

BEFORE

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Suburban)
Natural Gas Company to Adjust its) Case No. 10-763-GA-RDR
Infrastructure Replacement Plan Rider)
Charge and Related Matters.)

ENTRY

The attorney examiner finds:

- (1) On March 19, 2008, the Commission issued its opinion and order in *In the Matter of the Application of the Suburban Natural Gas Company for Authority to Increase its Rates and Charges in Certain Areas of its Service Territory*, Case No. 07-689-GA-AIR, authorizing Suburban Natural Gas Company (Suburban), *inter alia*, to establish an infrastructure replacement program (IRP) rider at a zero-dollar level.
- (2) By finding and order issued September 15, 2009, in *In the Matter of the Application of Suburban Natural Gas Company for Approval of an Infrastructure Replacement Plan*, Case No. 09-573-GA-UNC, (2009 IRP Case), the Commission approved Suburban's IRP. Suburban's IRP provides for the accelerated replacement of aging pipelines and timely replacement of prone to fail risers, as well as Suburban's assumption of responsibilities associated with the installation, repair and maintenance of customer service lines. Suburban states that, pursuant to the approved IRP, Suburban must submit an application to recover the costs associated with the IRP by August 31 of each year; in addition, Suburban must file a prefiling notice by May 31 of each year.
- (3) On June 4, 2010, Suburban filed a motion, pursuant to Rules 4901-1-12 and 4901-1-13, Ohio Administrative Code (O.A.C.), for an extension of time to file the prefiling notice of its application to recover costs associated with its IRP. Suburban specifically requests that the due date to file the prefiling notice be extended 14 days, from June 1, 2010, to

June 15, 2010. Suburban also requests an expedited ruling on its motion, pursuant to Rule 4901-1-12(C), O.A.C.


- (4) In support of its motion, Suburban explains that since May 31 this year fell on a holiday, Suburban's prefiling notice was supposed to be filed on June 1, 2010. Suburban originally filed its motion for extension of time on June 1, 2010, in the *2009 IRP Case*, and, subsequently, refiled the identical motion in this proceeding upon being advised to file in a GA-RDR docket. In addition, Suburban submits that an extension of time to file its prefiling notice is necessary because Suburban and Staff are still working together to determine what information must be included in the prefiling notice. Suburban indicates that an extension of two additional weeks should provide sufficient time for Suburban and Staff to decide what information should be included in the prefiling notice, and for Suburban to draft the prefiling notice.
- (5) Suburban states that no other parties have intervened in this proceeding, and represents that Staff does not object to an extension of time to file the prefiling notice.
- (6) The attorney examiner finds that Rule 4901-1-12(F), O.A.C., permits the issuance of an expedited ruling on Suburban's motion for extension, as the issuance of an expedited ruling will not adversely affect a substantial right of any party. Therefore, the attorney examiner finds that Suburban's motion for extension is reasonable and should be granted. Accordingly, the due date for the filing of Suburban's prefiling notice should be extended to June 15, 2010.


It is, therefore,

ORDERED, That Suburban's motion for an extension of time to file its prefiling notice be granted, and that the due date for the filing of Suburban's prefiling notice be extended to June 15, 2010. It is, further,

ORDERED, That a copy of this entry be served upon all parties of record.

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO


By: Henry H. Phillips-Gary
Attorney Examiner

/sc

Entered in the Journal

JUN 14 2010



Renee J. Jenkins
Secretary