RECEIVED-DOCKETING BLY

BEFORE

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO PR 15 PH 5: 17

In the Matter of the Application of	? Puc.
Ohio Edison Company, The)
Cleveland Electric Illuminating)
Company, and The Toledo Edison)
Company for Authority to Establish a) Case. No. 10-388-EL-SSO
Standard Service Offer Pursuant to)
Section 4928.143, Revised Code, in)
the Form of an Electric Security Plan.	·)

TESTIMONY OF ROBERT B. FORTNEY

RATES & TARIFFS DIVISION
UTILITIES DEPARTMENT
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

April 15, 2010

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.

Technician Date Processed

	1			TESTIMONY OF ROBERT FORTNEY
	2 3	1.	A.	My name is Robert B. Fortney. My business address is 180 E. Broad
ļ	4			Street, Columbus, Ohio, 43215.
	5	2.,	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
	6		A.	I am employed by the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (PUCO) as a
	7			Public Utilities Administrator 3 in the Rates and Tariffs Division of the
	8			Utilities Department.
	9	3.	Q.	Please outline your educational background and work experience.
	10		A.	I received a Bachelor of Science Degree in Business Administration from
	11			Ball State University, Muncie, Indiana, in 1971. I received a Master of
	12			Business Administration Degree from the University of Dayton, Dayton,
	13			Ohio, in 1979. I have been with the Commission staff for 24 years,
	14			involved in all aspects of electric utility rates, rules and regulations.
	15	4.	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?
	16		A.	I am testifying to the reasonableness of certain provisions within the
ſ	17			Stipulation and Recommendation (the Stipulation) filed on March 23, 2010
	18			by FirstEnergy in Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO. Specifically, I will address
	19			Provision (F) Economic Development and Job Retention (2) which, for
;	20			simplicity, I will call the Cleveland Clinic provision and Provision (F) (3)
	21			which, for simplicity, I will call the domestic automaker provision.
	22	5.	Q.	Would you please describe the mechanics of the Cleveland Clinic (the
	23			Clinic) provision?

- A. 1 It is my understanding that the Clinic intends to implement a major 2 expansion at its Main Campus located at 9500 Euclid Avenue in Cleveland 3 to meet growing demand for its services. The expansion plan calls for an investment of \$1.4 billion and is expected to create more than 1,000 new 4 jobs. The expansion will also create local construction jobs and jobs 5 indirectly associated with the expansion. In order to complete the 6 expansion, significant additions, alterations and modifications must be 7 made to the electric infrastructure to meet the growth in electric service and 8 to meet the reliability needs of the Clinic and its patients. Based on 9 estimates, the Clinic's otherwise applicable share of the costs for those 10 facilities, in the form of a contribution in aid if construction, would be 11 approximately \$70 million. Per the terms of the Stipulation, CEI will be 12 responsible for those costs. It will classify those costs as distribution plant 13 in service which will be fully depreciated and recovered (with no carrying 14 charges) from the other customers of FirstEnergy over a five year period 15 beginning June, 1, 2011 through Provision (g) of Rider EDR. 16 So, why is this a reasonable provision to include in the Stipulation 6. Q.
- 17 regarding an Electric Security Plan? 18

19

20

21

A. Primarily, because the Stipulation must be judged as a comprehensive plan which provides for stable pricing of energy services, assures supplies of electricity, enhances distribution services, promotes energy efficiency,

promotes economic development and job retention, and supports low
income customers. The Clinic provision is but one of many parts which
make up the overall package which addresses a broad range of issues
contemplated within the scope of Am. S.B. 221.

According to the Stipulation, the expansion plan cannot be completed without the provisions included in the Stipulation. I do not know whether this means that none of the expansion would take place or that only part of the expansion would take place. However, it is apparent that there would not be a \$1.4 billion investment, there would not be 1,000 jobs added and the number of construction and indirect jobs created would be less than they would otherwise be if the Stipulation is approved.

Further, there will be no carrying charges applied to the \$70 million in cost recovery.

- 7. Q. Is there information, or documentation, that the Commission should be provided that is not detailed in the Stipulation?
- A. Yes, I have some suggestions as to what information the Commission
 should be provided, in general. (1) The Clinic should submit to the
 Commission, prior to its ruling in this case, an affidavit from a company
 official as to the veracity of the information provided in the Stipulation
 (notably, the \$1.4 billion investment and the projected 1,000 new jobs, as
 well as the potential repercussions if the provision is not approved).

- (2) FirstEnergy should submit it cost estimates which justify the otherwise 1 2 applicable \$70 million Clinic share. (3) FirstEnergy should provide annual 3 information to the Commission which verify the actual costs (and, if applicable, reconcile provision (g) Infrastructure Improvement, in its 4 Economic Development Rider to actual costs, if less than \$70 million). (4) 5 Finally, the Clinic should provide to FirstEnergy (to be made available to 6 the Commission) annual investment dollars for the expansion project and 7 the number of new jobs created. 8
- 9 8. Q. Would you please describe the mechanics of the domestic automaker provision?
- The domestic automaker provision applies to domestic automaker facilities A. 11 with more than 45 million kWhs of use for the 12 month billing periods 12 ending December 31, 2009. It consists of two parts. The first part, 13 provision (h) of the Economic Development Rider, is a 1 cent/kWh credit 14 to be applied to the first 20% of usage exceeding the baseline average usage 15 and a 1.2 cent credit to be applied to all kWhs exceeding 20% over the 16 baseline. The second part, provision (g) is the recovery mechanism which 17 is a kWh charge, to be reconciled quarterly, for all classes except GT, SL, 18 TL and POL. 19
- Q. Why is this a reasonable provision to include in the Stipulation regarding an
 Electric Security Plan?

- A. It establishes an economic incentive for a core industry. Hopefully,
 increased business in the auto industry will lead to increased business in the
 economy in general.
- 4 10. Q. Why is the GT class exempted from the recovery mechanism?
- A. Once again, this was one of many provisions which were negotiated by the
 parties and agreed upon by the signatory parties. The theory is that it seems
 contradictory to offer a discount for economic development and then
 recover that discount from the same customers. Also, if the GT class was
 included in the recovery mechanism, the result could be direct competitors
 subsidizing each other.
- 11 11. Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 12 A. Yes, it does. However, I reserve the right to submit supplemental
 13 testimony as described herein, as new information subsequently becomes
 14 available or in response to positions taken by other parties.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that the foregoing **Testimony of Robert B. Fortney** has been served upon all of the parties of record in Case No. 10-388-EL-SSO by electronic mail and/or U.S. mail, postage pre-paid this 15th day of April, 2010.

Thomas W. Mc Namee

Thomas W. McNamee Assistant Attorney General

Parties of Record:

Industrial Energy Users (IEU)
Samuel C. Randazzo
Lisa G. McAlister
Daniel J. Neilsen
Joseph M. Clark
McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC
21 East State St., 17th Floor
Columbus, OH 43215
sam@mwncmh.com
lmcalister@mwncmh.com
jclark@mwncmh.com

Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy David C. Rinebolt Colleen L. Mooney 231 West Lima Street PO Box 1793 Columbus, OH 43215 drinebolt@ohiopartners.org cmooney2@columbus.rr.com Ohio Environmental Council Barth E. Royer Nolan Moser Trent A Dougherty Bell & Royer, LPA 33 South Grant Avenue Columbus, OH 43215 barthroyer@aol.com nmoser@theoec.org trent@theoec.org

Ohio Energy Group (OEG)
Michael L. Kurtz
David F. Boehm
Kurt J. Boehm
Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry
36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510
Cincinnati, OH 45202
mkurtz@BKLlawfirm.com
dboehm@BKLlawfirm.com

Nucor Steel Marion, Inc.
Garrett A. Stone
Michael K. Lavanga
Brickfield, Burchette, Ritts & Stone
1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW
Eighth Floor, West Tower
Washington, DC 20007-5201
gas@bbrslaw.com
mkl@bbrslaw.com

Northwest Ohio Aggregation Coalition (NOAC)
Toledo
Leslie A. Kovacik
420 Madison Ave., Suite 100
Toledo, OH 43604-1219
leslie.kovacik@toledo.oh.gov

The Ohio Manufacturers' Association Thomas J. O'Brien Bricker & Eckler LLP 100 S. Third St. Columbus, OH 43215 tobrien@bricker.com

Kevin Schmidt
The Ohio Manufacturers' Association
33 North High Street
Columbus, OH 43215-3005
kschmidt@ohiomfg.com

Ohio Consumers' Counsel
Jeffrey L. Small
Gregory J. Poulos
Richard C. Reese
Ohio Consumers' Counsel
10 West Broad Street
18th Floor
Columbus, OH 43215-3485
small@occ.state.oh.us
poulos@occ.state.oh.us
reese@occ.state.oh.us

Kroger Co
John W. Bentine
Mark S. Yurick
Matthew S. White
Chester Wilcox & Saxbe, LLP
65 E. State St., Suite 1000
Columbus, OH 43215
jbentine@cwslaw.com
myurick@cwslaw.com
mwhite@cwslaw.com

Constellation Energy Commodities Group Constellation NewEnergy, Inc. M. Howard Petricoff Stephen M. Howard Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease, LLP 52 East Gay Street PO Box 1008 Columbus, OH 43216-1008 mhpetricoff@vorys.com

Cynthia A. Brady David I. Fein Constellation Energy Resources, LLC 550 West Washington Blvd., Suite 300 Chicago, IL 60661 Direct Energy Services, Inc, M. Howard Petricoff Stephen M. Howard Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease, LLP 52 East Gay Street PO Box 1008 Columbus, OH 43216-1008 mhpetricoff@vorys.com

Material Sciences Corporation Craig I. Smith 2824 Coventry Road Cleveland, Ohio 44120 wis29@yahoo.com

Teresa Ringenbach Direct Energy Services, LLC 5400 Frantz Rd., Suite 250 Dublin, OH 43016 teresa.ringenbach@directenergy.com

Ohio Hospital Association Richard L. Sites 155 E. Broad Street, 15th Floor Columbus, OH 43215-3620 ricks@ohanet.org

Neighborhood Environmental Coalition, Consumers for Fair Utility Rates, United Clevelanders Against Poverty, Cleveland Housing Network, The Empowerment Center of Greater Cleveland (Citizens Coalition) Joseph P. Meissner The Legal Aid Society of Cleveland 1223 West 6th Street

Cleveland, OH 44113 jpmeissn@lasclev.org

GEXA Energy – Ohio, LLC
Dane Stinson
Bailey Cavalieri LLC
10 West Broad Street, Suite 2100
Columbus, Ohio 43215
Dane, Stinson@BaileyCavalieri.com

The City of Cleveland Robert J. Triozzi Steven L. Beeler City of Cleveland Dept. of Law 601 Lakeside Ave., Room 106 Cleveland, OH 44114 rtriozzi@city.cleveland.oh.us sbeeler@city.cleveland.oh.us

Citizen Power
Theodore S. Robinson
2121 Murray Avenue
Pittsburgh, PA 15217
robinson@citizenpower.com

NOPEC Glenn S. Krassen Matthew W. Warnock Bricker & Eckler LLP 1375 E. 9th St., Suite 1500 Cleveland, OH 44114 gkrassen@bricker.com mwarnock@bricker.com

Morgan Stanley Capital Group Inc.
Douglas M. Mancino
McDermott Will & Emory LLP
2049 Century Park East
Suite 3800
Los Angeles, CA 90067-3218
dmancino@mwe.com

Gregory K. Lawrence 28 State Street McDermott Will & Emory LLP Boston, MA 02109 glawrence@mwe.com

Steven Huhman
Morgan Stanley
2000 Westchester Ave.
Purchase, NY 10577
steven.huhman@morganstanley.com

Natural Resources Defense Council Henry W. Eckhart 50 West Broad Street, #2117 Columbus, Ohio 43215 henryeckhart@aol.com Ohio Schools Council, Glenn S. Krassen Matthew W. Warnock Bricker & Eckler LLP 1375 E. 9th St., Suite 1500 Cleveland, OH 44114 gkrassen@bricker.com mwarnock@bricker.com

Duke Energy Retail Sales, LLC Michael D. Dortch Kravitz, Brown & Dortch, LLC 63 E. State St., Suite 200 Columbus, OH 43215 mdortch@kravitzllc.com

PJM Power Providers Group
M. Howard Petricoff
Stephen M. Howard
Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease, LLP
52 East Gay St.
PO Box 1008
Columbus, OH 43216-1008
mhpetricoff@vorys.com
showard@vorys.com

FirstEnergy Solutions Corp.
Michael Beiting
Morgan Parke
FirstEnergy Service Company
76 S. Main St.
Akron, OH 44308
beitingm@firstenergycorp.com
mparke@firstenergycorp.com

Daniel R. Conway Porter Wright Morris & Arthur 41 S. High St. Columbus, OH 43215 dconway@porterwright.com Association of Independent Colleges and Universities of Ohio Gregory J. Dunn Christopher Miller Andre T. Porter Schottenstein Zox & Dunn Co., LPA 250 West St. Columbus, OH 4321 5 gdunn@szd.com cmiller@szd.com aporter@szd.com

EnerNOC, Inc.
Jacqueline Lake Roberts
Senior Manager
Regulatory Affairs and Public Policy
101 Federal Street, Suite 1100
Boston, MA 02110
jroberts@enernoc.com

Duke Energy Ohio Amy Spiller Duke Energy Business Services, Inc. 221 E. Fourth St., 25 Fl. Cincinnati, OH 45202 amy.spiller@duke-energy.com

Cheri B. Cunningham Director of Law 161 South High Street Suite 202 Akron, OH 44308

Viridity Energy, Inc. Allen Freifeld Samuel A. Wolfe 100 W. Elm Street, Suite 410 Conshohocken, PA 19428 Environmental Law & Policy Center Michael E. Heintz
Staff Attorney
1207 Grandview Ave.
Suite 201
Columbus, Ohio 43212
mheintz@elpc.org
City of Akron
Joseph M. Clark
McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC
21 East State St., 17th Floor
Columbus, OH 43215
jclark@mwncmh.com

Council of Smaller Enterprises Eric D. Weldele Tucker, Ellis & West, LLP 1225 Huntington Center 41 South High Street Columbus, OH 43215-6197

James W. Burk Senior Attorney FirstEnergy 76 South Main Street Akron, Ohio 44308