

**FILE**

From: "webmaster@puc.state.oh.us"  
 To: "ContactThePUCO@puc.state.oh.us"  
 Subject: 50282  
 Sent: 1/21/2010 2:16:20 PM  
 Message:  
 WEB ID: 50282 AT:01-21-2010 at 02:16 PM

08-435-EL-SSO  
 07-551-EL-AIR

TYPE: complaint

NAME: Mr. Mitchell Wilson

CONTACT SENDER ? Yes

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 3017 Remington Pt.
- Aurora , Ohio 44202
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: 330-562-7508
- Alternative: 330-348-3436
- Fax: 330-562-6430

E-MAIL: [REDACTED]

INDUSTRY:Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: Ohio Edison
- Name on account: Mitchell W. Wilson
- Service address: 3017 Remington Pt.
- Service phone: 330-562-7508
- Account Number: 110038249923

COMPLAINT DESCRIPTION:

PUCO has failed the Ohio consumer - and failed miserably. In allowing massive rate increases and removal of "all-electric discount plans" PUCO has danced for the all-mighty corporations and harmed Ohio consumers - in particular as it concerns this complain: harmed me and my family.

Comparing my Ohio Edison Jan. 2009 and Jan. 2010 bills shows a per KWH increase from .0613 to .0896 or a whopping 46% increase from one year to the next. Considering I just built my home a little over four years ago - and made my decision to go "all-electric" based on the discounted rate structure for "all-electric" - I am shocked (no pun intended) that both Ohio Edison and

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.  
 Technician dmn Date Processed 01-26-10

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV  
 2010 JAN 26 AM 8:39  
 PUCO

PUCO (apparently locked arm-in-arm smiling with OE) decided to essentially LIE to me. Ohio Edison - first by NOT AT ANY POINT - indicating the rate structure I was offered to "go all-electric" would ever "go away"...and PUCO, its apparent partner in crime - assisting with pulling the rug out from underneath me. Had I known - or been given ANY indication the discounted rate structure would disappear 3 years later - I would NOT have chosen to build an "all electric" home.

It was explained to me that PUCO had received requests for rate increases totaling \$338 million and had 'only' granted \$136 million in this matter. Further it was noted that a corresponding determination of a "fair rate of return" for Ohio Edison in this matter was 8.4%. I can assure you 8.4% rate of return significantly exceeds a vast majority of business operating in the State of Ohio over the past two years.

Apparently PUCO is more concerned with insuring Ohio Edison and its investors receive an 8.4% rate of return than individual Ohio residential electricity consumers seeing their rates skyrocket by nearly 50% from one year to the next.

This is crooked. It stinks rotten. I am disgusted by the actions of our 5 commissioners and their supporting staff of 400+ on this matter that has resulted in my electricity rates increasing over 46% from 2009 to 2010. As employess of the State of Ohio - and thus me as a citizen of the State of Ohio - I consider PUCO's actions to be contrary to the public good and citizens of the State of Ohio

Though I've not the time to review all of the docket items that went into PUCO's decision that ultimately - and swiftly - sticks it to Ohio residential consumers, I would be willing to guess other segments of electricity consumers were not dealt as severe a blow as consumer such as I. Thus not only was the residential consumer not dealt with fairly by PUCO, it was also not dealt with equitably.

PUCO has not in ANY WAY - attempted to be mindful of its PRIME constituent - the Ohio Citizen and Taxpayer - regardless of how it is attempted to be explained or justified. Fact: my KWH rate increase from Jan. 2009 to Jan. 2010 was over 46%; and that, PUCO, is completely absurd.

Thanks for nothing.

Mitchell Wilson - 3017 Remington Pt. - Mantua Twp., Ohio