RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO 2018 FEB -8 PM 4: 49

In the Matter of the Application of Ohio)	
Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric)	PUCO
Illuminating Company, and The Toledo)	. 000
Edison Company to Amend Their Energy)	Case No. 09-1004-EL-EEC
Efficiency Benchmarks)	Case No. 09-1005-EL-EEC
)	Case No. 09-1006-EL-EEC

APPLICATION FOR REHEARING BY THE OHIO CONSUMER AND ENVIRONMENTAL ADVOCATES

Gregory J. Poulos, Counsel of Record Jeffrey L. Small Assistant Consumers' Counsel

Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel 10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, OH 43215 PH: (614) 466-8574 poulos@occ.state.oh.us small@occ.state.oh.us

Henry W. Eckhart
Natural Resources Defense Council
50 W. Broad St., #2117
Columbus, OH 43215
henryeckhart@aol.com

Theodore Robinson
Staff Attorney and Counsel
Citizen Power
2121 Murray Ave.
Pittsburgh, PA 15217
robinson@citizenpower.com

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.

Technician Date Processed FFB 0 9 2019

Michael E. Heintz Staff Attorney Environmental Law & Policy Center 1207 Grandview Ave., Suite 201 Columbus, Ohio 43212 Phone: 614-488-3301

Fax: 614-487-7510 mheintz@elpc.org

Will Reisinger, Esq.
Staff Attorney
Ohio Environmental Council
1207 Grandview Avenue, Suite 201
Columbus, Ohio 43212
will@theoec.org
Phone: (614) 487-7506, ext. 141

Cell: (540) 250-5976 www.theoec.org

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Ohio)	
Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric)	
Illuminating Company, and The Toledo)	
Edison Company to Amend Their Energy)	Case No. 09-1004-EL-EEC
Efficiency Benchmarks)	Case No. 09-1005-EL-EEC
•)	Case No. 09-1006-EL-EEC

APPLICATION FOR REHEARING BY THE OHIO CONSUMER AND ENVIRONMENTAL ADVOCATES

The undersigned members of the Ohio Consumer and Environmental Advocates (collectively "OCEA")¹ jointly and individually submit this Application for Rehearing pursuant to R.C. 4903.10 and Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-35(A) regarding the Finding and Order issued by the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("PUCO" or "Commission") on January 7, 2010 in the above-captioned case.

The Commission's Finding and Order amended the 2009 energy efficiency benchmarks for the Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, and The Toledo Edison Company (collectively, "the Company" or "FirstEnergy") to zero contingent upon FirstEnergy meeting the cumulative energy savings mandated by statue for 2012. The undersigned OCEA members submit that the Commission's January 7 Finding and Order is unreasonable and unlawful because the Commission should also order the Company to amend the 3-year Energy Efficiency and

¹ OCEA includes the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel, Natural Resources Defense Council, Environment Ohio, Environmental Law and Policy Center, Ohio Environmental Council, and Citizen Power.

Peak Demand Reduction Plan it filed on December 15 and identify how it will comply with this mandate.

The reasons for granting this Application for Rehearing are set forth in the attached Memorandum in Support.

Respectfully submitted,

JANINE L. MIGDEN-OSTRANDER CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

Gregory L Poulos, Counsel of Record

Jeffrey J. Small

Assistant Consumers' Counsel

Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel 10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, OH 43215

PH: (614) 466-8574
poulos@occ.state.oh.us
small@occ.state.oh.us

Henry W. Eckhart Per Auth Henry W. Eckhart

50 W. Broad St., #2117

Columbus, OH 43215

henryeckhart@aol.com

Attorney for the Natural Resources
Defense Council

Theorem Robinson L. R. Theodore Robinson
Staff Attorney and Counsel

Citizen Power
2121 Murray Ave.
Pittsburgh, PA 15217

robinson@citizenpower.com

Michael E. Heintz

Staff Attorney

Environmental Law & Policy Center 1207 Grandview Ave., Suite 201

Columbus, Ohio 43212 Phone: 614-488-3301 Fax: 614-487-7510 mheintz@elpc.org

Will Reisinger, Esq.

Staff Attorney

Ohio Environmental Council

1207 Grandview Avenue, Suite 201

Columbus, Ohio 43212

will@theoec.org

Phone: (614) 487-7506, ext. 141

Cell: (540) 250-5976 www.theoec.org

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Ohio)	
Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric)	•
Illuminating Company, and The Toledo)	
Edison Company to Amend Their Energy)	Case No. 09-1004-EL-EEC
Efficiency Benchmarks)	Case No. 09-1005-EL-EEC
·)	Case No. 09-1006-EL-EEC

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF APPLICATION FOR REHEARING BY THE OHIO CONSUMER AND ENVIRONMENTAL ADVOCATES

On October 27, 2009 FirstEnergy filed an application at the Commission requesting that the Commission amend the Company's 2009 energy efficiency benchmarks because of regulatory reasons beyond its reasonable control. On November 30, 2009, the undersigned members of OCEA filed Comments in Opposition, arguing that the Company's failure to meet its 2009 energy efficiency benchmarks was as a result of its own inaction in the face of a clearly-defined legislative mandate, rather than the result of regulatory reasons beyond its reasonable control. In the January 7, 2010 Finding and Order, the Commission found that "FirstEnergy's application for a waiver should be granted and that FirstEnergy's energy efficiency benchmarks for 2009 should be amended to zero, contingent upon FirstEnergy meeting revised benchmarks for 2010 through 2012." The Commission agreed with numerous parties, including the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel (OCC) and Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy that

² Finding and Order at 3.

"FirstEnergy should meet the cumulative energy savings in the statute." The Commission further stated that its approval of FirstEnergy's waiver application was "contingent on FirstEnergy meeting revised benchmarks for 2020, 2011, and 2012." Finally, the Commission stated that it will consider the level of FirstEnergy's amended benchmarks for 2010, 2011 and 2012 when it considers FirstEnergy's energy efficiency portfolio plan in Case No. 09-1947-EL-POR.

On December 15, 2009, the Company filed its 3-year Energy Efficiency and Peak
Demand Reduction Plan ("Program Portfolio Plan"). According to the testimony of
George Fitzpatrick on behalf of FirstEnergy, the plan has been filed to "comply with all
benchmarks" and "meet or exceed the targets imposed" in the period between January 1,
2010 and December 31, 2012. According to Fitzpatrick, the plan contains a "cushion"
designed to absorb some of the risks of program performance and "there may be a need to
over-comply in one year in order to achieve compliance in a subsequent year." Also,
Fitzpatrick states that "the Plans are designed with a provision that allows the Companies
to "back down" programs that exceed expectations, so as to achieve compliance in a cost
effective manner. The Company's Market Potential Study Report confirms that there is
substantial cost effective energy efficiency beyond that contained in the three-year plan.
Even in the conservative "Base Case," which assumes only the customers that expressed

³ Id. at 4

⁴ Id.

⁵ See Id.

⁶ See Direct Testimony of George L. Fitzpatrick, Page 4, Case No. 09-1097-EL-POR, et al.

⁷ See Direct Testimony of George L. Fitzpatrick, Page 5, Case No. 09-1097-EL-POR, et al. The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company's Energy Efficiency and Peak Demand Reduction Program Portfolio estimates that the plan will save 1% more energy than the target requires in 2010, 24% more than required in 2011, and 10% more than required in 2012. The plans of Toledo Edison and Ohio Edison show similar levels of planned over-compliance or "cushion."

⁸ See Direct Testimony of George L. Fitzpatrick, Page 6, Case No. 09-1097-EL-POR, et al.

high interest in programs in customer surveys participate in programs, FirstEnergy has more than six times the achievable energy efficiency opportunity in 2010-2012 than the amount required to comply with the law.⁹

Given this large energy efficiency opportunity, the Company should be able to construct a 2010-2012 portfolio of energy efficiency programs that meets the targets and allows the Company to make up the deficit it incurred by not running energy efficiency programs in 2009. This is not the time to utilize the "back down" provisions that Fitzpatrick mentions. If the Company's new energy efficiency programs are cost effective, exceeding expectations, and transforming the market, they should receive more resources, not fewer.

Based on the potential, the Company may be in a position to make up the 2009 compliance deficit before the end of 2012. However, the plan was not designed to account for the 2009 shortfall. In order to assure that FirstEnergy's customers get the cumulative amount of energy efficiency they are due, the Commission should require the Company to submit a supplement to its Energy Efficiency and Peak Demand Reduction Program Portfolio that shows how they will ramp up programs to account for energy savings not achieved in 2009. The Company's own market potential study suggests that complying with the Commission's order requiring it to make up 2009's energy savings is more than achievable. The Commission should amend the 3-year Energy Efficiency and Peak Demand Reduction Plan it filed on December 15 and identify how it will comply

⁹ See Appendix D – Assessment of Potential (Market Potential Study), Page 3, Case No. 09-1097-EL-POR, et al. Table E1 indicates that the 2010-2012 energy efficiency goals are 487,945 MWh, while the Base Case DSM Savings in the same period are 3,255,182 MWh. From Tables E4 and E7, both the Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company and Toledo Edison have more than 6 times the achievable opportunity in 2010-2012 than the amount required to comply with the law.

with the Commission's mandate for the Company to save the cumulative amount of energy required by R.C. 4928.66.

Respectfully submitted,

JANINE L. MIGDEN-OSTRANDER CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

Jeffrey L. Small

Assistant Consumers' Counsel

Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel 10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, OH 43215 PH: (614) 466-8574

poulos@occ.state.oh.us small@occ.state.oh.us

w. Echhart per Auth art ... #2117 50 W. Broad St., #2117 Columbus, OH 43215

henryeckhart@aol.com

Attorney for the Natural Resources Defense Council

Theodore Lohinson Per Avilleodore Robinson

Staff Attorney and Counsel

Citizen Power

2121 Murray Ave.

Pittsburgh, PA 15217

robinson@citizenpower.com

E. Heintz Per Auth 69.P.

Staff Attorney

Environmental Law & Policy Center 1207 Grandview Ave., Suite 201

Columbus, Ohio 43212 Phone: 614-488-3301

Fax: 614-487-7510 mheintz@elpc.org

Staff Attorney

Ohio Environmental Council

1207 Grandview Avenue, Suite 201

Columbus, Ohio 43212

will@theoec.org

Phone: (614) 487-7506, ext. 141

Cell: (540) 250-5976 www.theoec.org

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that he has served a copy of the foregoing

Comments on the following counsel, by regular U.S. Mail Service, postage prepaid, this

February 3000 30th day of November 2009.

Gregory L/Paulos,

Assistant Consumers' Counsel

SERVICE LIST

Thomas McNamee Assistant Attorney General Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 E. Broad St., 6th Fl. Columbus, OH 43215

James F. Lang
Calfee, Halter & Griswold LLP
1400 KeyBank Center
800 Superior Ave.
Cleveland, OH 44114

Attorney for Applicants, Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company and The Toledo Edison Company

David C. Rinebolt Colleen C. Mooney Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy P.O. Box 1793 Findlay, OH 45839-1793 Kathy J. Kolich FirstEnergy Service Company 76 South Main Street Akron, OH 44308

Samuel C. Randazzo Lisa G. McAlister Joseph M. Clark Mcnees Wallace & Nurick LLC 21 East State Street, 17th Floor Columbus, OH 43215

Attorneys for Industrial Energy Users-Ohio