1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO
2	
3	In the Matter of the : Application of The Dayton :
4	Power and Light Company : Case No. 09-754-EL-ESS for Establishing New :
5	Reliability Standards. :
6	
7	PROCEEDINGS
8	before Mr. Henry H. Phillips-Gary and Mr. Gregory
9	Price, Attorney Examiners, at the Public Utilities
10	Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, Room 11-C,
11	Columbus, Ohio, called at 10:04 a.m. on Thursday,
12	March 11, 2010.
13	
14	
15	
15 16	
15 16 17	
15 16 17 18	
15 16 17 18	
15 16 17 18 19	ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	222 East Town Street, Second Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201
115 116 117 118 119 20 21	222 East Town Street, Second Floor
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	222 East Town Street, Second Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201 (614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481

		2
1	APPEARANCES:	
2	The Dayton Power and Light Company By Mr. Randall V. Griffin 1065 Woodman Drive	
4	Dayton, Ohio 45432 On behalf of the Applicant.	
5		
6	Richard Cordray, Ohio Attorney General By Mr. Duane W. Luckey,	
7	Senior Deputy Attorney General Public Utilities Section	
8	Ms. Anne L. Hammerstein, and Mr. Thomas G. Lindgren,	
9	Assistant Attorneys General 180 East Broad Street Calumbus Obia 43315	
11	Columbus, Ohio 43215	
	On behalf of the Staff of the PUCO.	
12	Janine L. Migden-Ostrander, Ohio Consumers' Counsel By Mr. Richard C. Reese,	
14	Assistant Consumers' Counsel 10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus Objo 43215 3485	
15	Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485	
16	On behalf of the Residential Consumers of The Dayton Power and Light Company.	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Thursday Morning Session,
March 11, 2010.

- - -

EXAMINER PHILLIPS-GARY: The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio has called for hearing at this time and place Case No. 09-754-EL-ESS, being in the Matter of the Application of The Dayton Power and Light Company for Establishing New Reliability Standards.

My name is Henry H. Phillips Gary and with me is Gregory Price. We are the Attorney Examiners assigned by the Commission to hear this case. Let us start with the appearances of the parties. On behalf of the company.

MR. GRIFFIN: On behalf of the company I am Randall Griffin, and I'll give my card to the court reporter so she has the addresses and phone numbers.

EXAMINER PHILLIPS-GARY: Thank you. On behalf of staff.

MS. HAMMERSTEIN: Thank you, your Honor.

On behalf of the staff of the PUCO, Richard Cordray,

Ohio Attorney General, Duane W. Luckey, Chief, Public

Utilities Section, Anne L. Hammerstein, Assistant

Chief, 180 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215.

EXAMINER PHILLIPS-GARY: Thank you. On behalf of OCC.

MR. REESE: Thank you, your Honor. On behalf of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel Janine
Migden-Ostrander, I'm Richard C. Reese, Assistant
Consumers' Counsel. My business address is 10 West
Broad Street, Suite 1800, Columbus, Ohio 43215.

EXAMINER PHILLIPS-GARY: Thank you.

MS. HAMMERSTEIN: Your Honor, if I could, it was my fault, I neglected to add that the staff is also being represented by Thomas G. Lindgren.

EXAMINER PHILLIPS-GARY: Thank you. Are there any preliminary matters before we begin?

MR. GRIFFIN: I guess, your Honor, people are turning to me so I'll wing it. I think the only preliminary matter is to inform your Honors that we are in the midst of settlement discussions. I don't want to get into any details, of course, but I am reasonably hopeful that a stipulation will be reached, and I don't know that we have discussed a particular time to continue this hearing but perhaps if we extended it another couple weeks, that would be an appropriate time for us to be able to present a stipulation to your Honor if we reach one.

If we can't reach a stipulation, I would

1 imagine that we would want to come back at that 2 period of time and establish a more formal procedural 3 schedule because, for example, at this point we have no filed testimony by any party so we wouldn't be able to have an evidentiary hearing in two weeks, but at that point we could set up a procedural schedule. 7 EXAMINER PHILLIPS-GARY: All right. Do 8 any --MS. HAMMERSTEIN: Your Honor, if I may. 10 EXAMINER PHILLIPS-GARY: Yes, you may 11 proceed. 12 MS. HAMMERSTEIN: Thank you. I think 13 there had been some discussion among the parties and 14 the Bench to continue this proceeding until the 25th 15 of March, and the parties are still amenable to that 16 date. 17 EXAMINER PHILLIPS-GARY: All right. Is 18 that okay? But is the intent more for an 19 indefinite --20 EXAMINER PRICE: Now, we are not sure 21 what you are asking for. Are you asking for an 22 indefinite or a two-week continuance? 23 MS. HAMMERSTEIN: I think we are asking 24 for a two-week continuance.

EXAMINER PRICE: If we do that, prepared

25

testimony would be due in a week under the code. Is that going to allow enough time for parties to either draft testimony or reach a stipulation?

MS. HAMMERSTEIN: Well, if that's the way you are viewing it, then no. That would not permit us enough time to do that.

EXAMINER PRICE: Let's go off the record.

(Discussion off the record.)

MR. GRIFFIN: Just for the record off the record we just briefly discussed the future process of this case. And in light of that the company would request that we have a period of a week in which we would report back to the Hearing Examiners as to — the Attorney Examiners as to whether we have reached a stipulation or whether we need to establish a procedural schedule for an evidentiary hearing.

EXAMINER PHILLIPS-GARY: Do any of the other parties --

MS. HAMMERSTEIN: Staff concurs in that, your Honor.

EXAMINER PHILLIPS-GARY: All right.

Hearing no objection the request for continuance is granted. The parties are requested to reply back to the Attorney Examiners within a week letting us know what sort of procedural schedule needs to be set,

what the status of the case is, and what steps we need to take at that point in time. Are there any other matters before us at this time?

MR. GRIFFIN: The only other matter I wanted to mention, your Honors, and this will not be an issue if we do reach a stipulation, but we have recently received data responses back from the OCC that we think are not particularly responsive, so if we do not reach a stipulation, that may come before you at some future point.

EXAMINER PHILLIPS-GARY: Anything else from any of the other parties?

All right. Hearing no other matters before us this hearing is continued and we will be -- we will wait to hear from the parties and set a date in the future for the continuance of the hearing.

We're adjourned. Thank you.

(Thereupon, the hearing was adjourned at 10:10 a.m.)

CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Thursday, March 11, 2010, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes.

Karen Sue Gibson, Registered Merit Reporter.

(KSG-5180)

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

3/26/2010 2:30:58 PM

in

Case No(s). 09-0754-EL-ESS

Summary: Transcript Transcript from 3/11/10 hearing electronically filed by Mrs. Jennifer Duffer on behalf of Gibson, Karen Sue Mrs. and Armstrong & Okey, Inc.