

FILE

RECEIVED

OCT 20 2010

Case number - 10-176-EL-ATA

INVESTIGATION AND AUDIT DIVISION
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

If you want to continue discounting homes, that's fine by me. After all, when you buy a large quantity of something, the cost per item is less. If you buy a small quantity of something, the cost per item is more. It seems that this would extend to electricity.

Now, if you want to continue discounting people living in all electric homes while charging everyone else a "cost recovery fee" of some sort to subsidize the people, I'd have to say that that's NOT FAIR! I'd imagine that most people would agree. Even people with all electric homes.

It seems to me that if the new regulation requires all electric rates to be the same, why not make a segment for people with all electric homes. After all, if I were in the market for a home, I sure would NEVER consider buying an all electric home unless this discount was in place, even if the price was 25% off one that isn't all electric.

It seems to me that the fact that you are making a bit less on people with all electric homes should be absorbed by YOU and NOT the customers. Cost cutting should come from within. Such as smaller (or none) bonuses, smaller payouts to shareholders, a freeze on all raises (my wages have stayed the same for 36 months now, and sure appears will continue on and on).

John W. Clemen
Cleveland, Ohio

2010 OCT 22 PM 2:54

RECEIVED-BOOKING DIV.

This is to certify that the number appearing on the face of this document is accurate and complete reproduction of a case file. The document delivered in the regular course of business has been processed and is being returned to the originating agency.

technician