

RECEIVED NOV 01 2010

FILE

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 East Broad Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215

Chairman Alan R. Schriber,

PUCO

2010 NOV -2 AM 10:29

RECEIVED-BOOKETING DIV

My wife and I would like our written comments considered in the matter of Case No. 10-176-EL-ATA.

We built our house in 1979 in a development of all electric homes. Because of the high cost of electric heat, we most likely would not have made this decision. We did so because of the All Electric discount program offered by the Electric company.

Our heat is provided by radiant ceiling panels, which means we have no forced air ductwork. Since we have no ductwork, we do not have the option to convert our heat source to another fuel.

We built our home around the all electric discount that was offered to us by the electric company. The electric company wanted to be a player in the residential heating business and offered these discounts. With the discounts we could not have afforded electric heat.

It appears that the PUCO has already decided to change the all electric home discount, I hope this is not the case.

My wife and I believe that the PUCO should hold the electric company to their current all electric discount; as was offered to consumers to get them to build all electric homes.

We believe the current all electric rate should be continued for the home built at this address. We believe the electric company offered this rate with the PUCO approval and should not be changed for as long as we own the property.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Ronald L. Davidson

Ronald L. Davidson

46473 Wayncwood Dr.

New Waterford, OH 44645

Ph 330-457-2434

Loretta L. Davidson

Loretta L. Davidson