BEFORE

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Commission Review of)
the Capacity Charges of Ohio Power) Case No. 10-2929-EL-UNC
Company and Columbus Southern Power)
Company.)

ENTRY

The Commission finds:

- (1) Ohio Power Company and Columbus Southern Power Company (AEP-Ohio or the Companies) are electric light companies as defined in Section 4905.03(A)(3), Revised Code, and public utilities as defined in Section 4905.02, Revised Code. As such, the Companies are subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission in accordance with Sections 4905.04 and 4905.05, Revised Code.
- (2) Sections 4905.04, 4905.05, and 4905.06, Revised Code, grant the Commission authority to supervise and regulate all public utilities within its jurisdiction.
- (3) On November 1, 2010, AEP Electric Power Service Corporation, on behalf of AEP-Ohio, filed an application with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) in FERC Docket No. ER11-1995. At the direction of FERC, AEP refiled its application in FERC Docket No. ER11-2183 on November 24, 2010. The application proposes to change the basis for compensation for capacity costs to a cost-based mechanism and includes proposed formula rate templates under which the Companies would calculate their respective capacity costs under Section D.8 of Schedule 8.1 of the Reliability Assurance Agreement.
- (4) Prior to the filing of this application, the Commission approved retail rates for the Companies, including recovery of capacity costs through provider-of-last-

10-2929-EL-UNC -2-

resort charges to certain retail shopping customers, based upon the continuation of the current capacity charges established by the three-year capacity auction conducted by PJM, Inc., under the current fixed resource requirement (FRR) mechanism. In re Columbus Southern Power Company, Case No. 08-917-EL-SSO; In re Ohio Power Company, Case No. 08-917-EL-SSO. See also, In re Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company, Case Nos. 05-1194-EL-UNC et al. However, in light of the change proposed by the Companies, the Commission will now expressly adopt as the state compensation mechanism for the Companies the current capacity charges established by the three-year capacity auction conducted by PJM, Inc. during the pendency of this review.

- (5) Further, the Commission finds that a review is necessary in order to determine the impact of the proposed change to AEP-Ohio's capacity charges. As an initial step, the Commission seeks public comment regarding the following issues: (1) what changes to the current state mechanism are appropriate to determine the Companies' FRR capacity charges to Ohio competitive retail electric service (CRES) providers; (2) the degree to which AEP-Ohio's capacity charges are currently being recovered through retail rates approved by the Commission or other capacity charges; and (3) the impact of AEP-Ohio's capacity charges upon CRES providers and retail competition in Ohio.
- (6) All interested stakeholders are invited to submit written comments in this proceeding within 30 days of the issuance of this entry and to submit reply comments within 45 days of the issuance of this entry.

It is, therefore,

ORDERED, That written comments be filed within 30 days after the issuance of this order and that reply comments be filed within 45 days of the issuance of this entry. It is, further,

ORDERED, That a copy of this entry be served on AEP-Ohio and all parties of record in the Companies' most recent standard service offer proceedings, Case Nos. 08-917-EL-SSO and 08-918-EL-SSO.

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

Alan R. Schriber, Chairman

Paul A. Centolella

Steven D. Lesser

Valerie A. Lemmie

Cheryl L. Roberto

GAP/sc

Entered in the Journal

DELL 0 8 2010

Reneé J. Jenkins

Secretary