RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV
Columbia Exhibit No. 10
2010 FEB 10 AM 9: 56

### THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO PUCO

In the Matter of the Regulation of the Purchased Gas Adjustment Clauses Contained Within the Rate Schedules of Columbia Gas of Ohio, Inc., and Related Matters.	) ) )	Case No. 08-221-GA-GCR
In the Matter of the Long-Term Forecast Report of Columbia Gas of Ohio, Inc., and Related Matters.	)	Case No. 07-121-GA-GCR

## PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF DANIEL A. CREEKMUR ON BEHALF OF COLUMBIA GAS OF OHIO, INC.

#### COLUMBIA GAS OF OHIO, INC.

Stephen B. Seiple, Trial Attorney Brooke A. Leslie, Counsel 200 Civic Center Drive P.O. Box 117 Columbus, Ohio 43216-0117 Telephone: (614) 460-4648

Fax: (614) 460-6986

Email: sseiple@nisource.com bleslie@nisource.com

Attorneys for COLUMBIA GAS OF OHIO, INC.

February 10, 2010

# PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF DANIEL A. CREEKMUR ON BEHALF OF COLUMBIA GAS OF OHIO, INC.

1	INT	RODUCTION
2	Q:	Please state your name and business address.
3	A:	My name is Daniel A. Creekmur and my business address is 200 Civic Center Drive, Co-
4		lumbus, Ohio 43215.
5		
6	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
7	A.	I am employed by Columbia Gas of Ohio, Inc. ("Columbia") as Director, Strategic Affairs.
8		
9	Q.	What are your responsibilities as Director, Strategic Affairs?
10	A.	As Director, Strategic Affairs, I am generally responsible for regulatory matters involving
11		Columbia, the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, and other regulatory stakeholders. I am
12		also generally responsible for Columbia's regulatory planning. Since 2007, I have been di-
13		rectly involved in negotiating a series of complex and integrated settlements regarding Co-
14		lumbia's base rates, the resolution of issues in Columbia's GCR audit cases, the establish-
15		ment of Columbia's Demand Side Management program and Infrastructure Replacement
16		Program.
17		
18	Q.	What is your educational background?
19	A.	I received a Bachelor's of Science in Management Information Systems from Miami Uni-
20		versity in 2002. In 2006, I received a Juris Doctor Degree from Capital University Law
21		School.

2 Q. Briefly describe your professional experience.

- 3 A. I have held my current position as Director, Strategic Affairs for Columbia since July of
- 4 2009. From 2007 through that period, I was employed as Counsel in Columbia's Legal De-
- 5 partment. Prior to that I was employed as Counsel at The Union Central Life Insurance
- 6 Company in Cincinnati, Ohio.

7

1

- 8 Q. What is the purpose of your Testimony in this proceeding?
- 9 A. I am supporting the Joint Stipulation and Recommendation ("Stipulation") filed in these
- proceedings on July 20, 2009. In my opinion, the Stipulation represents a fair and reasonable
- 11 compromise of the issues in these proceedings. It is my recommendation that it should be
- adopted and approved by the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("Commission").

13

14

#### THE STIPULATION

- 15 Q. Please describe the Stipulation.
- 16 A. The Stipulation is a comprehensive settlement of all issues in Case No. 07-121-GA-FOR
- and Case No. 08-221-GA-GCR. In the Stipulation, Columbia has agreed to implement many
- of the recommendations made by the Final Report Management/Performance Audit Colum-
- bia Gas of Ohio, Inc. ("Audit Report") prepared by The Liberty Consulting Group in Case
- No. 08-221-GA-GCR. As specified in the Audit Report, Columbia has agreed to prepare
- various studies and to develop policies and procedures intended to strengthen and enhance
- the Company's gas supply planning and procurements activities. Those studies, policies and
- procedures will be made available to the management/performance auditor in Columbia's

1		next management/performance GCR case, or in any other audit procedure ordered by the
2		Commission.
3		
4	Q.	Does the Stipulation satisfy the Commission's criteria for evaluating the reasonable-
5		ness of a stipulation?
6	A.	Yes. I believe that the Stipulation satisfies each of the Commission's criteria for evaluating
7		the reasonableness of a stipulation: the Stipulation is the result of serious bargaining among
8		capable, knowledgeable parties; the Stipulation benefits ratepayers and the public interest;
9		and, the Stipulation does not violate any important regulatory principle or practice.
10		
11 12		STIPULATION IS A PRODUCT OF SERIOUS BARGAINING AMONG CAPABLE, WLEDGEABLE PARTIES
	11.0	WEDGEADEETAKTES
13 14	Q.	Do you believe the Stipulation filed in this case is the product of serious bargaining
13		
13 14		Do you believe the Stipulation filed in this case is the product of serious bargaining
13 14 15	Q.	Do you believe the Stipulation filed in this case is the product of serious bargaining among knowledgeable parties?
13 14 15 16	Q.	Do you believe the Stipulation filed in this case is the product of serious bargaining among knowledgeable parties?  Yes. The Stipulation is the product of an open process in which all parties were repre-
13 14 15 16 17	Q.	Do you believe the Stipulation filed in this case is the product of serious bargaining among knowledgeable parties?  Yes. The Stipulation is the product of an open process in which all parties were represented by able counsel and technical experts. There were extensive negotiations among
13 14 15 16 17 18	Q.	Do you believe the Stipulation filed in this case is the product of serious bargaining among knowledgeable parties?  Yes. The Stipulation is the product of an open process in which all parties were represented by able counsel and technical experts. There were extensive negotiations among the parties and the Stipulation represents a comprehensive compromise of the issues
13 14 15 16 17 18	Q.	Do you believe the Stipulation filed in this case is the product of serious bargaining among knowledgeable parties?  Yes. The Stipulation is the product of an open process in which all parties were represented by able counsel and technical experts. There were extensive negotiations among the parties and the Stipulation represents a comprehensive compromise of the issues raised by parties with diverse interests. All parties have either signed or do not oppose the
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q.	Do you believe the Stipulation filed in this case is the product of serious bargaining among knowledgeable parties?  Yes. The Stipulation is the product of an open process in which all parties were represented by able counsel and technical experts. There were extensive negotiations among the parties and the Stipulation represents a comprehensive compromise of the issues raised by parties with diverse interests. All parties have either signed or do not oppose the

A. Yes. All parties were sent notice of every meeting and/or conference call and all parties were present either in person or by phone, or they chose not to be present. All parties had an opportunity to participate in the negotiation of the settlement agreement.

4

5

#### Q. Which parties have signed the Stipulation?

A. In addition to Columbia, the following parties have signed the Stipulation: the Staff of the
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio and Stand Energy Corporation. Interstate Gas Supply,
Inc. and the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel have indicated that they will not oppose
the Commission's adoption of the Stipulation. There are no parties to these proceedings that
are opposing the Stipulation.

11

12

#### THE SETTLEMENT BENEFITS RATEPAYERS AND THE PUBLIC INTEREST

- 13 Q. In your opinion, does the Stipulation benefit ratepayers and the public interest?
- 14 A. Yes. As I indicated earlier in my testimony, Columbia has agreed to prepare various studies
  15 and to develop policies and procedures intended to strengthen and enhance the Company's
  16 gas supply planning and procurements activities. To the extent that those studies and analy17 ses identify reasonable and cost-effective opportunities to enhance and improve Columbia's
  18 gas supply planning and acquisition activities, the benefits of those improvements will be
  19 passed on to Columbia's customers.

#### 1 THE SETTLEMENT DOES NOT VIOLATE ANY IMPORTANT REGULATORY

#### 2 PRINCIPLE OR PRACTICE

- 3 Q. Does the Stipulation violate any important regulatory principle or practice?
- 4 A. No. In the Stipulation the parties have specifically agreed that the Stipulation does not vio-
- late any important regulatory principle or practice. In addition, I would point out that the
- 6 Stipulation is based in large part on the findings and recommendations of the independent
- 7 Audit Report that analyzed Columbia's gas supply planning and gas acquisition policies and
- 8 practices and made recommendations for the purpose of ensuring that those activities com-
- 9 port with sound regulatory principles and practices.

### 11 CONCLUSION

10

17

- 12 Q. Are you recommending that the Commission should approve the Stipulation?
- 13 A. Yes. I believe the Stipulation represents a fair, balanced and reasonable compromise of
- diverse interests and provides a fair result for customers. I believe that the Stipulation
- meets all of the Commission's criteria for adoption of settlements and that the Commis-
- sion should promptly issue an order approving the settlement.
- 18 Q. Does this conclude your Prepared Direct Testimony?
- 19 A. Yes.

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Prepared Direct Testimony of Daniel A. Creekmur was served upon all parties of record by electronic mail and regular U. S. mail this 10<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2010.

Stephen B. Seiple

Attorney for

Columbia Gas of Ohio, Inc.

#### SERVICE LIST

Stephen Reilly
John Jones
Assistant Attorney General
180 E. Broad Street
Columbus, OH 43215-3793
Email: steve reilly@puc state oh

Email: steve.reilly@puc.state.oh.us john.jones@puc.state.oh.us

Larry S. Sauer
Assistant Consumers' Counsel
Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel
10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800
Columbus, OH 43215-3485
Email: sauer@occ.state.oh.us

Vincent A. Parisi Interstate Gas Supply, Inc. 5020 Bradenton Avenue Dublin, OH 43017 Email: vparisi@igsenergy.com Roger Sarver
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad Street
Columbus, OH 43215-3793
Email: roger.sarver@puc.state.oh.us

John W. Bentine
Mark S. Yurick
Matthew S. White
Chester, Willcox & Saxbe, LLP
65 East State Street, Suite 1000
Columbus, OH 43215-4213
Email: jbentine@cwslaw.com
myurick@cwslaw.com
mwhite@cwslaw.com

John M. Dosker General Counsel Stand Energy Corporation 1077 Celestial Street, Suite 110 Cincinnati, OH 45202-1629 Email: jdosker@stand-energy.com