FILE

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

RECEIVA
RECEIVED DOCKETING DIV
2009 SEP 25 PM 4: 42
PUCO PH 4:42

In the Matter of the Proposal of)	·
FirstEnergy Service Company to Modify)	Case No. 09-778-EL-UNC
Its RTO Participation.)	•

INITIAL COMMENTS OF THE RETAIL ENERGY SUPPLY ASSOCIATION

I. Introduction

Pursuant to the Entry of September 4, 2009, the Retail Energy Supply Association (RESA)¹ respectfully submits the following comments to the Commission regarding FirstEnergy Service Company's (FirstEnergy) regional transmission organization (RTO) realignment proposed in its application with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) in FERC Docket No. ER09-1589. RESA is a broad and diverse group of retail energy suppliers who share the common vision that competitive retail energy markets deliver a more efficient, customeroriented outcome than regulated utility structure. Several RESA members are certificated as competitive retail electric service providers and active in the Ohio retail electric market. The comments expressed in this filing represent the position of RESA as an organization but may not represent the views of any particular member of RESA.

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.

Technician Date Processed 9.25.09

¹ RESA's members include ConEd Solutions; Direct Energy Services, LLC; Exelon Energy Company; GDF SUEZ Energy Resources NA, Inc.; Gexa Energy; Green Mountain Energy Company; Hess Corporation; Integrys Energy Services, Inc.; Just Energy; Liberty Power; RRI Energy; Sempra Energy Solutions LLC. The comments expressed in this filing represent the position of RESA as an organization but may not represent the views of any particular member of RESA.

II. PJM's Administration and Markets Can Support Retail Marketing At A Level Equal to or Better Than MISO.

RESA members participate in both the Midwest Independent Transmission

System Operator (MISO) and the PJM Interconnection, Inc. (PJM). Both MISO and PJM

operate and maintain reliable transmission systems and cost effective markets, though each

administer their operations and markets in a different fashion. At this time, RESA believes that

PJM's market structure is better aligned with the operation and functioning of retail markets. In

addition, RESA finds PJM's rules are farther along in terms of renewable energy credit ("REC")

registries and tracking systems. Finally, PJM has more experience with auctions and thus has

more refined procedures. In sum, focusing exclusively on the programs and administration,

RESA finds PJM to be equal or better than MISO as a choice of regional transmission

organization, for purposes of serving the competitive retail electric service market.

III. Movement of Utilities Between RTOs Should be Structured to Minimize Disruption to Service and Avoid Interference with Existing Contracts.

RESA recognizes FirstEnergy' desire and legal ability to apply to change its RTO membership. As noted above, RESA finds PJM a suitable RTO for competitive retail markets. Effectuating a change of RTOs though by its very nature will create disruptions. Specifically, retail suppliers are providing service to customers through existing contractual agreements which have been designed and priced using MISO assets. A change of RTO then needs to take into consideration the existing contracts and the need to switch or reconfigure the generation and transmission used to supply existing customers when FirstEnergy moves from MISO to PJM. Thus, the Commission should evaluate the effects of pending RTO moves on all customers, both utility standard offer customers and customers served by competitive retail electric suppliers. Moreover, the financial risk to all parties, including customers, the utility, retail suppliers, and

wholesale suppliers, should be evaluated, with adequate steps taken to prevent, minimize or remedy the disruptive effects.

In addition, the Commission should also consider that retail suppliers not currently serving customers but looking to enter Ohio must re-evaluate their business model in the event of a RTO change. While these suppliers may not be financially at risk as a result of the change, the regulatory uncertainty created by the move may discourage or delay potential market entrants. For example, a supplier knowing that FirstEnergy's proposes to move to PJM in less than two years may delay serving retail customers in FirstEnergy if it requires obtaining a MISO CP node for such a short period. Transition programs may be needed to prevent the upcoming change of RTO to being a barrier of entry or expansion. Working out such interim plans or programs is supported in spirit if not in word by the Ohio Energy Policy of supporting the development of the competitive retail electric market as stated at Section 4828.02, Revised Code.

Should the Commission elect to pass through to customers part or all of the cost of FirstEnergy changing RTOS, the Commission needs to be attentive too and prevent shopping customers from being charged twice for expenses which are caused by FirstEnergy's move to PJM that are being picked up by the shopping customers' competitive retail electric service provider.

IV. Timing Of The Switch From MISO To PJM

Given the timing restrictions imposed by MISO on market participants coupled with the timing of PJM's capacity auction and the end of the current electric security plan for the FirstEnergy utilities, RESA believes FirstEnergy has recognized that timing of the move is critical and that FirstEnergy has presented a reasonable timeframe for the move. If there is a delay, RESA is encouraging the FERC to ensure that an RTO move does not take place in the

middle of an electric security plan. This will minimize additional risks placed on retail or wholesale suppliers – a cost that may ultimately be passed through to customers.

V. Conclusion.

The proposed RTO realignment will provide a greater benefit to customers given PJM's better developed retail markets. However, any move by FirstEnergy from MISO to PJM should be done in a manner to minimize disruptions to service and avoid interfering with existing contracts. Further, the timing of the switch should be such that an Electric Security Plan is not divided between service through two RTOs.

Respectfully submitted,

M. Howard Petricoff (0008287)

Stephen M. Howard (0022421)

Michael J. Settineri (0073369)

VORYS, SATER, SEYMOUR AND PEASE LLP

52 East Gay Street

P.O. Box 1008

Columbus, Ohio 43216-1008

(614) 464-5414

(614) 719-4904 (fax)

mhpetricoff@vorys.com

Attorneys for the Retail Energy Supply Association

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing Comments was served upon the following parties of

Jacqueline Lake Roberts
Senior Manager, Regulatory Affairs and
Public Policy
EnerNOC, Inc.
101 Federal Street, Suite 1100
Boston, MA 02110

record by U.S. first class mail this 25th day of September, 2009.

Cleveland Electric Illuminating Co. Arthur Korkosz FirstEnergy Service Company 76 S. Main Street, Suite 1800 Akron, OH 44308

Ohio Edison Company Ebony Miller James Burke FirstEnergy Service Company 76 S. Main Street Akron, OH 44308

Toledo Edison Company Mark Hayden FirstEnergy Service Company 76 S. Main Street Akron, OH 44308

David F. Boehm, Esq. Michael L. Kurtz, Esq. Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry 36 E. Seventh Street, Suite 1510 Cincinnati, OH 45202 David C. Rinebolt Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy Colleen L. Mooney 231 West Lima Street P. O. Box 1793 Findlay, OH 45839-1793

Thomas E. Lodge Kurt P. Helfrich Carolyn S. Flahive Ann B. Zallocco Thompson Hine LLP 41 S. High Street, Suite 1700 Columbus, OH 43215-6101

Samuel C. Randazzo Lisa G. McAlister Joseph M. Clark McNees, Wallace & Nurick LLC 21 E. State Street, 17th Floor Columbus, OH 43215

Daniel Shields Federal Energy Advocate Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 E. Broad Street Columbus, OH 43215

Theodore Robinson Citizen Power 2121 Murray Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15217

Stephen M. Howard