

FILE

Hunter, Donielle

From: ContactThePUCO@puc.state.oh.us
Sent: Thursday, February 25, 2010 2:39 PM
To: Docketing
Subject: FirstEnergy Rate Case

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
Investigation and Audit Division

Memorandum

Date: 2/25/2010

Re: Kilee Yarosh
1765 S Denmark Rd
Jefferson, OH 44047

Docketing Case No.: 10-0176-EL-ATA

Notes: In regards to case 10-0176-EL-ATA, I am adamantly opposed to this case and demand PUCO NOT APPROVE it! As an all-electric customer there are reasons why I am opposed to this proposal. First, the case proposes a 20% increase cap to my year over year bill, but 20% is excessive and will cause hardship for my family. The case also proposes slowly (over 8 years) phasing in the remaining portion of the hike, which is unacceptable and will serve to make our home unsalable. First Energy needs to honor its 30 year old commitment to all-electric customers and offer deep discounted rates to these customers. I chose to remain an all-electric home during a recent re-model because of the high efficiency electric offers. If First Energy needs to find a way to offset increased commercial business they need to look elsewhere, perhaps within the 1 BILLION dollar profit they recorded in 2009. While the consumers are choosing to pay their mortgage or the electric bill the electric company is touting huge profits. Perhaps they could look to the 13 million dollar salary of its president. I am also opposing case 090906-EL-SSO and understand the OCC also opposes this issue. First Energy is requesting the elimination of a current credit the all-electric home owners are receiving to offset the ridiculous rate increase we have seen. You MUST NOT eliminate this "Residential Distribution Credit" but rather FULLY enstate our original all-electric rate and remain true to the commitment that was made over 30 years ago. The manner in which the rate increase was made known to consumers was inappropriate, we open a bill in June 2009 to find out rate was now close to 7kWh, this is unacceptable.

Please docket the attached in the case number above.

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business
Technician JK Date Processed 2-25-2010

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV
2010 FEB 25 PM 3:03
PUCO