FILE	BEFORE	22	スだ		
THE PUBLIC UTILIT	THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO				
In the Matter of the Application of		20	And.		
Aqua Ohio, Inc. for Authority to Increase its Rates and Charges in its) Case No. 09-1044-WWAIR	PH 4			

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF CLIFFORD T. EVANS, P.E.

Lake Erie Division.

FACILITY AND OPERATIONS FIELD DIVISION SERVICE MONITORING AND ENFORCEMENT DEPARTMENT

> SUBMITTED ON BEHALF OF THE STAFF OF THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

> > STAFF EXHIBIT

This is to certify that the images appearing accurate and complete repreduction of a complete representation of a complete repr document delivered in the we Common total ve Sale the res

1	1.	Q.	Please	state	your	name	and	business	address.
---	----	----	--------	-------	------	------	-----	----------	----------

- 2 A. My name is Clifford T. Evans. My address is 180 E. Broad Street, Columbus,
- 3 Ohio 43215-3793.

- 5 2. Q. By whom are you employed?
- 6 A. I am employed by the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio.

7

- 8 3. Q. What is your present position with the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio and9 what are your duties?
- A. I am the Water and Wastewater Specialist (Utility Specialist II) in the Facility
 and Operations Field Division of the Service Monitoring and Enforcement
 Department. My section monitors company performance and compliance with
 Ohio Administrative Code (OAC) Chapter 4901:1-15. My section also monitors
 and enforces compliance with Commission orders as they relate to water and
 wastewater operations and service issues.

16

4. Q. Would you briefly state your educational background and work history?

18

17

A. I have a Bachelor of Science degree in Civil Engineering (1975) from the

Pennsylvania State University and am registered in Ohio, Illinois and Indiana as

a professional engineer. I have worked in the water and wastewater utility field

for most of the last 35 years starting in 1975 as a field engineer performing meter

testing, leak studies and distribution modeling for The Pitometer Associates.

1	In 1978 I was employed by the Kankakee Water Company, currently known as
2	Aqua Illinois, starting as a staff engineer and progressing to the position of
3	Distribution and Collection manager. My duties included engineering design,
4	project management, and the supervision of the company's distribution
5	department.
6	In 1987 I was employed by Utilities, Inc of Northbrook, Illinois as their
7	Administrative Engineer. My duties included project management and
8	environmental permitting issues for water and wastewater systems in 11 states.
9	In 1989 I was employed by Citizens Utilities of Illinois as their Manager of Plant
10	Engineering where I headed the design and project management team for water
11	and wastewater projects.
12	In 1990 I was employed by the consulting firm of Baxter & Woodman in Crystal
13	Lake, Illinois as a project engineer where I worked on client water and
14	wastewater improvement projects.
15	In 1990 I was employed by the consulting firm of Beam, Longest and Neff, Inc.
16	of Champaign, Illinois as a project engineer where I worked on client water and
17	wastewater improvement projects.
18	In 1992 I was employed by the Ohio American Water Company as an Operations
19	Engineer. My duties included various levels of design, permitting, and project
20	management for improvements in all of the company's facilities referred to as
21	the Group A, Mansfield, and Lake White systems.
22	In 2000 I was employed as a Senior Project Engineer by Floyd Browne
23	Associates, an environmental consulting firm. My duties included

1			comprehensive planning studies, permitting, and design of client water and
2			wastewater projects.
3			In 2002 I was employed as an Environmental Compliance Officer for the
4			Buckeye Egg Farm (later to become Ohio Fresh Eggs). My duties included the
5			supervision of the potable water systems at two of the company's northern
6			properties, and the management of any projects associated with the firm's
7			environmental permits and compliance agreements.
8			I am a member of the American Water Works Association and joined the Public
9			Utilities Commission of Ohio in June 2005.
10			
11	5.	Q.	What is the subject matter of your testimony in this case?
12		A.	My testimony concerns certain objections that were filed by Aqua Ohio Water
13			Company (Aqua or Company) and the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel
14			(OCC). More specifically I will address Aqua's Objection No. 10 and OCC's
15			Objection Numbers 36 and 37.
16			
17	6.	Q.	What was Aqua's Objection Number 10?
18		A.	This objection was concerning the Staff's recommendation that the Company
19			should begin submitting Infrastructure Leakage Index (ILI) calculations in
20			addition to the Unaccounted-for-Water (UFW). Aqua submits that if ILI
21			functions better than the UFW ratio, that it should be accepted as a substitute,
22			rather than a supplement to the UFW rule requirements.

- 1 7. Q. What is Staff's position with regards to this objection?
- A. Staff stated in the Staff Report on page 30 that "the Infrastructure Leakage Index
- 3 (ILI) developed by the American Water Works Association (AWWA)¹ and the
- 4 International Water Association (IWA) may function better than the UFW ratio
- 5 required by the current rules as a benchmark indication of a system's
- 6 performance in minimizing the impact of excessive water losses on water
- 7 customers." Staff acknowledges that much of the data necessary for the
- 8 calculation of UFW and ILI may be redundant. However, the approach taken by
- 9 the current rules sets a firm target value of 15% UFW for all systems and under
- all conditions. The ILI approach allows for flexibility in the establishment of ILI
- target values based on additional factors not considered in the UFW calculations,
- but that may better reflect the cost impact of unavoidable leakage on the
- 13 Company's customers. Staff finds that some period of evaluation of the two
- 14 approaches in parallel is necessary to set these target values for each individual
- system.

- 8. Q. Do you have any comments on the Company's position that ILI be accepted as a
- substitute for UFW?
- A. Yes, I do. Staff finds that as promising as the ILI method may be, that the Ohio
- 19 Administrative Code specifically requires the submission of UFW figures in
- Quarterly Reports. As long as the rules require this or until such time as the
- Commission itself acts to permit the substitution of ILI data, Staff finds that
- 22 UFW must continue to be reported quarterly.

¹ AWWA Manual of Water Supply Practices M36, Water Audits and Loss Control Programs, 3rd Edition.

- Q. OCC Objection Number 36 was concerning water quality issues in several of the
 Company's service areas. What are your comments on this objection?
- 3 A. The Staff Report referred to taste, discolored water and pressure issues called 4 into the Company by its customers in 2009 and reported to the Staff in the 5 quarterly operations reports as required by OAC Rule 4901:1-15-14(H). Staff 6 did not find that the level of the complaints received indicated any large scale 7 water quality issues, but recommended that the Company revisit the issues and 8 provide a report within 60 days of the Opinion and Order in this case to better 9 establish any underlying causes and any remedial actions that may have been 10 taken. OCC stated that it is within the Staff's authority to investigate these 11 issues without a Commission order and that delaying the inquiry was 12 unreasonable.
- 13 10. Q. What is Staff's position on OCC's objection?
- A. Staff agrees that in its management oversight role it has the authority to conduct
 this type of investigation without a Commission order. However, Staff also
 disagrees that including the investigation it is asking for in the Commission order
 to be issued as part of this case will create an unreasonable delay in any actions
 that may be deemed appropriate to reduce the incidents of future similar
 contacts.
- 20 11. Q. OCC also objected (OCC Objection Number 37) to the Staff Report's apparent
 21 failure to adequately address the Company's compliance with the Lake Seneca
 22 Stipulation Commitment from rate case 07-564-WW-AIR. What are you
 23 comments on this objection?

- A. As stated in the Staff Report, only one discolored water complaint was received by the Commission call center since the issuance of an Opinion and Order in the last rate case. This incident reported in the complaint was the outfall of a system flushing and was handled by the local operator directly according to his standard business practices. OCC recommends that the business practice for such complaints should be documented in writing and all future communications and ultimate resolutions of similar future complaints should be memorialized to avoid the possibility of future uncertainty or misunderstandings. Staff agrees that it is in the best interest of the Company and its customers that this information should be logged or recorded, and that the records should be made available to the Staff for review upon request.
- 12 12. Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 13 A. Yes, it does.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true copy of the foregoing Prepared Testimony of Clifford T. Evans, P.E. was served upon the following parties of record by regular U.S. mail, postage prepaid, this 20th day of July, 2010.

Sarah J. Parrot

Assistant Attorney General

John W. Bentine
Mark S. Yurick
Chester, Willcox & Saxbe LLP
65 East State Street, Suite 1000
Columbus, Ohio 43215-4213
jbentine@cwslaw.com
myurick@cwslaw.com

Gregory J. Poulos
Michael E. Idzkowski
Kyle Verrett
Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel
10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485
poulos@occ.state.oh.us
idzkowski@occ.state.oh.us
verrett@occ.state.oh.us