## BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Complaint of				
The Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel	) ) )			
10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800	) Case No. 10-2395-GA-CSS			
Columbus, Ohio 43215	)			
Stand Energy Corporation	)			
1077 Celestial Street, Suite 110	)			
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202	)		201	Æ
Border Energy, Incorporated	) )	ס	2010 NOV -3 PH 4:	RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV
9787 Fairway Drive	)		7	9
Powell, Ohio 43065	)	PUCO	ည <del>-</del>	1960
Northeast Ohio Public Energy Council	)	0	ž	<u>E</u>
31320 Solon Road, Suite 20	<b>,</b>			តិ
Solon, Ohio 44139			12	AIG
Ohio Farm Bureau Federation	)			
280 North High Street	Ś			
Columbus, Ohio 43218-2383	ý			
Complainants,	)			
v.	)			
Interstate Gas Supply d/b/a Columbia	, )			
Retail Energy	)			
5020 Bradenton Avenue	)			
Dublin, Ohio 43017	)			
Respondent.	) ) )			
In the Matter of the Application of	)			
Interstate Gas Supply, Inc. for	) Case No. 02-1683-GA-CRS			
Certification as a Retail Natural Gas	)			
Supplier	)	•		

# JOINT MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE CASES BY THE OFFICE OF THE OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL STAND ENERGY CORPORATION BORDER ENERGY, INCORPORATED NORTHEAST OHIO PUBLIC ENERGY COUNCIL, AND OHIO FARM BUREAU FEDERATION

The Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel ("OCC") Stand Energy Corporation ("Stand"), Border Energy, Inc. ("Border"), Northeast Ohio Public Energy Council ("NOPEC"), and the Ohio Farm Bureau Federation ("OFBF") (collectively "Joint Movants") move the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("PUCO" or "Commission") to consolidate the above-captioned dockets pursuant to Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-12 and Ohio Civ.R. 42(A)(1). In both proceedings, the PUCO is being asked to decide whether Interstate Gas Supply, Inc. ("IGS") should be allowed to offer competitive retail natural gas service under the "Columbia Retail Energy" trade name to consumers, and to use the Columbia sunburst logo, in the Columbia Gas of Ohio ("Columbia Gas") service territory even though IGS is not affiliated with Columbia Gas. These facts present the appropriate circumstance for consolidating the two proceedings.

The reasons for granting the Joint Motion to Consolidate are set forth in the attached Memorandum in Support.

#### Respectfully submitted,

JANINE L. MIGDEN-OSTRANDER OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

loseph F. Serio, Counsel of Record

Larry S. Sauer

Assistant Consumers' Counsel

#### Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel

10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800

Columbus, Ohio 43215

(614) 466-8574 – Telephone

(614) 466-9475 – Facsimile

serio@occ.state.oh.us

sauer@occ.state.oh.us

1/11 Per electronic outlorization John M. Dosker

General Counsel

Stand Energy Corporation

1077 Celestial Street, Suite 110

Cincinnati, Ohio 45202-1629

(513) 621-1113 – Telephone

(513) 621-3773 - Facsimile

jdosker@stand-energy.com

**Attorney for Stand Energy Corporation** 

Thehing to prite log how out lor Robins Carolyn S. Flahive

Ann B. Zallocco

Thompson Hine LLP

41 South High Street, Suite 1700

Columbus, Ohio 43215-6101

(614) 469-3200 - Telephone

(614) 469-3361 - Facsimile

Carolyn.Flahive@ThompsonHine.com

Ann.Zallocco@ThompsonHine.com

Attorneys for Border Energy, Inc.

Glenn S. Krassen (

Bricker & Eckler LLP

1001 Lakeside Avenue, Suite 1350

Cleveland, Ohio 44114

(216) 523-5405 - Telephone

(216) 523-7071 - Facsimile

gkrassen@bricker.com

Matthew W. Warnock

Bricker & Eckler LLP

100 South Third Street

Columbus, Ohio 43215-4291

(614) 227-2388 – Telephone

(614) 227-2390 - Facsimile

mwarnock@bricker.com

**Attorneys for Northeast Ohio Public** 

**Energy Council** 

Seulast / IN zu electronical la note Larry Gearhardt

Chief Legal Counsel

Ohio Farm Bureau Federation

280 North High Street

P.O. Box 182383

Columbus, Ohio 43218-2383

(614) 246-8256 - Telephone

(614) 246-8565 - Facsimile

LGearhardt@ofbf.org

Attorney for Ohio Farm Bureau

**Federation** 

# BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Complaint of	)
The Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel	) )
10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800	) Case No. 10-2395-GA-CSS
Columbus, Ohio 43215	)
Stand Energy Corporation 1077 Celestial Street, Suite 110 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202	) ) )
Border Energy, Incorporated	)
9787 Fairway Drive	· )
Powell, Ohio 43065	)
Northeast Ohio Public Energy Council	)
31320 Solon Road, Suite 20	)
Solon, Ohio 44139	)
Ohio Farm Bureau Federation	)
280 North High Street	)
Columbus, Ohio 43218-2383	)
Complainants,	) )
v.	)
Interstate Gas Supply d/b/a Columbia	, )
Retail Energy	)
5020 Bradenton Avenue	)
Dublin, Ohio 43017	)
Respondent.	)
In the Matter of the Application of	)
Interstate Gas Supply, Inc. for	) Case No. 02-1683-GA-CRS
Certification as a Retail Natural Gas	)
Supplier	)

#### MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT

#### I. INTRODUCTION

On August 6, 2010, IGS filed a Notice of Material Change ("Notice") with the Commission in Case No. 02-1683-GA-CRS (the "Name Change Case") that would allow IGS to offer competitive retail natural gas service under a new trade name, Columbia Retail Energy. The name change is allegedly pursuant to a licensing agreement between IGS and Nisource (the parent company of Columbia Gas of Ohio), and reportedly does not include an affiliate relationship. The use of this new trade name would allow IGS to offer competitive retail natural gas service under the "Columbia Retail Energy" trade name to consumers, and use the Columbia sunburst logo, in the Columbia Gas service territory even though IGS is not affiliated with Columbia Gas.

Concerned about IGS' unprecedented filing and the adverse impacts on a fair marketplace for consumers as a result of IGS' proposed use of the "Columbia" trade name, each of the Joint Movants, Delta Energy, LLC and the Retail Energy Supply Association moved to intervene in the Name Change Case. Since the filing of these seven (7) motions to intervene, the parties all have taken significant efforts to protest IGS' use of the Columbia Retail Energy trade name on the grounds, among others, that such use constitutes an unfair, misleading, deceptive, or unconscionable act. The intervenors' positions in the case are unanimously in opposition to the IGS proposal, as evidenced by the number and scope of the pleadings currently pending before the

Commission in the Name Change Case; seven (7) Motions to Intervene,<sup>1</sup> three (3)

Motions for an Evidentiary Hearing, one (1) Request for a Rulemaking, two (2) Motions to Compel Discovery,<sup>2</sup> one (1) Motion to Cease and Desist, and one (1) Motion for Sanctions.<sup>3</sup>

On October 21, 2010, OCC, Stand, Border, NOPEC, and OFBF collectively filed the above-captioned Complaint alleging that IGS has engaged in marketing, solicitation, and/or sales acts or practices which are unfair, misleading, deceptive, or unconscionable (the "Complaint Case"). The facts and legal theories identified in the Name Change Case are based on substantially the same facts and legal theories propounded in the Complaint Case. The parties are nearly identical. Both actions at issue involve common questions of law and fact relating to interpretation of the exact same transaction. Consolidation of the two actions will promote judicial efficiency and economy for the Commission and all the parties.

For these reasons, consolidation of the Name Change Case and the Complaint Case is warranted.

### II. CONSOLIDATION IS APPROPRIATE TO PROMOTE JUDICIAL EFFICIENCY.

Ohio CivR 42(A)(1) allows for the consolidation of cases involving a common question of law or fact:

When actions involving a common question of law or fact are pending before a court, that court after a hearing

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Motions to Intervene: OCC (August 20, 2010), Border (August 31, 2010), NOPEC (August 31, 2010), Stand (September 7, 2010), Retail Energy Supply Association ("RESA") (September 7, 2010), Delta (September 15, 2010) and Ohio Farm Bureau Federation ("OFBF") (October 5, 2010).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> OCC (September 17, 2010) and NOPEC (September 29, 2010).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> OCC, NOPEC, Border, Stand and Delta (September 28, 2010).

may order a joint hearing or trial of any or all the matters in issue in the actions; it may order some or all of the actions consolidated; and it may make such orders concerning proceedings therein as may tend to avoid unnecessary costs or delay.<sup>4</sup>

The power to consolidate is at the discretion of the trier of fact. BancOhio Natl. Bank v. Schiesswohl (1988), 51 Ohio App.3d 130, 132. In deciding whether to consolidate, "the court should be mindful of the purpose of consolidation, which is the saving of time when a joint trial is used as opposed to separate trials." See Waterman v. Kitrick (1990), 60 Ohio App.3d 7, 14. Consolidation is appropriate when: (1) the actions have a common question of law and fact; (2) consolidation furthers the interest of judicial efficiency; and, (3) the parties are substantially the same. Id. The Name Change Case and the Complaint Case provide the legally proper and appropriate circumstances for consolidation.

As noted above, the Complaint Case and the Name Change Case involve the same set of facts, the same marketing activities of IGS, the same licensing agreement between IGS and NiSource, the same Ohio law, and the same Commission rules. In fact, the parties in both proceedings have alleged violations of: R.C. 4929.20(A),<sup>5</sup> and Ohio Adm. Code 4901:1-27-03(A),<sup>6</sup> 4901:1-27-12(I)(7),<sup>7</sup> 4901:1-27-12(I)(9),<sup>8</sup> 4901:1-27-12(I)(11),<sup>9</sup> 4901:1-29-02(A)(d),<sup>10</sup> 4901:1-29-03(A),<sup>11</sup> and 4901:1-29-05(C)(8)(f).<sup>12</sup> Therefore, there

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Emphasis added.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Joint Motion to Cease and Desist at 5; See also Complaint at Claim 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Id; See also Complaint at Claim 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Id. at 7; See also Complaint at Claim 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Id. at 7; See also Complaint at 2, 10-12.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Id. at 7; See also Complaint at Claim 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Id. at ii, See also Complaint at Claims 10-12.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Id. at 7, 11; See also Complaint at Claims 2-9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Id. at 2, 7; See also Complaint at Claims 2 and 3.

are common, if not virtually identical, questions of law and fact justifying the consolidation of the Name Change Case and the Complaint Case.

The Commission has granted consolidation for the sake of judicial economy] in other proceedings where a determination in one case would directly affect the determination in another case involving common issues and parties. Consolidation of the Name Change Case and the Complaint Case will effectively and efficiently consider the issues while conserving resources and avoiding unnecessary delay. Furthermore, consolidation will prejudice no party, and in fact will allow the Commission to rule upon the substantive legal issues in an expedited manner. This approach to proceed effectively and efficiently will serve the Commission's regulatory imperative to ensure that Ohio's residential natural gas customers are protected from unfair, misleading and deceptive marketing tactics.

Finally, the parties in the Name Change Case and the Complaint Case are substantially similar. In fact, five of the parties intervening in the Name Change Case joined together to file the Complaint in the above-captioned case.

Because all of the prerequisites to consolidation have been satisfied, the Name Change Case and the Complaint Case should be consolidated.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> For example see *In re Complaint of the City of Huron Against Ohio Edison Company*, Case No. 03-1238 -EL-CSS, Entry at 2 (August 13, 2003). Consolidation was also recently granted by the PUCO for similar proceedings involving interconnection and net-metering complaints. See *In the Matter of the Complaint of Gerald Giesler vs. Toledo Edison Company*, Case No. 07-498-EL-CSS, et al, Entry at 2 (August 20, 2009)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Even more resources will be conserved if the Commission chooses to take judicial notice of the innumerable pleadings and discovery motions that remain pending in the Name Change Case.

#### III. CONCLUSION

The Joint Movants, IGS, and Ohio's residential natural gas utility customers will benefit from a final resolution of the issues surrounding IGS' use of the Columbia Retail Energy trade name and Columbia sunburst logo. As a result, and in the name of judicial economy, Joint Movants respectfully request that the Commission grant this Motion to Consolidate.

Respectfully submitted,

JANINE L. MIGDEN-OSTRANDER OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

Joseph P. Serio, Counsel of Record

Larry S. Sauer

Assistant Consumers' Counsel

Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel

1 It ye clactoria authorization

10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800

Columbus, Ohio 43215

(614) 466-8574 - Telephone

(614) 466-9475 - Facsimile

serio@occ.state.oh.us

sauer@occ.state.oh.us

John M. Dosker

General Counsel

Stand Energy Corporation

1077 Celestial Street, Suite 110

Cincinnati, Ohio 45202-1629

(513) 621-1113 - Telephone

(513) 621-3773 - Facsimile

jdosker@stand-energy.com

**Attorney for Stand Energy Corporation** 

and get & Station to go telephone authorished Carolyn S. Flahive Ann B. Zallocco Thompson Hine LLP 41 South High Street, Suite 1700 Columbus, Ohio 43215-6101 (614) 469-3200 – Telephone (614) 469-3361 - Facsimile Carolyn.Flahive@ThompsonHine.com Ann.Zallocco@ThompsonHine.com Attorneys for Border Energy, Inc. Is ou electrone authorization Glenn S. Krassen Bricker & Eckler LLP 1001 Lakeside Avenue, Suite 1350 Cleveland, Ohio 44114 (216) 523-5405 – Telephone (216) 523-7071 – Facsimile gkrassen@bricker.com Matthew W. Warnock Bricker & Eckler LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus, Ohio 43215-4291 (614) 227-2388 - Telephone

(614) 227-2390 – Facsimile mwarnock@bricker.com

**Attorneys for Northeast Ohio Public** 

hudt IM on destroic anthorn for

Energy Council

Larry Gearhardt

Chief Legal Counsel

Ohio Farm Bureau Federation

280 North High Street

P.O. Box 182383

Columbus, Ohio 43218-2383

(614) 246-8256 - Telephone

(614) 246-8565 – Facsimile

LGearhardt@ofbf.org

Attorney for Ohio Farm Bureau

Federation

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Joint Motion to Consolidate Cases has been served upon the below-stated counsel, via regular U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, this 3rd day of November, 2010.

arry S. Sauer

Assistant Consumers' Counsel

#### SERVICE LIST

John W. Bentine
Matthew S. White
Chester, Wilcox & Saxbe, LLP
65 East State Street, Suite 1000
Columbus, Ohio 43215
jbentine@cwslaw.com
mwhite@cwslaw.com

Vincent A. Parisi Interstate Gas Supply, Inc. 5020 Bradenton Avenue Dublin, Ohio 43017 vparisi@igsenergy.com

Andrew Mitrey
Border Energy Inc.
9787 Fairway Drive
Powell, Ohio 43065
info@border-energy.com

William Wright
Attorney General's Office
Public Utilities Section
180 East Broad Street, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215
william.wright@puc.state.oh.us

Juan Jose Perez
Perez & Morris, LLC
8000 Ravine's Edge Court, Suite 300
Columbus, Ohio 43235
jperez@perez-morris.com

Dane Stinson
Bailey Cavalieri LLC
10 West Broad Street, Suite 2100
Columbus, Ohio 43215
dane.stinson@baileycavalieri.com