FILE

Hunter, Donielle

From: ContactThePUCO@puc.state.oh.us
Sent: Wednesday, March 10, 2010 7:31 AM
To: Docketing
Subject: FirstEnergy Rate Case

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
Investigation and Audit Division

Memorandum

Date: 3/10/2010

Re: Chris Yaecker
15121 Sperry Rd
Novelty, OH 44072

Docketing Case No.: 10-0176-EL-ATA

Notes: PUCO needs to reverse the action of allowing The Illuminating Company from eliminating the discount it gave to it's all electric service customers! First of all, we were promised a life time discount if we went all electric when we built our home. Because of this promise, we installed a very expensive, energy saving Geo-thermal heating unit. We would never have chosen this type of heating unit had we not be promised the discount! Our bills have gone up drastically! By doing this, they have also hurt the value of our home! Who wants to buy a home with an electric bill the amount of a mortgage payment! I will be writing to governor Strickland and asking him to rethink who he has appointed to PUCO, as you are obviously looking our for the the utility company and not the consumer. And the audacity to allow this to happen to people during this economy! OUTRAGEOUS!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
I am adamantly opposed to First Energy's case 10-0176-EL-ATA and demand the PUCO NOT to approve it! As an all-electric customer, there are many reasons I am opposed to the case. First of all, the case proposes a cap of a 20% increase to my current bill over last year's bill, but 20% is too much! The case also proposes phasing in the remaining rate increase over the next 8 years which is completely unacceptable and will render the future sale of my home impossible. Finally, the case claims First Energy needs to raise small business rates to recover the costs of additional residential credit and this is simply wrong! First Energy needs to fully honor its 30 year promise to offer discounted all-electric rates to all-electric home owners, and if they need to find a funding source for this, I suggest taking it from their 2009 one billion dollars in profits or the 13 million dollar salary of its president! I am also adamantly opposed to First Energy's case 090906-EL-SSO and understand the OCC also opposes this issue! In this case, First Energy is requesting the elimination of a current credit the all-electric home owners are receiving to off-set the ridiculous 106% increase in distribtution costs. The credit First Energy is asking to remove is the "Residential Distribution Credit" and if this credit is removed, our bills will increase an additional 20% on top of where they are at now!!! You must not eliminate the "Residential Distribtuion Credit" but rather fully reinstate our original all-electric rate structure and fulfill your 30 year long promise!

Please docket the attached in the case number above.