Deloitte.

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV

2018 NOV 19 AM 10: 38

PUCO

Deloitte & Touche LLP 250 East Fifth Street Suite 1900 Cincinnati, OH 45202-5109

Tel: +1 513 784 7100 www.deloitte.com

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Board of Directors Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. 526 South Church Street Charlotte, NC 28202

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, which were agreed to by Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. (the "Company") and provided to the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (the "PUCO") and the Ohio Consumers' Counsel (the "OCC") solely to assist you in evaluating the Company's evaluation of the recovery of uncollectible customer accounts receivable through an uncollectible expense recovery mechanism as described in PUCO Cases 09-773-GA-UEX and 10-726-GA-UEX. The Company's management is responsible for compliance with the uncollectible expense recovery mechanism. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of those parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

The procedures that we performed and our findings are as follows:

Uncollectible Expense Recovery Mechanism

We performed the following procedures in relation to the uncollectible expense recovery mechanism from January 1, 2009 through December 31, 2009.

- We obtained from Company management and proved the mathematical accuracy of the following schedules from January 1, 2009 through December 31, 2009 within the schedules forming Attachment SSB-1 and Attachment 1 in Duke's applications filed in cases 09-773-GA-UEX and 10-726-GA-UEX, respectively.
 - Bad Debts Written Off Net of Customer Recoveries for the period from January 1, 2009 through December 31, 2009 of \$11,914,652
 - Recovery Base Rates for the period from January 1, 2009 through December 31, 2009 of \$3,746,887
 - c. Recovery Uncollectible Rider for the period from January 1, 2009 through December 31, 2009 of \$1,118.030
 - d. Carrying Charges for the period from January 1, 2009 through December 31, 2009 of \$93,682

Etja:	g t	to ce	rtify	tha	t the		~~~		earing	_	
		1457.	. Y ELELI	377 3	rna 7	'ADAM'S T	% ·				
Pacha-	4 ~ 4 .			~	.)	æ8n τ (2 C (our	46 OF	busin	268
4 (2 (1111)	74: T	an	 -	<u> </u>		Date	Pro	ces	sed	11/91	10

- 2) We compared bad debts written off net of customer recoveries from the schedule obtained in 1) a. above to the Company's Customer Management System ("CMS") reports and noted no differences. We noted that charge-offs used in the calculations relate to only the following accounts as these are the customers subject to the uncollectible expense rider: Residential ("RS"), Residential Firm Transportation Service ("RFT"), Residential Service Low Income Pilot ("RSLI"), Residential Firm Transportation Service—Low Income ("RFTLI"), Firm Transportation Service Large ("FT-L"), Firm Transportation Service Small ("FT-S"), General Service Small ("GS-S"), and General Service Large ("GS-L") for all of 2009 as well as Interruptible Transmission ("IT") up to and including November 2009.
 - a. From the bad debts written off in 2009 from CMS, we randomly selected 25 charge-offs and obtained the customer billing history from CMS. We documented the dates and transactions leading up to the charge off of the customer's outstanding balance, including any subsequent recovery of any portion of the balance written off. For the selected accounts with recoveries, we agreed the recovery from the CMS history to inclusion in the Bad Debts Written Off Net of Customer Recoveries from 1) a. as a credit noting no exceptions.
- 3) For the monthly recoveries through base rates in 2009 included in the schedules obtained in 1) b. above, we performed the following procedures:
 - a. We compared the sales and transportation volumes to appropriate CMS reports and noted that the volumes included relate to only to RS, RFT, RSLI, RFTLI, FT-L, FT-S, GS-S, and GS-L accounts.
 - b. We compared the bad debt recovery rate used in the calculation to the rate permitted by the PUCO, as outlined in Case No. 07-589-GA-AIR.
- 4) For the monthly recoveries through the uncollectible rider in 2009 included in the schedules obtained in 1) c. above, we compared the amounts to the corresponding amounts recorded as revenue in the Company's Hyperion Financial Management ("HFM") accounting system noting no differences.
- 5) For January, June, and December 2009, we agreed the interest rate utilized by the Company to calculate the monthly carrying charges in 1) d. above to the weighted average monthly intercompany moneypool rate from the Company's Treasury Manager system.

We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on compliance. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the management of the specified parties listed above and is not intended to be, and should not be, used by anyone other than these specified parties.

November 12, 2010

Delotte + Touche up