BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. for an Increase in Gas Rates.)))	Case No. 07-589-GA-AIR
In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. for Approval of an Alternative Rate Plan for its Gas Distribution Service.)))	Case No. 07-590-GA-ALT
In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. for Approval to Change Accounting Methods.)))	Case No. 07-591-GA-AAM

DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC.'S MOTION TO CONTINUE THE PROTECTIVE ORDER TO PROTECT THE CONFIDENTIALITY OF INFORMATION CONTAINED IN ATTACHMENT MGS-1 TO THE DIRECT TESTIMONY OF MATTHEW G. SMITH

Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. (Duke Energy Ohio) hereby moves this honorable Commission for leave to continue to keep under seal certain information contained in its Attachment MGS-1 to the Direct Testimony of Matthew G. Smith. Duke Energy Ohio sets forth in the attached Memorandum in Support its reasons why continued confidential treatment of this information is necessary.

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT

Duke Energy Ohio respectfully requests that the Commission ("Commission") grant its Motion to Continue the Protective Order to Protect the Confidentiality of Attachment MGS-1 to the Direct Testimony of Matthew G. Smith. On May 28, 2008, the Commission approved the Motion for Protective Order for a period of 18 months from the date of the Order. The Protective Order is due to expire on November 28, 2009.

This confidential trade secret information contains proprietary pricing information from vendors for equipment for Duke Energy Ohio's Utility of the Future program. This information is proprietary to the vendors. This pricing information is still currently significant to the Company's business development and still market sensitive.

Ohio Administrative Code Section 4901-1-24(D) allows Duke Energy Ohio to seek leave of the Commission to file information Duke Energy Ohio considers to be proprietary trade secret information, or otherwise confidential, in a redacted and non-redacted form under seal.¹ This rule also establishes a procedure for presenting to the Commission that information which is confidential, and therefore should be protected.²

Duke Energy Ohio has filed the confidential material under seal with each page marked as confidential, trade secret, or proprietary pursuant to O.A.C. 4901-1-24(D)(2) in its original request on February 21, 2008.

The information for which Duke Energy Ohio is seeking confidential treatment is not known outside of Duke Energy Ohio and the vendors, and it is not disseminated

¹ Ohio Admin. Code § 4901-1-24 (Anderson 2003)

 $^{^2}$ Id.

within Duke Energy Ohio except to those employees with a legitimate business need to know and act upon the information.

Duke Energy Ohio considers the Confidential Material to be proprietary, confidential, and trade secrets, as that term is used in R. C. 1333.61. In addition, this information should be treated as confidential pursuant to R. C. 4901.16.

WHEREFORE, Duke Energy Ohio respectfully requests that the Commission, pursuant to O.A.C. 4901-1-24(D), grant its Motion to Continue the Protective Order to Protect the Confidentiality of Attachment MGS-1 to the Direct Testimony of Matthew G. Smith by making a continuing determination that the Confidential Material is confidential, proprietary and a trade secret under R. C. 4901.16 and 1333.61.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Elizabeth H. Watts

Elizabeth H. Watts Associate General Counsel Duke Energy Business Services, Inc. 139 Fourth Street, 25Atrium II P. O. Box 960 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202-0960 (513) 419-1871

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing Motion of Duke Energy Ohio to Continue the Confidential

Treatment was served on the following parties this

th day of September, 2009 by regular U. S. Mail,

overnight delivery or electronic delivery.

/s/ Elizabeth H. Watts

Elizabeth H. Watts

Larry S. Sauer, Esq.	John W. Bentine, Esq.
Ohio Consumers' Counsel	Counsel for Interstate Gas Supply, Inc.
10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800	Chester, Wilcox & Saxbe, LLP
Columbus, OH 43215-3420	65 East State Street, Suite 1000
	Columbus, Ohio 43215-4213
David C. Rinebolt, Esq.	Colleen L. Mooney, Esq.
Counsel for Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy	Counsel for Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy
231 West Lima Street	1431 Mulford Road
Findlay, OH 45840-3033	Columbus, OH 43212-3404
Michael L. Kurtz, Esq.	William L. Wright, Esq.
Counsel for The Kroger Co.	Assistant Attorney General
Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry	Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510	180 East Broad Street, 9th Floor
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202	Columbus, Ohio 43215
Thomas Lindgren, Esq.	Thomas J. O'Brien
Assistant Attorney General	Counsel for City of Cincinnati
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio	Bricker & Eckler LLP
180 East Broad Street, 9th Floor	100 South Third Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215	Columbus, Ohio 43215-4236
Columbus, Onio 45215	Columous, Onio 45215-4250
David F. Boehm, Esq.	John M. Dosker, Esq.
Counsel for Ohio Energy Group	Stand Energy Corporation
Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry	1077 Celestial Street. Suite 110
36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510	Cincinnati, Ohio 45202-1629
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202	Chiefman, Ohio 43202 102)
M. Howard Petricoff, Esq.	Bobby Singh, Esq.
Steven M. Howard, Esq.	Senior Attorney
Counsel for Itegrys Energy Services, Inc.	Integrys Energy Services, Inc.
and Direct Energy Services LLC	300 Wert Wilson Bridge Road, Suite 350
Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP	Worthington, Ohio 43085
52 East Gay Street	
P.O. Box 1008	
Columbus, Ohio 43216-1008	
Mary W. Christensen, Esq.	
Counsel for People Working Cooperatively, Inc.	
Christensen Christensen Donchatz Kettlewell &	
Owens, LLC	
100 East Campus View Blvd., Suite 360	
Columbus, Ohio 43235	
Columbus, Ohio 43235	

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

10/2/2009 7:08:09 AM

in

Case No(s). 07-0589-GA-AIR

Summary: Motion to Continue Protective Order electronically filed by Anita M Schafer on behalf of Ms. Elizabeth H. Watts