To: Docketing Division
From: George Martin, Grade Crossing Planner, Rail Division
Re: In the matter of the authorization of the Norfolk Southern Railway, Wheeling \& Lake Erie Railway, CSX Transportation, and Indiana \& Ohio Railway to install active grade crossing warning devices in six counties
Date: June 22, 2010
The Ohio Rail Development Commission (ORDC) has secured funding for the installation of mast mounted flashing lights and roadway gates at the following grade crossing locations:

Wheeling \& Lake Erie Railway (WE)
Wayne County, near Smithville, Pleasant Home Rd/CR 48, DOT\# 473-528U
Norfolk Southern Railway (NS)
Ross County, City of Chillicothe, E. Second St., DOT\# 481384M
CSX Transportation (CSX)
Logan County, near Horton, CR 20, 513-789G
Indiana \& Ohio Railway (IORY)
Fayette County, City of Washington Court House, Oakland Ave., DOT\# 151-917K
Augalaize County, near Uniopolis, Buckland-Holden Rd/CR 190, DOT\# 258-626V
Champaign County, near Urbana, Dallas Rd/CR 184, DOT\# 527-960J
These crossings were surveyed by staff due their high hazard and were found to warrant upgrades to flashing lights and roadway gates.

These projects will be actual cost and are federally funded. Staff requests an Entry with plans and estimates to be submitted to the Commission within 90 days and completion within one year. Upon approval of the plans and estimates by ORDC construction may commence. A suggested case coding would be:

PUCO Case No. 10- $\$ 876$ -RR-FED
C: Legal Department
Please serve the following parties of record
Ms Susan Kirkland
Ohio Rail Development Commission
1980 West Broad St
Columbus, Oh 43223
Mr Rick RayNorfolk Southern Railway
1200 Peachtree St NE, Box 123
Atlanta, Ga 30309
Mr Biff Konrad
2856 Cypress Way
Cincinnati, Oh 45212
Mr Benjamin Biesterveld
CSX Transportation
500 Water St, J-301
Jacksonville, Fl 32202Mr Dan ReinselWheeling \& Lake Erie Railway
100 E First St
Brewster, Oh 44613

Mr Jeff Sparr, Deputy Engineer
Wayne County Engineer's Office
3151 W. Old Lincoln Way
Wooster, Oh 44691

Mr. Thomas Day
City of Chillicothe
35 S Paint St
Chillicothe, Oh 45601

Mr Scott Coleman
Logan County Engineer's Office
1991 CR 13
PO Box 427
Bellefontaine, Oh 43311-0427

Mr Jim Heath
Deputy Service Director
220 Park Ave
Washington CH , Oh 43160

Mr Douglas Reinhart
Auglaize County Engineer
1014 S Blackhoof St
PO Box 59
Wapakoneta, Oh 45895-0059

Mr Fereidoun Shokouhi
Champaign County Engineer
428 Beech St
Urbana, Oh 43078-0452

# OHIO RAIL DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION INTEROFFICE COMMUNICATION 



You may authorize the following warning project to proceed with the non-field work involved with the below mentioned non-lump sum project. This construction authorization is made with the stipulation and understanding that any field work needs prior approval before the work begins. This authorization is made with the stipulation and understanding that an approved estimate may contain entries for items or activities that may be cited and found to be ineligible for federal participation during the project audit.

Project List

## Wheeling \& Lake Erie Railway:

Wayne County, Pleasant Home Road, DOT 473528U

Thank you for your assistance with these matters.
JR:jnr
c: S. Kirkland - Files (J. Reinhardt)


# OHIO RAIL DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION INTER-OFFICE COMMUNICATION 

TO:
George Martin, Planner, Railroad Division, PUCO
FROM: Suaan Kirkland, Supervisor, Rail-Highway Safety Section

BY:
SUBJECT:
DATE:

Tim Perkins, Grade Crossing specialist Tin fredman

Grade Crossing Warning Project
June 16, 2010

You may authorize the Norfolk southern to proceed with the nonfield work for this project. This construction authorization is made with the stipulation and understanding that any field work needs prior approval before work begins. This authorization is made with the stipulation and understanding that an approved estimate may contain entries for items or activities that may be cited and found to be ineligible for federal participation during the project audit. The construction portion and preliminary engineering will be financed with federal funds.
Please initiate a one (1) year order with the plan and estimate due in ninety (90) days for the following.

ROS - Second Street - NS AAR No. 481384 M (Actual cost)

Thank you for your assistance with this matter.
TP: tp


# OHIO RAIL DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION INTER-OFFICE COMMUNICATION 

TO: George Martin, Planner, Railroad Division, PUCO
FROM: Susan Kirkland, Supervisor, Rail-Highway Safety Section
BY: Tim Perkins, Grade Crossing Specialist,

SUBJECT: Grade Crossing Warning Project
DATE: June 16, 2010

You may authorize the CSX Transportation to proceed with the nonfield work for this project. This construction authorization is made with the stipulation and understanding that any field work needs prior approval before work begins. This authorization is made with the stipulation and understanding, that an approved estimate may contain entries for items or activities that may be cited and found to be ineligible for federal participation during the project audit. The construction portion and preliminary engineering will be financed with federal funds.
please initiate a one (1) year order with the plan and estimate due in ninety (90) days for the following.
LOG - C.R. 20 - CSX AAR No. 513789 G (Actual cost)
Thank you for your assistance with this matter.
TP: tp


## OHIO RAIL DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION INTEROFFICE COMMUNICATION

TO:
FROM:
SUBJECT: Grade Crossing Warning Project
DATE: June 16, 2010

You may authorize the following warning project to proceed with the non-field work involved with the below mentioned non-lump sum project. This construction authorization is made with the stipulation and understanding that any field work needs prior approval before the work begins. This authorization is made with the stipulation and understanding that an approved estimate may contain entries for items or activities that may be cited and found to be ineligible for federal participation during the project audit.

Project List

## Indiana \& Ohio Railway:

Fayette County, Oakland Avenue, DOT 151917K

Thank you for your assistance with these matters. JR:jnr

```
c: S. Kirkland - Files (J. Reinhardt)
```



# OHIO RAIL DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION INTER-OFFICE COMMUNICATION 

| TO: | George Martin, Planner, Railroad Division, PUCO |
| :--- | :--- |
| FROM: | Susan Kirkland, Supervisor, Rail-Highway Safety Section |
| BY: | Tim Perkins, Grade Crossing Specialist |
| SUBUECT: | Grade Crossing Warning Projects |
| DATE: | June 16,2010 |

You may authorize the Indiana and Ohio to proceed with the nonfield work for these projects. This construction authorization is made with the stipulation and understanding that any field work needs prior approval before work begins. This authorization is made with the stipulation and understanding, that an approved estimate may contain entries for items or activities that may be cited and found to be ineligible for federal participation during the project audit. The construction portion and preliminary engineering will be financed with federal funds.
please initiate a one (1) year order with the plan and estimate due in ninety (90) days for the following.

AUG-C.R. 190, Buckland-Holden-I\&O AAR No. 258626 V (Actual cost) CHP-C.R.184, Dallas Rd.-I\&O AAR No. 527960 J (Actual cost)
Thank you for your assistance with this matter.
TP: tp

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

Rail Division
180 East Broad Street Columbus, OH 43215

Diagnostic Review Team Survey

(Include: Name - Organization - Phone Number)

1. Grog martin Puca 614-752-9107

2. $\qquad$
3. $\qquad$
4. $\qquad$
5. $\qquad$
6. $\qquad$
7. $\qquad$
Existing Traffic control Devices


Safety Data (Obtain crash reports, if possible, prior to review)

| Number \& dates of crashes in previous 5 years |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Hazard Ranking 63 |  |

Railroad Data


Roadway Data
Local Highway Authority: (Who maintains this roadway?)

## WAYNE CONY





Fed Sketch


TABLE I
Clearing Sight Distances

| Maximum Authorized Train Speed | Distance (dT) Along <br> Railroad from Crossing (ft) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1-10 | 240 |
| - 15 | 360 |
| 20 | 480 |
| 25 | 600 |
| 30 | 720 |
| 35 | 840 |
| $40$ | 960 - |
| 45 | 1080 |
| 50 | 1200 |
| 55 | 1320 |
| 60 | 1440 |
| 65 | 1560 |
| 70 | 1680 |
| 75 | 1800 |
| 80 | 1920 |
| 85 | 2040 |
| 90 | 2160 |

Source: R-H Grade Crossing Handbook Table 36 (pp. 132-133)
Notes:
All calculated distances are rounded up to the next higher 5foot increment.

Distances indicated are for $65-\mathrm{ft}$ double bottom semi-tractor trailers and level single track 90 degree crossings; and may need to be adjusted for multiple tracks, skewed crossings or approaches on grades.
Clearing Sight Distance is to be measured in each vehicle travel direction at non-gated crossings as viewed from a point 25 feet from centerline of nearest track in the center of whichever travel lane is nearest the direction along track being measured.

Table 2
Stopping Sight Distances

| Highway Vehicle Speed | Distance (dH) Along Roadway <br> from Crossing (ft) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| 5 | 50 |
| 10 | 70 |
| 15 | 105 |
| 20 | 135 |
| 25 | 180 |
| 30 | 225 |
| 35 | 280 |
| 40 | 340 |
| 45 | 410 |
| 50 | 490 |
| 55 | 570 |
| 60 | 660 |
| 65 | 760 |
| 70 | 865 |

Source: R-H Grade Crossing Handbook Table 36 (pp. 132-133)

## Notes:

All calculated distances are rounded up to the next higher 5 foot increment.

Distances indicated are for 65 -ft double bottom semi-tractor trailers on dry level pavements.
Stopping Sight Distance is to be measured on each roadway approach to crossing from stop bar.



## Narrative

UNIT \#1 WAS WESTBOUND ON CR48. UNIT \#2 WAS NORTHBOUND ON THE RAILROAD TRACKS. UNIT \#1 FAILED TO YIELD TO UNIT \#2 AND WAS STRUCK.

## 




$\square$
map quest.
Sorry! When printing directly from the browser your directions or map may not print correctly. For best results, try clicking the Printer-Friendly button.

$$
\text { WAWE-WE-PEAASANT HOME/CR } 48473528 \mathrm{U}
$$

Latitude: 40.9163732
Longitude: -81.8716962
Sterling, OH 44276


Directions and maps are informational only. We make no warranties on the accuracy of their content, road conditions or route usability or expeditiousness. You assume all risk of use. MapQuest and its suppliers shall not be liable to you for any loss or delay resulting from your use of MapQuest.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { SR } 39 \text { TO SR } 95 \text { To WOOSTER - US BO } \\
& \text { PICK UP SR } 3 \\
& 11 \mathrm{Am} \text { 4127 }
\end{aligned}
$$

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

Diagnostic Review Team Survey

$$
\text { rvey } 4 \mid 29 / 10 \quad 11 \mathrm{Am}
$$




1. GEorge martin puce 614-752-9107
2. micharl Adams DiSney 74d-774-1355

3. $\qquad$
4. $\qquad$
5. $\qquad$
6. $\qquad$
7. $\qquad$
Existing Traffic Control Devices



| Quadrant $\qquad$ <br> Curb and Gutter: $\square$ Functional (Curb height $=4^{\prime \prime}$ or more) Non-functional (Curb height $=$ Less than $4^{\prime \prime}$ ) None | Quadrant $\qquad$ <br> Curb and Gutter: $\square$ Functional (Curb height $=4^{\prime \prime}$ or more) $\square$ Non-functional (Curb height $=$ Less than 4") None |
| :---: | :---: |
| Pedestrians: $\square$ No X Yes |  |
| Is sidewalk present? $\square$ No $\square$ Yes |  |
| Is there a nearby intersection that could cause queuing over the If yes, <br> Distance $\qquad$ <br> Is this intersection signalized? <br> No Yes <br> Are the signals currently interconnected with the existing cro | $\square$ Yos |
| Is it the consensus of the Diagnostic Review Team that this is a Explain reasons: | ential closure project: <br> No <br> $\square$ Yes |
| Type of Development |  |
| $\square$ Open Space $\square$ Institutional Location of near <br> $\square$ Industrial $\square$ Commercial LNT <br> $\square$ Residential   | schools: <br> N $1 / 2$ mice |
| Utility Information |  |
| is commercial power available? No Yes Utility Provider (Company Name) $\qquad$ Asp | Phone Number |
| Nearest Available Power Source _AT CROStiN 6 |  |
| What other utilities are present? $\qquad$ WATER , SEWER Is there potential utility conflict(s)$\qquad$ Yes $\square$ No叉Unkn |  |
| Diagnostic Team Recommendations |  |
|  | Quadrants Needed |
| W install/upgrade active devices |  |
| [] Automatic Flashing Lights (AFLS) |  |
| [] AFLS/Cants |  |
| X AFLS/Gates |  |
| [] AFLS / Gates / Cants |  |
| [] Upgrade circuitry |  |
| $1 \pm$ Sidelights |  |
| [] Guardrail Needed |  |
| [ $]$ Instal/Replace curb |  |
| $\square]$ Other (define) |  |
| Comments: |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| $\square$ Install/upgrade traffic signal preemption |  |
| $\square$ No improvements needed |  |
| $\square$ Other (define) |  |
|  |  |




TABLE I
Clearing Sight Distances

| Maximum Authorized Train <br> Speed | Distance (dT) Along <br> Railroad from Crossing (ft) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $1-10$ | 240 |
| 15 | 360 |
| 20 | 480 |
| 25 | 600 |
| 30 | 720 |
| 35 | 840 |
| 40 | 960 |
| 45 | 1080 |
| 50 | 1200 |
| 55 | 1320 |
| 60 | 1440 |
| 65 | 1560 |
| 70 | 1680 |
| 75 | 1800 |
| 80 | 1920 |
| 85 | 2040 |
| 90 | 2160 |

Source: R-H Grade Crossing Handbook Table 36 (pp. 132-133)
Notes:
All calculated distances are rounded up to the next higher 5foot increment.

Distances indicated are for $65-\mathrm{ft}$ double bottom semi-tractor trailers and level single track 90 degree crossings; and may need to be adjusted for multiple tracks, skewed crossings or approaches on grades.

Clearing Sight Distance is to be measured in each vehicle travel direction at non-gated crossings as viewed from a point 25 feet from centerline of nearest track in the center of whichever travel lane is nearest the direction along track being measured.

Table 2

## Stopping Sight Distances

| Highway Vehicle Speed | Distance (dH) Along Roadway <br> from Crossing (ft) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| 5 | 50 |
| 10 | 70 |
| 15 | 105 |
| 20 | 135 |
| 25 | 180 |
| 30 | 225 |
| 35 | 280 |
| 40 | 340 |
| 45 | 410 |
| 50 | 490 |
| 55 | 570 |
| 60 | 660 |
| 65 | 760 |
| 70 | 865 |

Source: R-H Grade Crossing Handbook Table 36 (pp. I32-133)

## Notes:

All calculated distances are rounded up to the next higher 5foot increment.

Distances indicated are for $65-\mathrm{ft}$ double bottom semi-tractor trailers on dry level pavements.

Stopping Sight Distance is to be measured on each roadway approach to crossing from stop bar.

Apr 15.10 01:17p
Chillicothe Police
7407792802
P. 2

$$
\text { RoSS, NS, E. Seoul si, } 481-384 \mathrm{~m}
$$














 TrAin $21>4520$. Michael J: Gish is the Engines And Jut. sheets the. cownotam. Seth ane listed pos mitwessers on the oft 1. Norfolk southern is setcinvuried.

## Chillicothe Police Department 28 N Paint St. Ste C Chillicothe, Ohio 45601 <br> (740) 773-1191 <br> Fax: (740) 773-1248

Date: $\qquad$
Number of pages (including cover): 5

SENT TO: Name: George Martin
Company: $\qquad$
Phone Number: (614) 752-9107
FAX Number: (614) 995-5535
SENT BY: Name: Mica Kinzer
Phone Number: $(740) 773-1191$
DESCRIPTION:

## 区 区

## MAPQUEST．

Sorry！When printing directly from the browser your directions or map may not print correctly．For best results，try clicking the Printer－Friendly button．

Latitude： 39.3364896
ROSS NS E．SECOND ST 481384 m

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Longitude: -82.9695798 } \\
& \text { Chillicothe, OH } 45601
\end{aligned}
$$

45－1 HaN


Directions and maps are informational only．We make no warranties on the accuracy of their content，road conditions or route usability or expeditiousness．You assume all risk of use．MapQuest and its suppliers shall not be liable to you for any loss or delay resulting from your use of MapQuest．

From WCA－5R 3570 N．BRIDGE ST（ 23 BUSINESS $\underset{\text { LOOP }}{\text { F }}$ ） To $\varepsilon$ ．and ST．

4） 2 11 か

## Diagnostic Review Team Survey



Existing Traffic Control Devices



| Quadrant $\qquad$ <br> Curb and Gutter: $\square$ Functional (Curb height $=4^{\prime \prime}$ or more) Non-functional (Curb height $=$ Less than $4^{\prime \prime}$ ) <br> None | Quadrant $\qquad$ <br> Curb and Gutter: $\square$ Functional (Curb height $=4$ ' or more) Non-functional (Curb height $=$ Less than 4 ") None |
| :---: | :---: |
| Pedestrians: $\triangle$ No $\square$ Yes |  |
| Is sidewalk present? $\triangle$ No $\square \square$ Yes |  |
| Is there a nearby intersection that could cause queuing over the If yes, <br> Distance $\qquad$ <br> Is this intersection signalized? <br> No Yes <br> Are the signals currently interconnected with the existing cros | $\square$ Yos Y warning devices? $\quad \square$ No $\quad \square \mathrm{Yes}$ |
| Is it the consensus of the Diagnostic Review Team that this is a po Explain reasons: | tial closure project: $\square^{7}$ No $\quad \square$ Yes |
| Gype of Development |  |
| $\boxtimes$ Open Space $\square$ Institutional Location of nearby <br> $\square$ Industrial $\square$ Commercial  <br> $\square$ Residential GNAN  <br> ELEVATOR   | schools: |
| Utility Information |  |
| Is commercial power available? $\square$ No $\nabla$ Yes <br> Utility Provider (Company Name) $\qquad$ DP\&L <br> Nearest Available Power Source $\qquad$ <br> What other utilities are present? $\qquad$ <br> Yes | Phone Number |

Diagnostic Team Recommendations

| $\nmid$ Install/upgrade active devices | Quadrants Needed |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\square \square$ Automatic Flashing Lights (AFLS) |  |
| $\square$ AFLS /Cants |  |
| $\square$ AFLS / Gates |  |
| $\square$ AFLS / Gates / Cants |  |
| $\square$ Upgrade circuitry |  |
| $\square$ Sidelights |  |
| $\square$ Guardrail Needed |  |
| $\square$ Install/Replace curb |  |
| $\square$ Other (define) |  |
| Comments: |  |
|  |  |
| $\square$ Install/upgrade traffic signal preemption |  |
| $\square$ No improvements needed |  |
| $\square$ Other (define) |  |
|  |  |




Crossing Angle $\square$
$\square$ se Quadrant?

Sketch by: CM

TABLE I

Clearing Sight Distances

| Maximum Authorized Train <br> Speed | Distance (dT) Along <br> Railroad from Crossing (ft) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $1-10$ | 240 |
| 15 | 360 |
| 20 | 480 |
| 25 | 600 |
| 30 | 720 |
| 35 | 840 |
| 40 | 960 |
| 45 | 1080 |
| 50 | 1200 |
| 55 | 1320 |
| 60 | 1440 |
| 65 | 1560 |
| 70 | 1680 |
| 75 | 1800 |
| 80 | 1920 |
| 85 | 2040 |
| 90 | 2160 |

Source: R-H Grade Crossing Handbook Table 36 (pp. 132-133)
Notes:
All calculated distances are rounded up to the next higher 5foot increment.

Distances indicated are for 65 -ft double bottom semi-tractor trailers and level single track 90 degree crossings; and may need to be adjusted for multiple tracks, skewed crossings or approaches on grades.
Clearing Sight Distance is to be measured in each vehicle travel direction at non-gated crossings as viewed from a point 25 feet from centerline of nearest track in the center of whichever travel lane is nearest the direction along track being measured.

Table 2
Stopping Sight Distances

| Highway Vehicle Speed | Distance (dH) Along Roadway <br> from Crossing (ft) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| 5 | 50 |
| 10 | 70 |
| 15 | 105 |
| 20 | 135 |
| 25 | 180 |
| 30 | 225 |
| 35 | 280 |
| 40 | 340 |
| 45 | 410 |
| 50 | 490 |
| 55 | 570 |
| 60 | 660 |
| 65 | 760 |
| 70 | 865 |

Source: R-H Grade Crossing Handbook Table 36 (pp. 132-133)

## Notes:

All calculated distances are rounded up to the next higher 5foot increment.

Distances indicated are for 65 -ft double bottom semi-tractor trailers on dry level pavements.
Stopping Sight Distance is to be measured on each roadway approach to crossing from stop bar.



## Narrative

UNIT \#1 WAS TRAVELING SOUTHEAST ON THE RAILROAD TRACKS. UNIT \#2 WAS TRAVELING NORTH EAST ON CR 20. UNIT \#2 FAILED TO YIELD TO UNIT \#1 AND WAS STRUCK. UNIT \#2 LEFT THE SCENE OF THE CRASH. SUPPLEMENTED TO SHOW NO PHOTOS.


| Unit \# Owner First | Owner Middle | Owner Last | LP State | LP \# |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | CSX TRANSPORTATION | NS |  |
| Owner Address | Owner City $\quad$ Owner State |  | Owner Zip | Owner Phone \# |
| 405 MADISON AVE 2100 | TOLEDO | OH | 43604 | 4192465757 |
| Year | Make | Model | Color | VIN |
| 1994 | UNK | UNK |  |  |
| Insurance Company | In Emergency Response | Speed Detected | Speed | Posted Speed |
| SELF INSURED | Unknown | Stated | 47 |  |
| Non-Motorist Location | Action | Towing Service | Damage Scale | Direction |
| UnKnown | Striking |  | None | NorthEast |
| Type of Unit | Point of Impact ___ Most Damaged Area |  | Vehicle Defect |  |
| Train | Left Side | Left Side |  |  |
| Pre-Crash Actions | Striking Vehicle O/U | Contributing Circumstances | First Harmful Event | Most Harmful Event |
| Movements Essentially Straight Ahead | No Underride or Override | None | 1 | 1 |
| Traffic Control | Sequence of Events 1 Sequence of Events 2 |  | Sequence of Events 3 Sequence of Events 4 |  |
| No Controls | Motor Vehicle in Transport | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown |




| Trailer LP St. | Trailer LP Year | Trailer LP \# | Placard \# | \# DIA. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Cargo Body Type | CDL Class | Weight (GVWR) | Haz Material Placard | Haz Material Release |
| Not Applicable |  |  | Unknown | Not Applicable |



## Mak



## 

## MAPQUEST.

Sorry! When printing directly from the browser your directions or map may not print correctly. For best results, try clicking the Printermpiendly button.

Latitude: 40.4531295
LOGAN-ESK CR 205137896
Longitude: -83.5547822
West Mansfield, OH 43358


Directions and maps are informational only. We make no warranties on the accuracy of their content, road conditions or route usability or expeditiousness. You assume all risk of use. MapQuest and its suppliers shall not be liable to you for any loss or delay resulting from your use of MapQuest.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 33 \text { TO E. LIBERTY- } \\
& \text { PICK UP SR } 292 \text { NORA }
\end{aligned}
$$

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Rail Division

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

180 East Broad Street Columbus, OH 43215

Diagnostic Review Team Survey



1. Georgr maron puco 614-752-9107
2. Mlke Fwhum Iors Scriva Dopt 513-739-3041
3. BIIF KONRAS for4 513-505-3155
4. Fimothy Mitchel Cixy WCH 740-636-2380
5. $\qquad$
6. $\qquad$
7. $\qquad$
8. $\qquad$
9. $\qquad$
10. $\qquad$
Existing Traffic Control Deyices


|  | Initial Information (from database) | Revised |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number \& dates of crashes in previous 5 years | 1 1/1110 1 |  |
| Hazard Ranking 20 | Date Run: 4/15/10 | $19.5 / 6 / 10$ |
| Railroad Data |  |  |
| Railroad Characteristics | Initial Information (from database) | Revised |
| Total trains per day | 19 |  |
| < I per day |  |  |
| Day thru trains | 2 |  |
| Night thru trains | 2 |  |
| Daytime switching movements | 8 |  |
| Nighttime switching movements | 7 |  |
| Total number of tracks | 3 |  |
| Number of main tracks | 2 |  |
| Number of other tracks | 1 |  |
| Maximum train speed | 40 |  |
| Typical train speed |  |  |
| Amtrak | NO |  |
| If non-gated crossing, is clearing sight distance adequate in all quadrants? (See Table I) |  |  |
| If multiple tracks, can two trains occupy crossing at the same time? $\square$ No Can one train block the motorists' view of another train at crossing? Yes (Explain below) |  |  |
| Are there other track(s) crossing this same roadway within 100 ft of this crossing! 区Yes $\square$ No If yes, Crossing DOT \#(if different) $\qquad$ <br> If yes, distance $\qquad$ (take measurement between track centerlines at closest point along roadway) |  |  |

Roadway Data


| Quadrant $\qquad$ <br> Curb and Gutter：$\square$ Functional（Curb height $=4$＂or more） Non－functional（Curb height＝Less than 4＂） <br> None | Quadrant $\qquad$ <br> Curb and Gutter：$\square$ Functional（Curb height $=4$＂or more） Non－functional（Curb height $=$ Less than 4＂） <br> None |
| :---: | :---: |
| Pedestrians：$\quad$ No $\square$ Yes |  |
| Is sidewalk present？区 No 区 $\mathrm{X}^{\text {Yes }}$ |  |
| Is there a nearby intersection that could cause queuing over the If yes， <br> Distance $\qquad$ <br> Is this intersection signalized？ <br> No Yes <br> Are the signals currently interconnected with the existing cro | gNo $\quad \square$ Yes |
| Is it the consensus of the Diagnostic Review Team that this is a Explain reasons： | ential closure project： $\square$ Yes |
| Type of Development |  |
| $\square$ Open Space $\square$ institutional Location of near <br> $\square$ Industrial $\boxed{\text { Commercial }}$ $A^{\prime}$ <br> $\square \mathbb{Z}$ Residential   | schools： $\text { CROSSIN } 6$ |
| 1 Ntility Information |  |
|  | Phone Number $\qquad$ <br> nown |
| Diagnostic Team Recommendations |  |
|  | Quadrants Needed |
| 区 install／upgrade active devices |  |
| $\square$ Automatic Flashing Lights（AFLS） |  |
| $\square$ AFLS／Cants |  |
| 区 AFLS／Gates |  |
| $\square$ AFLS／Gates／Cants |  |
| $\square$ Upgrade circuitry | POSSIBCY PEDSSTRIAN GATES |
| $\square$ Sidelights ． |  |
| $\square$ Guardrail Needed |  |
| $\square$ Instal／Replace curb |  |
| $\square$ Other（define） |  |
| Comments： | WCH MAY INSTALL SIDEWALKS |
|  | BOTH SIDES of CROSSING． |
| $\square$ install／upgrade traffic signal preemption |  |
| $\square$ No improvements needed |  |
| $\square$ Other（define） |  |




TABLE I
Clearing Sight Distances

| Maximum Authorized Train | Distance (dT) Along |
| :---: | :---: |
| Speed | 240 |
| $1-10$ | 360 |
| 15 | 480 |
| 20 | 600 |
| 25 | 720 |
| 30 | 840 |
| 35 | 960 |
| 40 | 1080 |
| 45 | 1200 |
| 50 | 1320 |
| 55 | 1440 |
| 60 | 1560 |
| 65 | 1680 |
| 70 | 1800 |
| 75 | 1920 |
| 80 | 2040 |
| 85 | 2160 |
| 90 |  |

Source: R-H Grade Crossing Handbook Table 36 (pp. I32-133)
Notes:
All calculated distances are rounded up to the next higher 5foot increment.
Distances indicated are for $65-\mathrm{ft}$ double bottom semi-tractor trailers and level single track 90 degree crossings; and may need to be adjusted for multiple tracks, skewed crossings or approaches on grades.

Clearing Sight Distance is to be measured in each vehicle travel direction at non-gated crossings as viewed from a point 25 feet from centerline of nearest track in the center of whichever travel lane is nearest the direction along track being measured.

Table 2
Stopping Sight Distances

| Highway Vehicle Speed | Distance (dH) Along Roadway <br> from Crossing (ft) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| 5 | 50 |
| 10 | 70 |
| 15 | 105 |
| 20 | 180 |
| 25 | 225 |
| 30 | 380 |
| 35 | 410 |
| 40 | 490 |
| 45 | 570 |
| 50 | 660 |
| 55 | 760 |
| 60 | 865 |
| 65 |  |
| 70 |  |

Source: R-H Grade Crossing Handbook Table 36 (pp. 132-133)
Notes:
All calculated distances are rounded up to the next higher 5foot increment.

Distances indicated are for 65 - ft double bottom semi-tractor trailers on dry level pavements.

Stopping Sight Distance is to be measured on each roadway approach to crossing from stop bar.



## Narrative

FUnit \#1 was traveling northbound on W. Oakland Ave. at the railroad crossing in the 200 block. Unit \#2 was traveling -westbound on the railroad tracks approaching Oakland Ave. when unit \#1 proceeded to crossing the railroad tracks. _Unit \#2 struck unit \#1 in the passenger side eventually stopping approximately 100 feet west of the Oakland Ave. crossing.
Witness heard the audible horn of the train prior to the collision.
Unit \#2
Engine 4030
E.M.D., GP 40


## Police Action



TRAIN INTO A CAR.

The driver of the vehicle Kevin Williams was transported form the Fayette County E.R. to Grant Hospital for further treatment. A citation has not been issued to Mr. Williams in reference to the accident due to his injuries and being transported to Grant Hospital.
IN COUNTY OF

24-Fayette
LOCATION W Oakland

Your Honor,
On 1-11-2010 officers were dispatched to a vehicle accident involving a train at the crossing in the 200 block of Oakland Ave. Upon arrival officers made contact with the drivers of the vehicle, later identified as Kevin Williams. Mr. Williams was unable to advised the reason for the accident due to him being injured and once extradited from his vehicle, transported to the Fayette County E.R. by ambulance.

I made contact with the conductor of the train, James Kehn, who advised he was traveling westbound on the tracks and blew the train horn prior to the crossing Oakland Ave. Mr. Kehn advised he never saw a vehicle. He advised his passenger in the train, John Fogle III said, "got one" in reference to striking the vehicle at which time he stopped the train. Mr. Fogle III advised the same.

A witness, Pete Pierce, advised that he was at his residence at 239 Draper when he heard a train horn then a large crash.
Upon the initial investigation through witnesses and the conductor the train horn was blown and the light was on the front of the train.
On 1-14-2010 I made contact again with Kevin Williams to conclude the investigation. Mr. Williams advised he was traveling northbound on Oakland Ave. approaching the railroad crossing. He advised he did not see or hear a train so he proceeded to cross the tracks at which time he heard the train horn and was struck by the train. Mr. Williams advised there was a large RV parked between the tracks and a storage building that made it hard for him to see down the tracks. Mr. Williams was treated and released from Grant Hospital.

I went to the location of the accident and took photographs of the scene, where the RV was parked in reference to where Mr. Williams was prior to the tracks. The RV was not a deciding factor in the accident. There is a full view of the tracks in both directions at the stop block and prior to the stop block.

On 1-14-2010 Kevin Williams was served his copy of the citation for Driving across grade crossing. Mr. Williams was given his copy of the citation and advised of his court date and time.

Court Date: January 25, 2010

Ptl. M. Pfeifer


$62 / \varepsilon 0 / 0102$



Map quest.

Sorry! When printing directly from the browser your directions or map may not print correctly. For best results, try clicking the Printer-Friendly button.

## Latitude: 39.5335366 <br> Longitude: -83.4498315 Washington Court House, OH 43160

FAYER INOH OAKLAND AVE 151917 K


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \| 5 T 0 \text { Ex iT } 69,5 R 41 \\
& 4 \| 29 \quad 9 A m
\end{aligned}
$$

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

Rail Division
180 East Broad Street Columbus, OH 43215

Diagnostic Review Team Survey

(Include: Name - Organization - Phone Number)

1. George martin puco 614-752-9107
2. Tim PERKINS ORDC 614.644 .0284
3. Douglas REIWIHART AugliCo. 419-739-6520
4. $\qquad$
5. $\qquad$
6. $\qquad$
7. $\qquad$
8. $\qquad$
9. $\qquad$


3


## Roadway Data

Local Highway Authority: (Who maintains this roadway!)

| (Who maintains this roadway!) AUGLAIZE COUNYY |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Roadway Characteristics | Initial Information (from database) | Revised |
| Average daily traffic | -893 (2008) | Same 2010 |
| Highway paved | $\square \mathrm{Yes} \square$ No | $\square \mathrm{Yes} \quad \square \mathrm{No}$ |
| Roadway Surface: $\square$ Blacktop $\square$ Gravel $\square$ Concrete $\square$ Other |  |  |
| Roadway width: 20 ft . |  |  |
| Number of highway lanes | 2 | 2 |
| Urban or Rural? 55 | RURAL | Re)RAL |
| Vehicle Speed: 55 MPH |  |  |
| School Bus Operation: $\square$ No Yes __Amount |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Shoulders: $\square$ No $\square$ Yes |  |  |
| Is the shoulder surfaced? $\square$ /No $\square$ Yes |  |  |
| Is there existing guardrail along roadway in crossing vicinity? \&No $\square$ Yes |  |  |
| Is stopping site distance adequate? (See Table 2) Yes $\square$ No If no, deficient approach(es) |  |  |


| Quadrant $\qquad$ <br> Curb and Gutter: $\square$ Functional (Curb height $=4^{\prime \prime}$ or more) Non-functional (Curb height $=$ Less than 4") None | Quadrant $\qquad$ <br> Curb and Gutter: $\square$ Functional (Curb height $=4$ " or more) Non-functional (Curb height $=$ Less than 4") <br> None |
| :---: | :---: |
| Pedestrians: $\square$ No $\square$ Yes |  |
| Is sidewalk present? $\mp$ No $\square$ Yes |  |
| Is there a nearby intersection that could cause queuing over the If yes, <br> Distance $\qquad$ <br> Is this intersection signalized? <br> No Yes <br> Are the signals currently interconnected with the existing cros | $\triangle \mathrm{No} \quad \square \mathrm{Yes}$ <br> warning devices? $\square$ WNo $\square$ Yes |
| Is it the consensus of the Diagnostic Review Team that this is a $p$ Explain reasons: | ntial closure project: $Z$ No $\quad \square$ Yes |
|  |  |
| Oppen Space $\square$ Institutional Location of nearby <br> $\square$ Industrial $\square$ Commercial  <br> $\square$ Residential FAlm  | chools: <br> THW 2 Miles |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Diagnostic Team Recommendations |  |
|  | Quadrants Needed |
| 7 Install/upgrade active devices |  |
| $\square$ Automatic Flashing Lights (AFLS) |  |
| $\square$ AFLS /Cants |  |
| 8 AFLS / Gates |  |
| $\square$ AFLS / Gates / Cants |  |
| $\square$ Upgrade circuitry |  |
| $\square$ Sidelights |  |
| $\square$ Guardrail Needed |  |
| $\square$ Instal/Replace curb |  |
| $\square$ Other (define) |  |
| Comments: |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| [] Install/upgrade traffic signal preemption |  |
| $\square$ No improvements needed |  |
| $\square$ Other (define) |  |
|  |  |




TABLE I
Clearing Sight Distances

| Maximum Authorized Train Speed | Distance (dT) Along Railroad from Crossing (ft) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1-10 | 240 |
| 15 | 360 |
| 20 | 480 |
| S 25 | 600 |
| 30 | 720 |
| 35 | 840 |
| 40 | 960 |
| 45 | 1080 |
|  | $\Omega 1200$ |
| 755 | 1320 |
| 60 | 1440 |
| 65 | 1560 |
| 70 | 1680 |
| 75 | 1800 |
| 80 | 1920 |
| 85 | 2040 |
| 90 | 2160 |

Source: R-H Grade Crossing Handbook Table 36 (pp. 132-133)

## Notes:

All calculated distances are rounded up to the next higher 5foot increment.

Distances indicated are for $65-\mathrm{ft}$ double bottom semi-tractor trailers and level single track 90 degree crossings; and may need to be adjusted for multiple tracks, skewed crossings or approaches on grades.

Clearing Sight Distance is to be measured in each vehicle travel direction at non-gated crossings as viewed from a point 25 feet from centerline of nearest track in the center of whichever travel lane is nearest the direction along track being measured.

Table 2
Stopping Sight Distances

| Highway Vehicle Speed | Distance (dH) Along Roadway <br> from Crossing (ft) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $n / \mathrm{a}$ |
| 5 | 50 |
| 10 | 70 |
| 15 | 105 |
| 20 | 135 |
| 75 | 280 |
| 30 | 280 |
| 35 | 340 |
| 40 | 410 |
| 50 | 490 |
| 55 | 570 |
| 60 | 660 |
| 65 | 760 |
| 70 | 865 |

Source: R-H Grade Crossing Handbook Table 36 (pp. I32-133)
Notes:
All calculated distances are rounded up to the next higher 5 . foot increment.

Distances indicated are for $65-\mathrm{ft}$ double bottom semi-tractor trailers on dry level pavements.

Stopping Sight Distance is to be measured on each roadway approach to crossing from stop bar.



## Narrative

UNIT \#1 WAS WESTBOUND ON BUCKLAND HOLDEN ROAD. UNIT \#2 WAS SOUTHBOUND. UNIT \#1 FAILED TO YIELD AT THE TRAIN CROSSING AND DROVE INTO THE PATH OF UNIT \#2. UNIT \#1 WAS STRUCK IN THE RIGHT REAR AND CAME TO FINAL REST ON THE SOUTHWEST SIDE OF BUCKLAND HOLDEN ROAD.

## OHO Traffic Crash Report




## MAPQUEST.
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Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Rail Division

Diagnostic Review Team Survey



In dude: Name -Organization - Phone Number)

1. Gorge marta Puca 614-752-9107
2. $\qquad$ MKK MOWREY CHMPMON CO 937 653-4848
3. Biff Konrad Tory 513 505-3155
4. Feneidenn Stains Champoistle $237-653$ 4848
5. $\qquad$
6. $\qquad$
7. $\qquad$
8. $\qquad$
9. $\qquad$
10. $\qquad$



| Quadrant $\qquad$ <br> Curb and Gutter: $\square$ Functional (Curb height $=4$ " or more) <br> Non-functional (Curb height $=$ Less than $4^{\prime \prime}$ ) <br> None | Quadrant $\qquad$ <br> Curb and Gutter: $\square$ Functional (Curb height $=4$ " or more) Non-functional (Curb height $=$ Less than $4^{\prime \prime}$ ) None |
| :---: | :---: |
| Pedestrians: $\quad \square$ No $\quad \square$ Yes |  |
| Is sidewalk present? $\square^{\text {/ }}$ o $\quad \square$ Yes |  |
| is there a nearby intersection that could cause queuing over the If yes, <br> Distance $\qquad$ <br> Is this intersection signalized? <br> No Yes <br> Are the signals currently interconnected with the existing cros |  |
| Is it the consensus of the Diagnostic Review Team that this is a p Explain reasons: | $\square /$ No $\square$ Yes |
| Thpe of Development |  |
| Open Space $\square$ Institutional Location of nearby <br> $\square$ Industrial $\square$ Commercial WITH <br> $\notin$ Residential FARM  | schools: $J 3 \text { MILES }$ |
| Utility Information |  |
| Is commercial power available? $\square$ $\square \mathrm{N}$ Utility Provider (Company Name) $\qquad$ DP \& L $P_{1}$ ONEER ELECTRIC) <br> Phone Number <br> Nearest Available Power Source $\qquad$ AT $x$ in 6 |  |
| Diagnostic Team Recommendations |  |
|  | Quadrants Needed |
| D Install/upgrade active devices |  |
| $\square$ Automatic Flashing Lights (AFLS) |  |
| $\square$ AFLS/Cants |  |
| 区 AFLS / Gates |  |
| $\square$ AFLS / Gates / Cants |  |
| $\square$ Upgrade circuitry |  |
| $\square$ Sidelights |  |
| $\square$ Guardrail Needed |  |
| $\square$ Instal/Replace curb |  |
| $\square$ Other (define) |  |
| Comments: |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| [] Instal/upgrade traffic signal preemption |  |
| [] No improvements needed |  |
| [] Other (define) |  |
|  |  |




TABLE I
Clearing Sight Distances

| Maximum Authorized Train <br> Speed | Distance (dT) Along <br> Railroad from Crossing (ft) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $1-10$ | 240 |
| 15 | 360 |
| 20 | 480 |
| 25 | 600 |
| 30 | 720 |
| 35 | 840 |
| 40 | 960 |
| 45 | 1080 |
| 50 | 1200 |
| 55 | 1320 |
| 60 | 1440 |
| 65 | 1560 |
| 70 | 1680 |
| 75 | 1800 |
| 80 | 1920 |
| 85 | 2040 |
| 90 | 2160 |

Source: R-H Grade Crossing Handbook Table 36 (pp. 132-133)

## Notes:

All calculated distances are rounded up to the next higher 5foot increment.

Distances indicated are for 65 -ft double bottom semi-tractor trailers and level single track 90 degree crossings; and may need to be adjusted for multiple tracks, skewed crossings or approaches on grades.
Clearing Sight Distance is to be measured in each vehicle travel direction at non-gated crossings as viewed from a point 25 feet from centerline of nearest track in the center of whichever travel lane is nearest the direction along track being measured.

Table 2
Stopping Sight Distances

| Highway Vehicle Speed | Distance (dH) Along Roadway <br> from Crossing (ft) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| 5 | 50 |
| 10 | 70 |
| 15 | 105 |
| 20 | 135 |
| 25 | 180 |
| 30 | 225 |
| 35 | 280 |
| 40 | 340 |
| 45 | 410 |
| 50 | -490 |
| 55 | 570 |
| 60 | 660 |
| 65 | 760 |
| 70 | 865 |
| Source: R-H Grade Crossing Handbook Table 36 (pp. 132-133) |  |

Source: R-H Grade Crossing Handbook Table 36 (pp. 132-133)
Notes:
All calculated distances are rounded up to the next higher 5foot increment.

Distances indicated are for 65 -ft double bottom semi-tractor trailers on dry level pavements.
Stopping Sight Distance is to be measured on each roadway approach to crossing from stop bar.

## HIGHWAY-RAIL GRADE CROSSING

FEDERAL RAILROAD ADMINISTRATION (FRA)
ACCIDENT/INCIDENT REPORT




## Narrative

UNIT\#1 WAS WESTBOUND ON CR184 WHEN SHE FAILED TO YIELD AT THE RAILROAD CROSSING. UNIT\# 1 STRUCK A SOUTHBOUND TRAIN.
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