## Memo

To: $\quad$ Docketing Division
From: George Martin, Grade Crossing Planner, Rail Division


Re: In the matter of the authorization of the Columbus \& Ohio River Railroad to install an active grade crossing warning device in Tuscarawas County

Date: September 28, 2009
The Ohio Rail Development Commission (ORDC) has authorized funding for the Columbus \& Ohio River Railroad ( CUOH ) to install flashing lights and roadway gates at the following location:

Tuscarawas County, Union Township, near Benison, Pleasant Valley Rd/CR 37, DOT\# 510-745W
The grade crossing was surveyed on July 22,2009 due to its hazard ranking, and was found to warrant an upgrade.

This project will be actual cost and is $100 \%$ federally funded. Staff requests an Entry with the plan and estimate to be submitted to the Commission and ORDC within 90 days, and completion within one year. Upon approval of the plan and estimate by ORDC construction may commence. A suggested case coding and heading would be:

PUCO Case No.09-867 -RR-FED In the matter of the authorization of the Columbus \& Ohio River Railroad to install an active grade crossing warning device in Tuscarawas County

C: Legal Department
Please serve the following parties of record.

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business Technician - SF Date processed $9-2 \%-09$
Ms Susan Kirkland
Ohio Rail Development Commission
1980 W Broad St, $2^{\text {nd }}$ Floor
Columbus, Oh 43223
Mr Chris Layman
Ohia Central System
47849 Papermill Road
Coshocton, Oh 43812
Mr Joseph Bachman
Tuscarawas County Engineer
832 Front Street
New Philadelphia, Oh 44663
AEP
Legal Department
1 Riverside Plaza
Columbus, Oh 43215

# OHIO RAIL DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION INTER-OFFICE COMMUNICATION 

TO: George Martin, Planner, Railroad Division, PUCO<br>FROM: Susan Kirkland, Supervisor, Safety Section, ORDC<br>BY: Mike Forte', Project Manager, Safety Section, ORDC<br>SUBJECT: Grade Crossing Warning Device Project<br>Tuscarawas County, County Road 37, Pleasant Valley Rd.<br>Columbus \& Ohio River Rail Road (CUOH)<br>U.S. DOT \# 510 745W

DATE: $\quad$ September 23, 2009

You may authorize The Columbus \& Ohio River Rail Road (CUOH) to proceed with the nonfield work involved with the Subject project. This construction authorization is made with the stipulation and understanding that any field work needs prior approval before the work begins. This authorization is made with the stipulation and understanding that an approved estimate may contain entries for items or activities that may be cited and found to be ineligible for federal participation during the project audit.

Thank you for your assistance with these matters.


August 6, 2009

Mr. Chris Layman
The Ohio Central System
47849 Papermill Road
Coshocton, Oh 43812

## RE: 1.) Tuscarawas County, Pleasant Valley Rd, DOT\# 510-745W

## Dear Mr. Layman:

The Commission has identified and surveyed the above-mentioned grade crossing to upgrade it to flashing lights and roadway gates.

The construction conditions for these projects shall comply with Agreement No. 9-A, dated May 25, 2001, entered into by the State of Ohio and the Columbus \& Ohio River Railroad. These constructions shall also meet the general terms and conditions under the Transportation Equity Act of the 21st Century (TEA 21) and subsequent amendments and the State of Ohio's Federally Funded Warning Device Program.

Please indicate your acceptance of the terms and conditions of this Letter of Agreement by signing and returning one (1) copy to Mr. George Martin, Grade Crossing Planner, Railroad Division, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, at the address listed below.


Columbus \& Ohio River Railroad

## By



Title Pew ETOME Suruation
Date AuGust 102009

Diagnostic Review Team Survey
Date: $7 / 22 / 091030 \mathrm{Am}$

(Include: Name - Organization - Phone Number)

6. $\qquad$
7. $\qquad$
8. $\qquad$
9. $\qquad$
10. $\qquad$
Existing Traffic Control Devices


|  | Initial Infgrmation (from database) | Revised |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number \& dates of crashes in previous 5 years | 1 - 1/85 09 |  |
| Hazard Ranking 214 | Date Run: 6/30109 |  |
| Pailroad Data |  |  |
| Railroad Characteristics | Initial Information (from database) | Revised |
| Total trains per day | 5 |  |
| < 1 per day |  |  |
| Day thru trains | 2 |  |
| Night thru trains | 3 |  |
| Daytime switching movements | 0 |  |
| Nighttime switching movements | 0 |  |
| Total number of tracks | 1 |  |
| Number of main tracks | 1 |  |
| Number of other tracks | 0 |  |
| Maximum crain speed | 25 |  |
| Typical train speed |  |  |
| Amtrak | NO |  |
| If non-gated crossing, is clearing sight distance adequate in all quadrants? (See Table I) 区 Yes $\square$ No |  |  |
| If multiple tracks, can two trains occupy crossing at the same time? $\square$ Yes No Can one train block the motorists' view of another train at crossing? $\square$ Yes (Explain below) (No |  |  |
| Are there other track(s) crossing this same roadway within 100 ft of this crossing? $\square$ Yes $\square$ No If yes, Crossing DOT \#(if different) $\qquad$ <br> If yes, distance $\qquad$ (take measurement between track centerlines at closest point along roadway) |  |  |
| Roadway Data |  |  |
| Local Highway Authority:(Who maintains this roadway?) TUSCARAWAS CJUNTY |  |  |
| Roadway Characteristics | Initial Information (from database) | Revised |
| Average daly traffic | $753-2007$ |  |
| Highway paved | $\square \mathrm{Yes} \square \mathrm{No}$ | $\triangle$ Yes $\quad \square$ No |
| Roadway Surface: $\triangle$ Blacktop $\square$ Gravel $\square$ Concrete $\square$ Other |  |  |
| Roadway width: 32 tt |  |  |
| Number of highway lanes | 2 | 2 |
| Urban or Rural? | Rurife | RURA2 |
| Vehicle Speed: S $\qquad$ MPH |  |  |
| School Bus Operation: $\square$ No ¢ Yes __Amount |  |  |
| Hazardous Materials Trucks: $\square$ No 区 Yes _...Amount AulftnRous Amyonla Propane |  |  |
| Shoulders: $\mathbb{X}$ No $\square$ Yes Fusi OLC |  |  |
| is the shoulder surfaced? 7 No $\square$ Yes |  |  |
| Is there existing guardrail along roadway in crossing vicinity? No $\square$ Yes |  |  |
| Is stopping site distance adequate? (See Table 2) $\square$ No If no, deficient approach(es) |  |  |


| Quadrant $\qquad$ <br> Curb and Gutter: $\square$ Functional (Curb height $=4$ " or more) Non-functional (Curb height = Less than 4") None | Quadrant $\qquad$ <br> Curb and Gutter: $\square$ Functional (Curb height $=4$ " or more) Non-functional (Curb height $=$ Less than $4^{\prime \prime}$ ) None |
| :---: | :---: |
| Pedestrians: $\square^{\text {a }}$ Nos |  |
| Is sidewalk present? $\square^{\text {No }}$ No $\left.\square\right]$ Yes |  |
| Is there a nearby intersection that could cause queuing over the If yes, MT. BEAtzl 15 whthid <br> Distance $\qquad$ <br> Is this intersection signalized? No $\square$ Yes <br> Are the signals currently interconnected with the existing cros | $\square$ ossing! $\square$ Yos <br> O FEEE, BUT WUC NOT CAUSE CUING <br> g warning devices? <br> No Yes |
| Is it the consensus of the Diagnostic Review Team that this is a p Explain reasons: | tential closure project: No $\square$ Yes |
| Type of Development |  |
| $\boxtimes$ Open Space $\square$ Institutional Location of nearty <br> $\square$ Industrial $\square$ Commercial WITH <br> $\boxtimes$ Residential   | schools: <br> N 2 MUES-IN DENTISON |
| Utility Information |  |
| Is commercial power available? $\square$ No EYes <br> Utility Provider (Company Name) $\qquad$ Asp <br> Nearest Available Power Source $\qquad$ <br> What other utilities are present? $\qquad$ UNKNows Is there potential utility conflict(s) $\square$ Yes $\square$ No $\boxtimes$ | Phone Number |
| Diagnostic Team Recommendations |  |
| / | Quadrants Needed |
| (1) Install/upgrade active devices |  |
| $\square$ Automatic Flashing Lights (AFLS) |  |
| $\square$ AFLS /Cants |  |
| $\square$ AFLS / Gates |  |
| $\square$ AFLS / Gates / Cants |  |
| $\square$ Upgrade circuitry |  |
| $\square$ Sidelights |  |
| $\square$ Guardrail Needed |  |
| $\square$ Install/Replace curb |  |
| $\square$ Other (define) |  |
| Comments: |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| $\square$ Install/upgrade traffic signal preemption |  |
| $\square$ No improvements needed |  |
| Q Other (define) | POSSIBLY STRND ALONK MAST MOUN |
|  | LGHTS SE QUAD |
| UPDATED (I22006) | SIGNAL HUSE UUL-DIRECTIONAL ON 3 SIDE, BI-DIRSCIIONAL MAIa) |

Field Dimensions
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$\square$
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Sketch by Sr m

TABLE I
Clearing Sight Distances

| Maximum Authorized Train <br> Speed | Distance (dT) Along <br> Rairoad from Crossing (ft) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $1-10$ | 240 |
| 15 | 360 |
| 20 | 480 |
| 25 | 600 |
| 30 | 720 |
| 35 | 840 |
| 40 | 960 |
| 45 | 1080 |
| 50 | 1200 |
| 55 | 1320 |
| 60 | 1440 |
| 65 | 1560 |
| 70 | 1680 |
| 75 | 1800 |
| 80 | 1920 |
| 85 | 2040 |
| 90 | 2160 |

Source: R-H Grade Crossing Handbook Table 36 (pp. 132-I33)
Notes:
All calculated distances are rounded up to the next higher 5foot increment.

Distances indicated are for 65 -ft double bottom semi-tractor trailers and level single track 90 degree crossings; and may need to be adjusted for multiple tracks, skewed crossings or approaches on grades.

Clearing Sight Distance is to be measured in each vehicle travel direction at non-gated crossings as viewed from a point 25 feet from centerline of nearest track in the center of whichever travel lane is nearest the direction along track being measured.

Table 2
Stopping Sight Distances

| Highway Vehicle Speed | Distance (dH) Along Roadway <br> from Crossing (ft) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $n / a$ |
| 5 | 50 |
| 10 | 70 |
| 15 | 105 |
| 20 | 135 |
| 25 | 180 |
| 30 | 225 |
| 35 | 280 |
| 40 | 340 |
| 45 | 410 |
| 50 | 490 |
| 55 | 570 |
| 60 | 660 |
| 65 | 760 |
| 70 | 865 |

Source: R-H Grade Crossing Handbook Table 36 (pp. 132-133)
Notes:
All calculated distances are rounded up to the next higher 5foot increment.

Distances indicated are for 65 -ft double bottom semi-tractor trailers on dry level pavements.

Stopping Sight Distance is to be measured on each roadway approach to crossing from stop bar.



## Narrative

UNIT \#T WAS NORTHBOUND ON CR 37. UNIT \#2 WAS BACKING ON THE RAILROAD TRACKS HOOKING UP TO OTHER FREIGHT CARS. UNIT \#1 LOST CONTROL OF THE VEHICLE ON THE SNOW COVERED ROADWAY AND STRUCK UNIT \#2.


| Unit \# | Owner First | Owner Middle | Owner Last | LP State | LP \# |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | RAILROAD |  | OHIO CENTRAL | NS |  |
|  | Owner Address | Owner City | Owner State | Owner Zip | Owner Phone\# |
|  | 47849 PAPERMILL RD | COSHOCTON | OH | 43812 | 7406228092 |
|  | Year | Make | Model | Color | VIN |
|  | 1999 | UNK | UNK |  |  |
|  | Insurance Company | In Emergency Response | Speed Detected | Spoed | Posted Speed |
|  | SELF INSURED | Unknown | Stated | 15 | 30 |
|  | Non-Motorist Location | Action | Towing Service | Damage Scale | Direction |
|  | UnKnown | Struck |  | Non Functional Damage | West |
|  | Type of Unit | Point of Impact | Most Damaged Area | Vehicie Defect |  |
|  | Train | Load/Trailer | Load/Trailer |  |  |
|  | Pre-Crash Actions | Striking Vehicle O/U | Contributing Circumstances | First Harmful Event | Most Harmiul Event |
|  | Backing | Unknown | None | 1 | 1 |
|  | Traffic Control | Sequence of Events 1 | Sequence of Events 2 | Sequence of Events 3 | Sequence of Events 4 |
|  | No Controls | Mator Vehicle in Transport | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown |
|  | Company (From Shipping Papers) | Company Phone | US DOT | ICC MC | PUCO |
|  | Address | City | State | Zip |  |
|  | Trailer LP St. | Trailer LP Year | Trailer LP \# | Placard \# | \# DIA. |
|  | Cargo Body Type | CDL Class | Woight (GVWR) | Haz Material Placard | Haz Material Release |
|  | Not Applicable |  |  | Unknown | Not Applicable |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Unit \# } \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | First | Middle | Last | Type |  |
|  | Applicable |  | Not | Driver |  |
|  | Address | city | State | zip |  |
|  | Date of Birth | Ago | Sex | Home Phone\# | Work Phona \# |
|  | \#Error |  | U |  |  |
|  | DL. State | DL \# | Offense Charged | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Injured Taken By } \\ & \text { None } \end{aligned}$ | Transported By |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Seating Position | Ejection | Offense Description | Air Bag | Citation \# |
|  | Other | Not Ejected |  | Not Applicable |  |
|  | Condition | Trapped | Safety Equipment | Injuries | Air Bag Switch |
|  | Apparently Normal | Not Trapped | None Used | No Injury | Not Present |
|  | Alcohol Test Status <br> None | AlcoholPrug Suspected | Alcohol Test Type | Alcohol Test Result |  |
|  |  | None | None |  |  |
|  | Drug Test StatusNone | Drug Test Type | Drug Test Result 1 | Drug Test Result 2 |  |
|  |  | None | None | None |  |

Sorry! When printing directly from the browser your map may be incorrectly cropped. To print the entire map, try clicking the "Printer-Friendly" link at the top of your results page.
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