Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (PUCO) 180 East Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793
(614) 466-3016
www.PUCO.ohio.gov
ATTENTION: Mr. Jim Ripke
Service Monitoring and Enforcement Department

Mr. Jim Ripe,
It has been brought to my attention that I have the right to file a formal complaint against Duke Energy in accordance with Ohio Revised Code Section 4905:25. Please note that I have taken all the appropriate steps to try to resolve my complaint informally with Duke Energy leading to inadequate results.

I strongly feel Duke Energy has violated the law; failed to follow its rules and regulations on file with the Commission; and that a rule, rate, charge, service and/or practice by Duke Energy is unjust and unreasonable. I am confident that I have more than enough information and documentation included to prove my case.

Attached to this letter, you will find my formal complaint against Duke Energy. I have provided an original copy, as well as 10 copies. Please let me know if you would like additional copies. If you have any questions, concerns, and/or need more information, please feel free to contact me at your convenience.

I look forward in hearing from you soon, as well as resolving my case with Duke Energy.


Sincerely,
Michael K. Smith
Case ID: MSMI0427102B
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Public Utilities
Commission
Ted Strickiand, Govemor
Valeme A Limble

June 3, 2010

Mr. Michael K. Smith
Bethel, OH 45106
CASEID: MSMIO427102B
Dear Mr. Smith:
Thank you for contacting the Public Ulillties Commission of Ohio (PUCO). Enclosed is the necessary information for filing a formal complaint.

Please note that all filings must be made on $8-1 / 2$ by 11 inch paper. You must provide one original and 10 copies of the complaint.

II you have any questions about this or any other regulated utility-related matier, please contact the PUCO Consumer Hotine at 1-800-686-PUCO (7826). For more information regarding the PUCO, visit us on the web at unw. PUCQ.ohio.gov.

Sincerely,

Jim Ripke
Service Monitoring and Enforcement Department

Enclosure

## FORMAL COMPLAINT FORM

## Michael K. Smith

AGAINST

## Duke Energy Company

MY COMPLAINT IS:

First, I want to begin by stating my personal information. My name is Michael K. Smith and I am a residential customer of Duke Energy. I live at 1989 Bethel Hygiene Road, Bethel, Ohio 45106. My home phone number 513-734-4619. I have resided at this current address for over 33 years. My Duke Energy service account number is \#0210-0123-20-0.

My complaint is as follows ... I do not agree with Duke Energy's attempt to do a billing adjustment for so called "unmetered usage" for my electric service account \#0210-0123-20-0.

NOTE: ADDITIONAL INFORMATION IS ATTACHED


SIGNATURE
1989 Bethel Hygiene Road

## STREET ADDRESS

Bethel, Ohio 45106
CITY, STATE, ZIP
513-734-4619
TELEPHONE NUMBER

Per the letter, dated April 22, 2010 / received April 26, 2010, I do not agree with Duke Energy's right to re-bill due to the fact that Duke Energy is the one at fault. They failed to follow no less than five (5) different sections of the Ohio Revised Code, as well as, violated The Ohio Administrative Code for a total of 145+ violations between the dates of September 15, 2009 and May 21,2010 . I will list each of these sections in the following paragraphs. The compiled list are violations of the law, the rules, or a combination of both.

SECTIONS VIOLATED (SECTION 1)
OHIO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE - 4901:1-10-23 Billing adjustments. Section (A)
(A) When an electric utility has undercharged any nonresidential customer as the result of a meter or metering inaccuracy, billing problem, or other continuing problem under the electric utility's control, unless the customer and the electric utility agree otherwise, the maximum portion of the undercharge that may be billed to the customer in any billing month, based upon the appropriate rates, shall be determined by dividing the amount of the undercharge by the number of months of undercharged service. Each electric utility shall state the total amount to be collected in the first bill under this rule. This rule shall not affect the electric utility's recovery of regular monthly charges.

## DISPUTE / VIOLATION

Duke Energy did not state the total amount to be collected in the first bill I received. My first bill received was on May 22, 2010. This bill was for April/May 2010 (see copy of bill on page 19).

OHIO REVISED CODE -4933.28 Correcting residential utility billings. Section (D)
(D) A gas, natural gas, or electric light company may not collect any amount otherwise permitted to be collected under this section unless the company, in the first bill the purpose of which is to collect the amount for unmetered gas or electric service, states the entire amount that it seeks to collect.

## DISPUTE / VIOLATION

Bill details must be stated on the first bill received (see copy of bill on page 19).
Bills for February/March 2010, and March/April 2010, were on hold and not mailed to me. 1 called Duke Energy about not receiving the bills and they replied that my account/bills were on hold for reviewing purposes. Duke Energy never told me how long my account/bills would be on hold. They said to just wait until | receive my next bill to resume payment and see charge information explaining the details.

On March 26, 20101 received a "Billing Adjustment for Unmetered Usage" letter from Duke Energy stating my total charges, but no bill/explanation was included (see letter on page 11). First bill mailed to me for service was for April/May 2010, which did not include explanation of charges. However, the bill did include the overall total amount due. Therefore, I am disputing that Duke Energy may not collect any amount otherwise permitted to be collected unless the company, lists in the first bill the purpose of which is to collect the amount for unmetered electric service, states the entire amount that it seeks to collect. Duke Energy failed to comply to these specific rules.

SECTIONS VIOLATED (SECTION 2)

OHIO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE - 4901:1-13-04 Metering. Section (G)(2)
(G) Meter reading.
(2) If a gas or natural gas company fails to read a residential or small commercial customer's meter for any reason for any twelve-month period and the company has underestimated the customer's usage, the company may only bill the customer for the difference between the estimated usage and the actual usage under the terms of section 4933.28 of the Revised Code based upon the appropriate rates in effect at the time the gas or natural gas was used. If the company fails to read a residential or small commercial customer's meter for any twelve-month period and the company has overestimated the customer's usage, the company shall credit such customer for the overestimated usage at the appropriate rate(s) in effect at the time the gas or natural gas was used.

DISPUTE / VIOLATION
Refers to gas, but should also apply to electric because it is under the same company, Duke Energy. My meter was physically read every month and was not being estimated. I personally talked to the Monthly Reader Meter, Rodney Ogeltree, and he confirmed he was reporting the defective meter each month to his employer, Duke Energy.

SECTIONS VIOLATED (SECTION 3)

OHIO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE - 4901:1-10-05 Metering. Section (B) Violated 138 Times (138 days).
(B) A customer's electric usage shall be metered by commercially acceptable measuring devices that comply with "American National Standards Institute" (ANSI) standards. Meter accuracy shall comply with the 2001 ANSI C12.1 standards. No metering device shall be placed in service or knowingly allowed to remain in service if it does not comply with these standards.

## DISPUTE / VIOLATION

No metering device is allowed to remain in service if it does not comply with the standards. My meter running backwards in August/September 2009 shows that it was a defective meter, but Duke Energy covered it up by changing the readings on my bills. These bills include September/ October, October/November 2009, November/December 2009, December 2009/January 2010, and January/February 2010. Once again, the Monthly Meter Reader confirmed that he knew about the defective meter and it running backwards. He said he was aware from the beginning. He stated (again) that he was reporting the problem and the number readings to Duke Energy each month. Duke Energy intentionally left a defective electric meter in service and attempled to cover it up. Somebody at Duke Energy was not entering new readings to replace the old readings. They did this task so the meter did not look like it was running backwards. Therefore, resulting in covering up the mistake(s) made by Duke Energy during the particular months noted.

## SECTIONS VIOLATED (SECTION 4)

OHIO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE - 4901:1-10-22 Electric utility customer billing and payments. Section (B), Paragraph 8 (a) (b)
Violated 5 Times (Monthly Bills Violated: September/October 2009, October/November 2009, November/December 2009, December 2009/January 2010, January/February 2010). (See bills on pages 31-35).
(B) Customer bills issued by or for the electric utility shall be accurate, shall be rendered at monthly intervals, and shall contain clear and understandable form and language. Each bill shall state at least the following information:
(8) The billing determinants applicable:
(a) Beginning meter reading(s)
(b) Ending meter reading(s).

DISPUTE / VIOLATION
My Duke Energy bills were not accurate. My beginning and ending meter reading(s) were not indicated on my monthly bills as reported by my Meter Reader.

SECTIONS VIOLATED (SECTION 5)

OHIO REVISED CODE - 4933.28 Correcting residential utility billings. Section (B)
(B) No company shall recover any interest charge, service charge, or fee, whether or not a percentage is utilized for its computation, for billings made pursuant to this section.

## DISPUTE / VIOLATION

Duke Energy is charging a late fee on the amount I am currently disputing. They are charging a disputed amount of $\$ .015$ per month of the total disputed amount of $\$ 1,583.42$. A late fee was on my April/May 2010 and May/June 2010 bills. Duke Energy is aware of my dispute and is still charging me late fees. Duke Energy's Supervisor (Sarah) was informed on May 28, 2010 that the charges were going to be in dispute with the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (PUCO).

Michael K. Smith 1989 Bethel Hygiene Rd. Bethel, Ohio 45106

## STATEMENT OF RELIEF - <br> WHAT I WOULD LIKE THE COMMISSION TO DO ABOUT MY COMPLAINT

What I would like is for the Commission to rule in my favor versus the favor of Duke Energy. I have come to the conclusion that with all the collected information I have compiled into this document, I feel there is more than enough reason to prevent Duke Energy from collecting any or part of the disputed amount totalling $\$ 1,583.42$. Duke Energy has violated the Ohio Administrative Code and has failed to follow no less that 5 Sections of the Ohio Revised Code. I have concrete evidence stating/showing the correct laws/rules that are put into place to protect service users like myself. Duke Energy has covered up their mistake(s) and should be reprimanded accordingly. I also leave it up to the Commission if any fines or penalties should be levied against Duke Energy (under Section 4901-1-10-30, see paragraph below) for the countless errors made during the time period from September 15, 2009 and the present time.

OHIO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE - 4901:1-10-30
Failures to comply with the rules or commission orders.
(A) Any electric utility or CRES provider that fails to comply with the rules and standards in this chapter, or with any commission order, direction, or requirement promulgated thereunder, may be subject to any and all remedies available under the law, including but not limited to the following:
(1) Forfeiture to the state of not more than ten thousand dollars for each such failure, with each day's continuance of the violation being a separate offense.
(2) Corrective action to effectuate compliance.
(3) Restitution or damages to the customer/consumer.

As you can see, I have done a lot of research referring to Meters and Meter Readings. During my search, I have read, and confirmed with several people, that the older wheel type meters always run fast. My meter was over 33 years old. I also want to point out that my neighbor's meter was running fast and was replaced by Duke Energy within two months time. If you need to check records, here is his information: James Hamblin, 1985 Bethel Hygiene Road, Bethel, Ohio 45106, 513-734-4372. Since Duke Energy failed to replace the old defective meter in September 2009 and delayed installing a new, more reliable, digital meter, I have noticed a major change with the new meter versus the old meter. The new meter is showing an average

38\% less kwh usage for the months of February/March 2010, March/April 2010, April/May 2010, and May/June 2010. I want Duke Energy to do a review of the past usage from August 2009 back to September 2008. With this conclusion, I want a $38 \%$ refund over this particular time period due to Duke Energy overcharging my usage by $38 \%$ with the defective meter in place. This dollar figure amount would be close to $\$ 965.00$. The reason I am asking Duke Energy to do a review of the past usage all the way back to September 2008 is because that if Duke Energy had replaced the defective meter when they were supposed to, I would have noticed the $38 \%$ overcharge/drop in kwh usage. It is my right, as an Utility User, to have them re-evaluate up to the past year of usage (see page 12). I feel that due to Duke Energy's mistake by not replacing the defective meter, I should be allowed to go back a year from when the meter should have been replaced.

QUICK SUMMARY -
WHAT FINAL RESULTS I WOULD LIKE AS THE OUTCOME OF MY COMPLAINT

1. Pay $\$ 0$ for the mistakes/errors that Duke Energy should be held accountable for. Therefore, I am not accountable for any past charges between September 2009 to February 2010.
2. Duke Energy should receive any fines or penalties for failing to comply with the rules or commission orders by the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (PUCO).
3. Restitution or damages be paid to the customer/consumer (ME). This will involve Duke Energy to do a review of the past usage from August 2009 back to September 2008. I want a $38 \%$ refund over this particular time period due to Duke Energy overcharging my usage by $38 \%$ with the defective meter in place. This dollar amount would be close to $\$ 965.00$.

April 22, 2010

Michael K Smith 1989 Bethel-Hygiene Rd Bethel, OH 45106

Subject: Billing Adjustment for Unmetered Usage for Account Number 0210012320
Dear Mr. Smith:
It has been determined that electric meter 37119652 at 1989 Bethel-Hygiene Rd was not registering properly for the time period of $7 / 16 / 09$ to $2 / 0210$. As a result of this finding, the meter was replaced on $2 / 02 / 10$. Although metering equipment may occasionally malfunction, use of the service often continues without interruption and without proper meter registration.

When this circumstance occurs, Ohio law states that the company can re-bill residential accounts for that portion electric usage that did not register for up to one year immediately prior to the date the defective meter was removed. Therefore, in accordance with Ohio law, in order to bill for the unmetered usage, we have recalculated and re-billed your account for the time period of 7/16/09 to 2/02/10.

The method of determining the actual usage during this time period was based on a review of your usage patterns before and/or after installation of the new meter. Additionally, the appropriate rate in effect during the malfunction period was used to calculate the dollar amount owed. Any payments made to this account during the noted time period have been credited as well.

A billing adjustment of $\$ 1583.42$ for the unmetered usage will be reflected on your next billing statement.

We realize that unexpected bills can be difficult to manage. After you have received your bill, please feel free to call our Customer Service Department at 1-800-544-6900 if you have any questions concerning the adjustment. You may request a payment agreement of up to 12 months if needed.

At Duke Energy, we value you as a customer and appreciate the opportunity to serve you.
Sincerely,

J.R. Rainear

Customer Service Department


Charges from September 2008 to August 2009 were overcharged by $38 \%$. This \$2,539.62 is due to the old meter running fast as compared to current new meter readings x 38\% from March 2010 to June 2010. New meter is running $38 \%$ less than the old meter.

## FACTS / PROOF ABOUT COMPLAINT FILED

FACTS / PROOF ABOUT COMPLAINT FILED
Section 1 - Charges Not On 1st Bill Mailed

## SECTIONS VIOLATED (SECTION 1)

## OHIO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE - 4901:1-10-23 Billing adjustments. Section (A)

(A) When an electric utility has undercharged any nonresidential customer as the result of a meter or metering inaccuracy, billing problem, or other continuing problem under the electric utility's control, unless the customer and the electric utility agree otherwise, the maximum portion of the undercharge that may be billed to the customer in any billing month, based upon the appropriate rates, shall be determined by dividing the amount of the undercharge by the number of months of undercharged service. Each electric utility shall state the total amount to be collected in the first bill under this rule. This rule shall not affect the electric utility's recovery of regular monthly charges.

## DISPUTE / VIOLATION

Duke Energy did not state the total amount to be collected in the first bill I received. My first bill received was on May 22, 2010. This bill was for April/May 2010 (see copy of bill on page 19).

## OHIO REVISED CODE - 4933.28 Correcting residential utility billings. Section (D)

(D) A gas, natural gas, or electric light company may not collect any amount otherwise permitted to be collected under this section unless the company, in the first bill the purpose of which is to collect the amount for unmetered gas or electric service, states the entire amount that it seeks to collect.

## DISPUTE / VIOLATION

Bill details must be stated on the first bill received (see copy of bill on page 19).
Bills for February/March 2010, and March/April 2010, were on hold and not mailed to me. I called Duke Energy about not receiving the bills and they replied that my account was on hold for reviewing purposes. They said to just wait until I receive my next bill to resume payment and see charge information.

On March 26, 2010 I received a letter from Duke Energy stating my total charges, but no bill/ explanation was included (see letter on page 11). First bill mailed to me for service was for April/May 2010, which did not include explanation of charges. However, the bill did include the overall total amount due. Therefore, I am disputing that Duke Energy may not collect any amount otherwise permitted to be collected unless the company, lists in the first bill the purpose of which is to collect the amount for unmetered electric service, states the entire amount that it seeks to collect. Duke Energy failed to comply to these specific rules.

## EXPLANATION

Section 1 - Charges Not On 1st Bill Mailed

Once my electric bills resumed, the first bill I received from Duke Energy was on May 22, 2010. This specific bill had services from April/May 2010 and included a "Disconnection Notice" from Duke Energy. Along with the "Disconnection Notice," there was also a "Late Payment" charge included on this bill. The "Late Payment" charge was for the amount of $\$ 32.55$ indicating that is was for the past due amount totalling $\$ 2,170.27$. I was never billed for this amount. This total amount appeared on my first bill I received on May 22, 2010 as past due. There was no explanation about any billing adjustments, no breakdown explanation explaining the amount of usage charged, and no explanation about what the usage charges were based on. As I stated before, this was the fist bill I have received since February 2010. Duke Energy was aware that I was not receiving my bills because I had called them when I did not receive my bill for February/March
2010. They replied that my account/bills were on hold for reviewing purposes. Duke Energy never told me how long my account/bills would be on hold. They said to just wait until I receive my next bill to resume payment and see charge information explaining the details.

I called Duke Energy on April 1, 2010, April 13, 2010, and on April 20, 2010. Each time I called, I was told by the Duke Energy Operator(s) to just wait until I receive my next bill. The Operator(s) explained that my bills would once again resume once the "hold" was lifted from my account. At that time, then I can make my payment, but not until these few things have to happen first by Duke Energy.

I received a "Billing Adjustment for Unmetered Usage" letter from Duke Energy on April 26, 2010 (see page 11). This letter was dated April 22, 2010. After reading the letter, I already knew that I was going to dispute the charge because I did not agree with the time period that Duke Energy was suggesting that my meter was not registering properly. As the law/rule/code states, I knew that Duke Energy had to explain everything in full detail on my next billing statement. Of course, at this point, I didn't know when the "hold" was going to be lifted from my account nor did I know when I was going to receive my next billing statement once the account resumes back to normal. l assumed that the "Billing Adjustment for Unmetered Usage" would be explained in the April/May 2010 bill which would be my next billing cycle. I want to point out that as of April 20, 2010, my account/bills were still on "hold" per my phone conversation with the Duke Energy Operator. Therefore, I knew my March/April 2010 bill was still on "hold" as well. I am very aware of my monthly bills and I keep close track on when my bills are received, due, and paid. I have been talking with the my Meter Reader about this ongoing issue. My monthly Meter Reader can confirm this statement to be accurate. I normally receive my Duke Energy bill 4-5 days after my meter is read by the Meter Reader. My meter is read almost always around the 16th of every month. My meter was read April 16, 2010 by the meter reader. I received the "Billing Adjustment for Unmetered Usage" letter 10 days after my meter was read. I contacted the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (PUCO) on April 27, 2010 because I wanted to find out how I go about disputing the charges. I also wanted to find out more information about the laws that Duke Energy was referring to in their letter. I talked with PUCO's Maurice and he assigned a number to my case, but he said I had to wait to file a dispute until after I have receive my billing statement from Duke Energy. He also said that I had to talk with a Duke Energy Supervisor first before I could dispute anything as well. On April 29, 2010, PUCO's Mr. Jim Ripke emailed me the rules and code sections that the utility company must follow. I was still waiting to receive my first Duke Energy bill, so I had plenty of time to read and review these specific rules and codes that were brought to my attention.

I called Duke Energy on Friday May 21, 2010 to ask about my April/May 2010 bill. I can only see a brief summary on my Duke Energy Online Account located at www.dukeenergy.com (see sample on page 16). I have limited amount of information that I can view online because I am not enrolled in Duke Energy's "Paperless Billing \& Online Payment." While reviewing the information,

> I noticed the numbers did not add up on my May 2010 bill. Therefore, I knew there had to be a late charge included on my account. Duke Energy Operator Gladys confirmed there was a late charge added to my April/May 2010 bill. I told Gladys that I had not received my April/May 2010 bill yet and was only viewing what little information I could see on my Online Duke Energy Account (see pages 16 and 17 to see examples of what little information / can view online due to the fact that I am not enrolled in "Paperless Billing \& Online Payment"). Gladys stated that because my meter was just read on Monday, May 17, 2010, I would receive my April/May 2010 bill within a couple of days. I also explained to Gladys the entire situation and the fact that I have never received ANY bills since February 2010. Of course, this included the March/April 2010 bill, so how can I have a late charge when I have never received the bill to begin with? She proceeded to explain that the billing adjustments were made on my March/April 2010 bill that I should have already received in the mail. I informed her that Duke Energy had never mailed me the March/ April 2010 bill and explained to her that I was told, by another Duke Energy Operator, that my account has been on "hold" for reviewing purposes since March 2010. Gladys said that the March/April 2010 bill was sent, but must have been lost in the mail. She blamed the United States Post Office on losing my March/April 2010 bill. I asked her to send me a copy of my March/April 2010 bill so I could see how Duke Energy was doing the billing adjustment on my account (see page 21 for the requested copy of the March/April 2010 bill).

I received my normal May 2010 bill on Saturday, May 22, 2010.
I was well aware that I had to contact a Duke Energy Supervisor before I could file a dispute with the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (PUCO). On Monday morning, May 24, 2010, (9:36 a.m. to be exact), I called and talked with a Duke Energy Supervisor named Sandi. She knew nothing

| Account Summary |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| 02100123200 |  |
| Account status as of $7 / 9 / 2010$ |  |
| Last Fayment Received | $\$ 670.49$ |
| $5 / 26 / 2010$ - Thank you! |  |
| Amount Due | $\$ 1,711.07$ |
|  | Pay |
| Bill Summary ending $6 / 17 / 2010$ | View |
| Previous Balance | $\$ 1,583.42$ |
| New Charges | $\$ 127.65$ |
| Last bill amount due $7 / 9 / 2010$ | $\$ 1,711.07$ |

Sample of what little I can see when viewing my online account. about what law the Duke Energy letter referred to and that she would email the person who did the review. Sandi told me that I did not need to talk with this specific person and that she would handle everything. She knew nothing about any of the things I was disputing and that she would get back to me on this subject. Later that same day on Monday afternoon, May 24, 2010, I received the requested copy of my March/April 2010, after I had already called Duke Energy to talk with a supervisor.

On Friday, May 28, 2010, Duke Energy Supervisor Sandi left me a voice message stating that she could resend me the Duke Energy letter dated April 22, 2010 if I did not receive it. She left this message at 10:55 a.m. with no other information other than to call her back if I did want the letter resent. I had the letter. It was the March/April 2010 bill that I did not have at the time that I spoke to her on the phone. The code states the adjusted charges are supposed to be on the first bill sent to the customer. The first

| Selected Bill: $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Service Address: } \\ 5 / 18 / 2010 \\ \\ \hline\end{array}\right)$ | ETHEL, OH 45106 | Compare with: <br> ©Last month |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Summary Electric Details <br> Account: 02100123200 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Selected bill: $5 / 18 / 2010$ | Last month: $4 / 26 / 2010$ | 6 Impact |
| (3) Total Electric: | \$83.64 | \$164.51 | + 580.87 |
| (2) Total for this Service Address: | \$83.64 | \$164.51 | + 50057 |
| (1)Past Due: | \$2,202.82 | \$2,005.76 | -\$197.06 |
| Total Bill: | \$2,286.46 | \$2,170.27 | + \$116.19 |


| Selected Bill: Service Address: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $6 / 17 / 2010$ |  |
| GETHEL-HYGIENE RD, BETHEL, OH 45105 Compare with: |  |
| O Last month $O$ Last year |  |

Summary Electric Detaits
Account: 02100123200

|  | Selected bill: $6 / 17 / 2010$ | Last month: $5 / 18 / 2010$ | 6 Impact |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3) Total Electric: | \$103.90 | \$ 33.64 | +\$20.26 |
| (3) Total for this Service Address: | 5103.90 | \$83.64 | + 580 |
| Electric late payment | \$23.75 | - | + \$23.75 |
| (2) Past Due: | \$1,583.42 | \$2,202.82 | - 5815 |
| Total Bill | \$1,711.07 | \$2,286.46 | + $\mathbf{\$ 5 7 5 . 3 9}$ |

## Bronyy Online Services

## View Your Bill Online

To wiaw your bll online you must be enrolled in Papertess billing a online Payment. Please select "Sign up" below to view your bill online and receive all of the benefits of Paperiess Billeng \& Online Payment.

Get these benefits when you enroll:

- Check your inbox, not your mailbox. Receive a monthly e-mail when your bill is ready to wiew and pay onfine.
* Save money, time and effort by yiewing and paying your bills online.
- Once you enroll, set up rexuring payments or make a same day payment.
- No more witing checks, buying stamps or filing paper bills.

Learn more about Paperless Billng \& Oriline Pavment.
bill sent to me was for the April/May 2010 bill, not the March/April 2010 bill. Duke Energy says they sent the March/April 2010 bill, but I find it very odd to be the only bill I have never received in over 33 years of residing at this address. Plus, I received the "Billing Adjustment for Unmetered Usage" letter and every other offer, incentive and/or ad that Duke Energy has mailed out to its current customers. Another good example, is the fact that Duke Energy could send me a copy of the March/April 2010 bill within 2 days is proof to me that Duke Energy never sent the March/ April 2010 bill stating the adjustment charges as they are claiming they did.

I also want to point out that the March/April 2010 bill listed a recovery amount, but no explanation of how it was determined. The "Billing Adjustment for Unmetered Usage" letter stated that the meter was not registering properly starting with the June/July 2009 bill. I will later show that Duke Energy showed me the meter actually failed between August 14, 2009 and September 15, 2009 (see pages 29-30).

I spoke with Jean Deletra from the Ohio Consumers Counsel (OCC). She said it appeared that the charges from Duke Energy are based on 17,300 kwh of unmetered usage. I went over my bills for the past 3 years and I have never had usage over $13,503 \mathrm{kwh}$ from the months between September to February. None of the information explained to me by Jean Deletra was included on the first bill I received.


Envelope for April/May 2010 Bill Received on May 22, 2010. This is the first bill I received.



If your service is disconnected for non payment, in addition to a reconnection charge, you will be required to pay a deposit in the amount of $\$ 120.00$ before service is restored.
IMPORTANT: Your service may be disconnected it your past due amount of $\$ 422.34$ is not paid before 06/11/2010. A reconnection charge will be required. In addition, a service deposit may be required; if so, payment arrangements may be available. For questions, please call the number shown above.


| Elactic-Besjdentid_l |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Usage- 594 kWh |  |
| Duke Energy - Rate RS | $\$ 83.64$ |
| Current Electric Charges | $\$ 83.64$ |


| Current Billing |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Amt Due - Previous Bill |  |
| Late Payment Charges) | $\$ 2,170.27$ |
| Balance Forward | 32.55 |
| Current Electric Charges | $2,202,82$ |
| Current Amount Due | $\mathbf{8 3 . 6 4}$ |

PRICE TO COMPARE: in order for an average residential customer to save money, an electric supplier must offer a price lower than 9.61 cents per KWh. Your Price to Compare may be different based on your usage. Visit www. duke-energy com to calculate your individual Price to Compare or contact Duke Energy for a written explanation.


Duke Energy.

Duke
Energy.

Mar/Apr Bill.
Rec. Minsky $24,2,310$
Loopy bf Afr. Bill not Recreved.
In Apr because was not sentinApr.


Envelope for March/April 2010 Bill Received on May 24, 2010.
This was the copy that I requested due to not receiving the bill in the first place.

FACTS / PROOF ABOUT COMPLAINT FILED Section 1 - Charges Not On 1st Bill Mailed


The FUCO approved an adjustment to Fider SAT (part of the Delivery Riders) and Pider Fpp effactive April 1, 2010. A typical residential customer using 1,000 kWh per month will see an increase of approximalely $\$ 0.49$ or $0.4 \%$.

PFICE TO COMPARE: In order for an average residential customer to save money, an electric supplier must ofter a price lower than 9.61 cents per kWh. Your Price to Compare may be diftenent hased on your usage. Visit www duke-energy.com to calculate your individual Pice to Compare or contaci Duke Energy for a written explanation.


March/April 2010 Bill Received on May 24, 2010. This was the copy that I requested due to not receiving the bill in the first place.

# FACTS / PROOF ABOUT COMPLAINT FILED 

## FACTS / PROOF ABOUT COMPLAINT FILED

Section 2 - Meter Was Read Each Month - Not Considered An Unread Meter

Section 3 - Defective Meter

Section 4 - Correct Meter Readings Not Reported On Bill As Reported By Meter Reader

## SECTIONS VIOLATED (SECTION 2)

OHIO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE - 4901:1-13-04 Metering. Section (G)(2)
(G) Meter reading.
(2) If a gas or natural gas company fails to read a residential or small commercial customer's meter for any reason for any twelve-month period and the company has underestimated the customer's usage, the company may only bill the customer for the difference between the estimated usage and the actual usage under the terms of section 4933.28 of the Revised Code based upon the appropriate rates in effect at the time the gas or natural gas was used. If the company fails to read a residential or small commercial customer's meter for any twelve-month period and the company has overestimated the customer's usage, the company shall credit such customer for the overestimated usage at the appropriate rate(s) in effect at the time the gas or natural gas was used.

## DISPUTE / VIOLATION

Refers to gas, but should also apply to electric because it is the same company, Duke Energy. My meter was physically read every month and was not being estimated. I personally talked to the Monthly Reader Meter and he confirmed each month that he was reporting the defective meter to his employer, Duke Energy.

## SECTIONS VIOLATED (SECTION 3)

OHIO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE - 4901:1-10-05 Metering. Section (B) Violated 138 Times ( 138 days).
(B) A customer's electric usage shall be metered by commercially acceptable measuring devices that comply with "American National Standards Institute" (ANSI) standards. Meter accuracy shall comply with the 2001 ANSI C12.1 standards. No metering device shall be placed in service or knowingly allowed to remain in service if it does not comply with these standards.

## DISPUTE / VIOLATION

No metering device is allowed to remain in service if it does not comply with the standards. My meter running backwards in September 2009 shows that is was a defective meter, but Duke Energy covered it up by changing the readings on the bills. These bills include September/ October 2009, October/November 2009, November/December 2009, December 2009/January 2010 and January/February 2010. Once again, the Monthly Meter Reader confirmed that he knew about the defective meter and it running backwards. He said he was aware from the beginning. He stated (again) that he was reporting the problem and the numbers to Duke Energy each month. Duke Energy intentionally left a defective electric meter in service and attempted to cover it up. Somebody at Duke Energy not entering new readings to replace the old readings. They did this so the meter did not look like it was running backwards. Therefore, resulting in covering up the mistake(s) made by Duke Energy during those particular months noted.

## SECTIONS VIOLATED (SECTION 4)

OHIO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE - 4901:1-10-22 Electric utility customer billing and payments. Section (B), Paragraph 8 (a) (b) Violated 5 Times (Monthly Bills Violated: September/October 2009, October/November 2009, November/December 2009, December 2009/January 2010, January/February 2010). (See bills on pages 31-35).
(B) Customer bills issued by or for the electric utility shall be accurate, shall be rendered at monthly intervals, and shall contain clear and understandable form and language. Each bill shall state at least the following information:
(8) The billing determinants applicable:
(a) Beginning meter reading(s)
(b) Ending meter reading(s).

## DISPUTE / VIOLATION

My Duke Energy bills were not accurate. My beginning and ending meter reading(s) were not indicated on my monthly bills as reported by my Meter Reader.

## EXPLANATION

Section 2 - Meter Was Read Each Month - Not Considered An Unread Meter Section 3 - Defective Meter
Section 4 - Correct Meter Readings Not Reported On Bill As Reported By Meter Reader
Listed above are 3 more examples of why I am disputing Duke Energy's charges. Duke Energy failed to follow these codes and should not be able to get away with it. My meter was being read each month and was not being estimated during this time period. My Meter Reader was doing his job correctly each month by reporting the numbers he read and reporting the meter as defective. He reported this information to his Supervisor. My Meter Reader confirmed that he followed these "meter reader" rules every month during the time period that I am disputing. He is a very valuable witness to my case. Each month, Duke Energy failed to replace the defective meter knowing there was a problem all along. They left the defective meter in service from September 15, 2009 until February 2, 2010. The proven facts are that Duke Energy violated the "Ohio Administrative Code - 4901:1-10-05 Metering, Section (B)" 138 times-a violation for

Section 2 - Monthly Reading, Section 3 - Defective Meter, Section 4 - Readings Not Reported
each day they left the defective meter in place-totaling 138 days. Duke Energy also violated the "Ohio Administrative Code - 4901:1-10-22 Electric Utility Customer Billing and Payments, Section (B), Paragraph 8 (a) (b)" 5 times-a violation for each month during this time period. The 5 months include September/October 2009, October/November 2009, November/December 2009, December 2009/January 2010 and January/February 2010. Duke Energy did not accurately state the readings on my monthly bills that my Meter Reader was reporting to them during this time period. Duke Energy should not be allowed to do a billing adjustment on my account for the reasons I have brought to attention. They failed to follow the correct laws/rules that are designed specifically so these mishaps/mistakes do not occur. The laws/rules are put in place to protect customers like myself. I would bet that most of Duke Energy's everyday customers are not even aware that there are laws/rules of this matter. I admit, that I didn't know this important and valuable information until I started investigating my case. If fact, Duke Energy's own Supervisors, Sandi and Sarah, did not even know of such laws/rules. Now, that is pretty bad!

When I received the "Billing Adjustment for Unmetered Usage" letter, I knew that the dollar amount and the time period of the billing adjustments were both in error. I will explain. In February 2009, I was laid off my job after 42 years of employment. One of the first things I did was compile a budget to figure out my current expenses. Analyzing my past electric usage, I came to the conclusion that my average electric bill was around $\$ 210.00$ a month. Duke Energy's "billing adjustment" is for an average of around $\$ 287.00$ a month for the $51 / 2$ months that Duke Energy failed to replace the defective meter. The amount that Duke Energy is claiming is very unfair and unacceptable. As I mentioned earlier in this complaint, Jeana Deletra, from the Ohio Consumers Counsel (OCC), reported that Duke Energy's usage estimate was too high. She said it appeared that the charges from Duke Energy are based on $17,300 \mathrm{kwh}$ of unmetered usage. I went over my bills for the past 3 years and I have never had usage over $13,503 \mathrm{kwh}$ from the months between September to February. None of the information explained to me by Jeana Deletra was included on the first bill I received.

On May 28, 2010 at 11:16 a.m., I spoke a second time with Duke Energy Supervisor Sarah. I asked her if I could see the same information that Duke Energy sent to Jeana Deletra, from the Ohio Consumers Counsel (OCC). Duke Energy Supervisor Sarah said she could not supply me any records without a court order. She proceeded to tell me that my only other options were to either pay the amount noted on the bill or to dispute it. I immediately informed her that I would be disputing the amount.

On June 2, 2010, I had a follow-up call with Jeana Deletra. She informed me that she had been corresponding with Erin Lariccia at Duke Energy. Jeana Deletra explained to Erin Lariccia my current situation and why I thought the billing adjustment amount and time period was incorrect. Duke Energy came back and offered a $20 \%$ reduction off the overall billing adjustment total. However, they mentioned nothing about adjusting the incorrect time period. I had already paid $\$ 130.00$ for my July/August 2009 bill which should have been taken off the overall amount due
because they were not crediting that amount to my account as already paid. Basically, Duke Energy was trying to get me to pay twice for something that was already paid months ago. When you break down the numbers, Duke Energy's " $20 \%$ reduction" offer was not really what they were stating it to be. This is just another example/mistake that Duke Energy was hoping I would not notice. Jeana Deletra also pointed out that the usage amount did not match the spread sheet, both provided to her by Duke Energy, Her exact words were, "This just does not look right." I was unable to see the Duke Energy information that Jeana Deletra was referring to because I was not able to retrieve the documents from Duke Energy without a court order in place.

During our extensive phone conversation, Jeana Deletra also mentioned that Duke Energy claimed they had no records of me calling and reporting the defective meter. I disagree for the following reason...Duke Energy told me by the numbers listed on my August/September 2009 bill that my meter had ran backwards by 901 kwh from my previous July/August 2009 bill. Meters can not run backwards, therefore my meter was obviously defective. This is common sense. Duke Energy was already aware there was a problem because my bill notified me that something was wrong. The writing was listed in black and white on their mailed bill. Duke Energy was the one who made me aware of the defective meter. There is no way a meter can show it is running backwards and Duke Energy still meet the requirements as listed in Section (B) of the Ohio Administrative Code - 4901:1-10-05 Metering. My July/August 2009 bill showed a reading of 85293 and usage of 1037 kwh . I believe my July/August 2009 bill was correct (part of the time period I am disputing). My August/September 2009 bill had a reading of 84392; an amount of minus 901 kwh from my previous bill. Duke Energy had completed an adjustment on my July/August 2009 bill and made up numbers to hide the fact that the meter ran backwards, therefore making it a defective meter. A person in Duke Energy's billing office had to make up the numbers and re-enter new numbers in the system. A computer program could not generate this sort of task. At that time, the meter was defective and should have been replaced by Duke Energy. Instead, they left the defective meter in place and continued to do so for 5 more months. During the 5 month time period, Duke Energy did not put the actual meter readings, as reported by my Meter Reader, into their software data system. When they finally replaced the defective meter on February 2, 2010, the final meter reading was 84378 kwh .

I also want to bring attention to the fact that Duke Energy was not putting the recorded meter readings on my monthly billing statements. Ohio Administrative Code - 4901:1-10-22 Electric Utility Customer Billing and Payments, Section (B), Paragraph 8 (a) (b) states this is required by the rule/law/code set in place to protect the customers. By not completing and following this action, Duke Energy was continuing to cover up the defective meter running backwards. Duke Energy violated this action at least 5 times on my account alone. My meter reader's equipment was not showing minus readings, but in turn was showing plus readings of 99997 or 8 readings per month. Since Duke Energy would not allow me to get any records without a court order, I could only provide copies of my monthly bills. You will notice on each bill where I wrote down the reading each month when I received the bill. Again, these readings were physically recorded
each month by a Duke Energy Employee (my Meter Reader) and was being reported as defective, not once, but 5 times. In my defense, Duke Energy should not be allowed to defend themselves by say that their computer software program covered up this particular problem. A computer software program can only use the numbers/data that are entered by a person. Or in my particular case, not entered in the computer software system. I know for a fact, there were numbers to be entered, so they can not claim anything other than the facts. Duke Energy should not be aloud to state that they were not aware of this ongoing issue. When you look at my January 18, 2010/February 2, 2010 and February 2, 2010/February 16, 2010 bill, Duke energy did not list the correct meter reading (see page 35). The readings for this entire bill list the same meter reading. On February 2, 2010, the old defective meter was uninstalled and a brand new meter was installed. Why does the new meter have the same reading as the previous defective meter? Plain and simple, Duke energy was not following the law/rule/code. The new meter should have had a starting meter read as " 0 ", not the same reading as the old defective meter. As you can see by this example, I have solid proof that Duke Energy was not entering the new readings for my bills.


July/August 2009 Bill. This bill is correct.

## Fouke Energy:

Account Number 0210-0123-20-0
For less detailed billing information on your monthly bill, check box on right
$\qquad$
$\$$

| Amaunlbue |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |

$\qquad$
Amount Enclosed

C00072er CGE1 ZA O9259- ZIPCODE 11 YONNN 102
Michael K Smith
1989 Bethel Hygiene Rd
POBox 9001076
Bethel $\mathrm{OH} 45106-9441$

400 00000102b28 02100123200 100820099 00000102b28


This bil reflects canceled and rebilled electric tharges for prior months.
Nothing to pay, . , the amount indicated is a credit, not an amount to be paid. We wili apply this credit to your next bill.
PAICE TO COMPARE: In order for an average residential customer to save money, an electric supplier must offer a price lower than 8.61 cents per kWh. Your Price to Compare may be different based on your usage. Visit www, duke-energy.com to calculate your individual Price to Compare or contact Duke Energy for a written explanation.

## जukennergy.

Page 2 of 2



kWr Electric Usage


Cateulalions based en mosit recem: 12 morlin fustry
Ary pror menthis adiustod ifsage on this
dill, will disp:ay in your hiskrical ussore
yegh ond chart an yaur next bill
fatal usage
23.483

|  | SEP | 007 | NOV | DFC | IAN | FEB | MAR | Appl | MAY | SUN | J 2 | Aud | SEP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eketric | 1.660 | 701 | 1,549 | 3560 | 30 Ss | 9,997 | 3,011 | 2.504 | 669 | 1.482 | 1,55 | 0 | 99 |

August/September2009 Bill - Page 2 - Showing the made-up numbers.


Nothing to pay, . . the amount indicated is a credit, not an amount to be paid. We will apply this credit to your next bill.

The PUKO approved an adjustment lo Rider TCR, SRT and Rider FPP effective September 30, 2009. A typical residential customer using $1,000 \mathrm{kWh}$ per month will see an increase of approximately $\$ 4.42$ or $3.5 \%$.

PRICE TO COMPARE: In order for an average residential customer to save money, an electric supplier must ofter a price bwer than 9.02 cents per kWh. Your Price to Compare may be different based on your usage. Visit www.duke-energy.com to calculate your individual Price to Compare or contact Duke Energy for a written explanation.

FACTS / PROOF ABOUT COMPLAINT FILED
Section 2 - Monthly Reading, Section 3 - Defective Meter, Section 4 - Readings Not Reported


Nothing to pay. . . The amount indicated is a credit. not an amount to be paid. We will apply this crecit to your next bill.
HEATSHARE-Help those less fortunate stay warm this winter season by contributing to Duke Energy's Healshate program. See this month's bilt insert for more intormation on how you can belp, or visit us ontile at www.duke-energy.com.
PRICE TO COMPARE: In order tor an average residential customer to save money, an electuc suppliez must affer a price lower than 9.02 cents per kWh. Your Price to Compare may be different based on your usage. Visit www.duke-energy com to calcutate your individual Price to Compare or contact Duke Energy for a written explanation.

October/November 2009 Bill

## \% Uunernergy:

| Account Number 0210-0123-20-0 |
| :--- |
| For less detailed billing information on <br> yout blonthly bitl, check box on right |



Michael K Smith
1989 Bethel Hygiene Fit PO Box 9001076
Bethel OH 45106-9441

$$
400000000858550210012 \exists 20001112010500000085855
$$

|  | 1 Please retur | WIT YCLIR PAYMENT | Page 1 of 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nemelservice Adoress |  | alf. $\%$ \% $\times$ | Acocumblamiort |
| Nochael K Smith 1989 Bethel Hygione Rd Bethel OH 45106 | Duke Energy | $\begin{array}{r} 513-421-9500 \\ +-800-544-6900 \end{array}$ | 0210-0123-20-0 |
|  |  |  |  |
| PO EOx 9001076 <br> Louisville KY 40290-1076 | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Payments after Dec } 18 \text { not Includad } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Bilt prepared on Oec 18, } 2009 \\ \\ \\ \text { Next meter reading Jan 18, } 2010\end{array}\end{array}$ |  |  |



Nothing to pay. . the amounl indtated is a credit, not an amount to be paid We will apply this credit to your next bili.

Eliminate the seasonal ups and downs that affect your bill and enioy the benefits of knowing the monthly total in advance. Sign up for Budget Biling at www.duke-energy com, select Biling \& Fayment. You may also enroll by calling 1-866-236-3749.

FRICE TO COMPARE: In order tor an average residential customer to save money an electric supplier musl offer a price lower than 0.02 cents per kWh. Your Price to Compare may be different based on your usage. Visit www duke-energy com to calculate your individual Price to Compase of contact Duke Energy tor a witten explanation.

## WhakeEnergy.

Account Number 0210.0123-20-0
3011
For less detailed billing information on your monthly bill, check box on right

$\$$ $\qquad$ $\$$
HeatShare Contribution (for Customar Assistance)


Michael K Smith
1989 Bethel Hygiene Rd
PO BOX 9001076
Lousville KY 40290-1076
$400000000802680230012 \exists 200$ 021220208 00000080268


Nothing to pay . . . the amount indicaled is a credit, not an amount to be paid. We will apply livis credit to your next bill.

The PUCO approved an adjustment to the base price of generation, Riders SRT and USR (pan of the Delivery fiders) and Riders AAC and FPP effective January 4. 2010. A. typical residential cusiomer using $1,000 \mathrm{kWh}$ per month will see an increase of approximately $\$ 6.23$ or $4.8 \%$.

December 2009/January 2010 Bill

## DukeEnergy



400 00000074675021002332003162020400000074675


Nothing to pay. . . the amount indicated is a credit not an amoum to be paid. We will apply this credit to your next bill.

Uigent Message - The electric charges on this bill have been estimated because of weather conditions.

PRICE TO COMPARE: In order for an average residential customer to save money, an electric supplier must offer a price lower than 9.36 cents per kWh. Your Price to Compare may be different based on your usage. Visit www.duke-energy com to calculate your individial Price to Compare or contact Duke Energy for a written explanation.

January 18, 2010 / February 2, 2010 Bill - February 2, 2010 / February 16, 2010 Bill This is the last bill I received until my April/May 2010 bill arrived on May 22, 2010.

# FACTS / PROOF ABOUT COMPLAINT FILED 

FACTS / PROOF ABOUT COMPLAINT FILED
Section 5 - Late Charges

SECTIONS VIOLATED (SECTION 5)

OHIO REVISED CODE - 4933.28 Correcting residential utility billings. Section (B)
(B) No company shall recover any interest charge, service charge, or fee, whether or not a percentage is utilized for its computation, for billings made pursuant to this section.

## DISPUTE / VIOLATION

Duke Energy is charging a late fee on the amount I am currently disputing. They are charging a disputed amount of $\$ .015$ per month of the total disputed amount of $\$ 1,583.42$. A late fee was on my May 2010 and June 2010 bills. Duke Energy is aware of my dispute and is still charging me late fees. Duke Energy's Supervisor (Sarah) was informed on May 28, 2010 that the charges were going to be in dispute with the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (PUCO).

EXPLANATION<br>Section 5 - Late Charges

I called Duke Energy on April 1, 2010, April 13, 2010, and on April 20, 2010. Each time I called, I was told by the Duke Energy Operator(s) to just wait until I receive my next bill. The Operator(s)
explained that my bills would once again resume once the "hold" was lifted from my account. At that time, then I can make my payment, but not until these few things have to happen first by Duke Energy. They also stated that once I receive my first bill, then I can make my payment for January/February 2010, February/March 2010, and March/April 2010. Duke Energy did not send me a bill until April/May 2010 which had a late charge already included in the total. Most of the total amount for my May 2010 bill consisted of the recovery charge amount where I was given no explanation or details pertaining to the unmetered charges that are now included on my account. Duke Energy is charging a disputed amount of $\$ .015$ per month of the total disputed amount of $\$ 1,583.42$. This particular action is unfair because the bills had not been sent. Duke Energy's Supervisor (Sarah) was informed on May 28, 2010 that the charges were going to be in dispute with the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (PUCO). On June 2, 2010, Ohio Consumers Counsel (OCC) Jeana Deletra, informed Erin Lariccia at Duke Energy that these charges were being disputed. They are aware of my dispute and still charging me late fees. A late fee was already included on my April/May 2010 bill. Duke Energy did remove the late charge on the April/May 2010 bill. However, it appears they have added another late charge fee to my May/ June 2010 bill. The late charge fee is strictly on the recovery charge amount (see page 38).

The rule/law/code states that "no company shall recover any interest charge, service charge, or fee, whether or not a percentage is utilized for its computation, for billings made pursuant to this section." As you can see by my evidence, Duke Energy failed to follow the rule/law/code and should be penalized due to their actions. They are still trying to collect late charges when the rule/law/code states that they are not allowed to do so. I strongly feel this is another reason why I should not have to pay Duke Energy anything.


May/June 2010 Bill With Late Charge Included.
April/May 2010 Bill With Late Charge Included.

To Whom It May Concern,
I would like to take this opportunity to Thank You for taking the time to read over and review my formal complaint against Duke Energy.

I strongly feel Duke Energy has violated the law; failed to follow its rules and regulations on file with the Commission; and that a rule, rate, charge, service and/or practice by Duke Energy is unjust and unreasonable. I am confident that I have more than enough information and documentation included to prove my case.

Please let me know if you have any questions, concerns, and/or need more information. Feel free to contact me at your convenience.

Thanks again! I look forward in hearing from you soon, as well as resolving my case with Duke Energy.

Sincerely,
Michael K. Smith
Case ID: MSMI0427102B

## Case ID: MSMIO427102B

Michael K. Smith<br>1989 Bethel Hygiene Rd. Bethel, Ohio 45106

513-734-4619

Duke Energy Customer Account Number: 0210-0123-20-0

OTHER CONTACT INFORMATION

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (PUCO):
Service Monitoring and Enforcement Department
Mr. Jim Ripke, 800-686-7826
Ohio Consumers Counsel (OCC): Jeana Deletra, 877-742-5622

OCC Ref: 150274376

Duke Energy Corporation
P.O. Box 840

Cincinnati, Ohio 45201
My Duke Energy Meter Reader:
Rodney Ogletree, 800-544-6900
My Duke Energy Meter Reader's Supervisor:
Kim Parnell, 800-544-6900
Duke Energy:
Erin Lariccial, 800-544-6900
Duke Energy Operator:
Gladys, 800-544-6900
Duke Energy Supervisors:
Sandi and Sarah, 800-544-6900

