2) - 15:8, 58:5 Steve [1] - 66:6 still [4] - 30:7, 35:14. 37:5, 41:16 stipulation [2] - 8:13, 8:18 37:14, 40:15 **stop** [1] - 44:3 store [1] - 39:23 stores [4] - 39:11, 66:10 39:18, 39:19, 40:3 stores*[1] - 39:20 **Strategies** [4] - 6:3, 9:10, 10:17, 14:5 30:15, 31:11, 60:7, **Street** [1] - 5:25 65:19 strictly [2] - 37:25, 47:22 61:17, 62:10 strike [3] - 21:21, 22:20, 26:4 structure pg - 11:21. 30:4, 30:19, 31:18, 12:13, 12:15, 31:2, 31:24, 32:3, 39:16, 34:4, 41:22, 42:4, 39:21, 40:1, 40:3, 42:6 60:13, 61:12, 62:4, studies [1] - 48:18 63:14, 64:15, 64:21, study (5) - 48:8, 65:2, 65:10, 65:13 48:11, 48:15, 48:16, 49:24 subject [1] - 23:16 24:18 submitted (2) - 9:2, 49-2 subpoena [1] - 6:17 substantial [3] -21:9, 21:10, 65:9 5:17, 6:19, 6:22, 7:7, Substitute (1) - 7:2 8:8, 8:16, 8:20, 8:24, sudden [2] - 21:8 8:25, 9:1, 9:6, 9:8, sufficient [1] ~ 51:17 9:12, 10:12, 12:1, Sulte [1] - 5:25 12:5, 12:11, 12:12, summaries [1] -19:24, 20:1, 20:13, 10:13 21:25, 23:7, 23:17, summarized (1) -34:12, 35:20, 37:5, 40:15 38:7, 38:15, 40:6, supplier (4) - 34:23, 40:22, 40:23, 43:14, 62:19, 64:20, 65:10 43:15, 45:10, 46:5, suppliers (10) -48:14, 48:20, 47:2, 29:15, 29:17, 30:1, 48:17, 49:2, 49:9, 30:18, 34:14, 34:19, 49:11, 49:12, 49:14, 34:24, 36:16, 65:6 49:18, 52:6, 52:11, supply [2] - 10:2, 52:25, 54:12, 55:15,

51:5

support [1] - 57:19

suppose [4] - 10:21, 62:24, 63:12, 63:13 11:17, 27:25, 55:4 tests (2) - 29:8 THE [4] - 53:10, 59:6, surprise [1] - 57:13 59:8, 59:13 sustainable [1] -31:10 the court pg - 59:3, 59:4, 59:9 switch m - 30:17 The Kroger switched [2] - 30:21, switching (1) - 31:6 sworn [2] - 5:3, 5:6 table pg - 36:18, 38:5, 38:6, 38:17, 39:2, 40:7 Table [3] - 37:13,

talks [1] - 52:17

Tammy [2] - 56:24,

technical (1) - 5:15

ten (2) - 19:6, 19:7

term [5] - 15:14,

terms (2) - 9:12,

territories [1] - 33:15

territory [19] - 29:21,

test (2) - 21:2, 62:6

testified [2] - 5:7,

testify [1] - 35:8

testim [1] - 12:11

testimony (80) -

testifying [1] - 11:22

Company [2] - 11:23, 35:16 The witness [22] -5:3, 16:7, 17:25, 19:19, 19:21, 33:5, 42:19, 42:23, 43:14, 44:8, 46:9, 46:13, 46:25, 47:10, 47:22, 49:22, 53:13, 57:12, 59:12, 66:2, 66:15, 66:20 themselves [2] -63:4, 64:7 then-current(1) -25:8 then-most-recent [2] 22:24, 23:3 thereof [1] - 8:12 thinking (2) - 47:4, third (2) - 8:10, 9:19 Third (1) - 6:24 three [4] - 18:12, 19:2, 28:20, 37:19 thrust m - 63:25 tied [1] - 32:14 today[1] - 8:5 top (2) - 57:8, 57:18 topics (2) - 10:21, 11:4 total [1] - 40:8 tough [1] - 53:17 toward [1] - 16:25 training [1] - 14:6 transfer [2] - 51:22, 51:25 transformation [6] -14:10, 15:5, 15:10, 15:17, 16:14, 56:9 transformed [1] -15:24 transition [5] -17:14, 17:17, 17:19, 17:21, 18:4 transmission (2) -40:17. 49:7 transparent [1] -13:5 trouble [1] - 59:12 true (2) - 33:13, 62:9 trying [1] - 27:17 TS(4) - 38:9, 38:12,

38:16, 38:20

TURKENTON [1] -

56:24 Turkenton [2] -56:24, 66:10 turn [1] - 40:20 two (a) - 12:2, 19:2, 19:8, 22:14, 28:20, 57:3, 57:4, 57:14 typical (2) - 39:23, typically [2] - 11:6, 53:13

U

Ultimately [1] - 63:17 under (20) - 7:12, 9:13, 9:15, 12:20, 15:1, 17:14, 18:20, 20:14, 20:16, 21:5, 22:1, 22:11, 22:23, 23:4, 24:3, 24:20, 24:25, 25:6, 25:22, 26:1, 27:11, 36:4, 36:10, 37:11, 41:13, 65:1 Under [1] - 35:11 underlying (2) -44:13, 56:9 unde [ŋ - 29:10 undue [1] - 29:3 unintended [1] unjust [1] - 37:2 unjustiy (2) - 36:4, 36:6 unreasionable ត្រ -35:5, 35:10, 54:3, 54:6, 58:15 up (10) - 5:16, 8:1, 10:19, 11:13, 19:3. 19:7, 23:20, 36:24, 51:25, 54:9 update [1] - 8:11 Update [1] - 6:25 Utah (2) - 14:19, 14:24, 14:25 Utah's [1] - 14:21 utilities (1) - 50:17 Utilities (5) - 9:4, 25:5, 41:14, 45:3, 66:11 utility (1) - 15:1 utility's [1] - 53:4

variability [3] -50:23, 51:10, 51:18 various [2] - 50:16, 50:18

8

55:21, 56:8, 56:20,

61:1, 61:2, 61:24,

(December 22, 2010 - Kevin Higgins)

vehicle [6] - 35:1, 35:3, 35:6, 35:8, 35:9 vests [1] - 20:13 view [4] - 38:3, 48:5, 55:16, 56:1 volatile [1] - 50:7 volume [2] - 7:18, 29:18

W

warranted [1] - 60:9 weighting [1] - 36:25 wholesale [5] -36:23, 48:8, 48:12, 48:15, 48:21 Wilburn (1) - 5:4 windfall (3) - 37:9, 37:14, 38:3 winning [1] - 38:16 witness (5) - 5:6, 13:3, 13:7, 13:13, 13:24 word (5) - 15:19, 53:16, 53:17, 56:2, 56:5 words [4] - 22:2, 48:23, 54:25, 62:11 world (1) - 29:7 written (2) - 54:12, 54:14

Υ

year [21] - 18:10, 18:12, 18:13, 18:17, 18:25, 19:8, 26:13, 28:19, 28:20, 28:21, 28:22, 37:19, 54:21, 54:25, 55:1, 55:3, 55:11, 55:13, 60:17 years [14] - 14:12, 14:18, 17:15, 17:18, 18:5, 19:2, 19:6, 19:7, 19:10, 28:17, 43:18, 48:19, 48:25, 60:15 yield [1] - 33:24 yourself [1] - 5:21 Yurick [1] - 66:17 YURICK [22] - 12:5, 16.5, 17.22, 19:16, 19:20, 33:3, 43:12, 43:25, 44:4, 44:6, 45:22, 45:25, 46:6, 46:11, 46:21, 47:6, 47:19, 49:20, 53:9, 53:11, 57:10, 66:16