

FILE

7

Wright, Mariruth

From: ContactThePUCO@puc.state.oh.us
Sent: Thursday, June 24, 2010 8:49 AM
To: Docketing
Subject: Docketing

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
Investigation and Audit Division

Memorandum

Date: 6/24/2010

Re: Gayle Santavicca
250 Deer Dr

Chardon, OH 44024

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV
2010 JUN 24 AM 11:39
PUCO

Docketing Case No.:10-176-EL-ATA

Notes:

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

We all keep saying that FE should pay, and I truly believe this is the right thing. However, after speaking to so many people now, I feel this is not necessarily going to happen. I keep asking why? If FE paid for this discount for 30 years, why does someone else now need to pay for it? The answer I keep getting is that the rate structure is different now, and that (like it or not), FirstEnergy is guaranteed a certain amount of profit "pie," and if we get a discount, then someone else has to make up the difference. As infuriating as this is, we need to accept this but fight for FirstEnergy to "pay" for as much of the lost income as possible, or else to accept a smaller profit pie. Please have bill 10-176 logged in the Docket to become official part of the record. Thank you for your consideration. Mrs. Santavicca

Please docket the attached in the case number above.