BEFORE

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of The Dayton Power and Light Company for Approval of Its Electric Security Plan.)))	Case No. 08-1094-EL-SSO
In the Matter of the Application of The Dayton Power and Light Company for Approval of Revised Tariffs.)	Case No. 08-1095-EL-ATA
In the Matter of the Application of The Dayton Power and Light Company for Approval of Certain Accounting Authority Pursuant to Section 4905.13, Revised Code.))	Case No. 08-1096-EL-AAM
In the Matter of the Application of The Dayton Power and Light Company for Approval of Its Amended Corporate Separation Plan.))	Case No. 08-1097-EL-UNC

ENTRY

The attorney examiner finds:

- (1) By Opinion and Order issued June 24, 2009, in In the Matter of the Application of The Dayton Power and Light Company for Approval of Its Electric Security Plan, Case No. 08-1094-EL-SSO, et al., the Commission approved a stipulation that, inter alia, required The Dayton Power and Light Company (DP&L) to develop independent business cases demonstrating a positive cost-benefit analysis for both its Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) and Smart Grid proposals. The stipulation called for DP&L to consult with interested signatory parties about the costs and benefits of DP&L's AMI and Smart Grid business cases. DP&L was required to file its business cases by September 1, 2009.
- (2) On August 4, 2009, DP&L filed revised business cases for the AMI and Smart Grid proposals. In support, DP&L also filed revised schedules, showing typical bill comparisons, on August 13, 2009. A second set of revised schedules was filed on September 15, 2009.
- (3) On September 4, 2009, the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel (OCC) filed a motion to establish a procedural schedule and

hearing date. OCC argued that a procedural schedule and hearing date were needed to ensure that DP&L's revised AMI and Smart Grid proposals are reasonable and prudent.

- (4) DP&L filed a memorandum in opposition to OCC's motion on September 10, 2009, arguing that the procedure established by the stipulation for reviewing the AMI and Smart Grid proposals did not call for a technical conference, the filing of testimony, or a hearing. DP&L also stated that there is no need for a hearing, as extensive information regarding the AMI and Smart Grid plans has already been filed in this case.
- (5) OCC filed a reply to DP&L's memorandum in opposition on September 15, 2009, stating that DP&L's revised AMI and Smart Grid proposals materially altered the original plans, previously filed in this proceeding.
- (6) In order to facilitate the review of DP&L's revised AMI and Smart Grid proposals, the attorney examiner finds that a technical conference should be held on October 22, 2009, at 10:00 a.m., at the offices of the Commission, 180 East Broad Street, 11th floor, Hearing Room 11E, Columbus, Ohio 43215.

It is, therefore,

ORDERED, That a technical conference be held on October 22, 2009, at 10:00 a.m., at the offices of the Commission, 180 East Broad Street, 11th floor, Hearing Room 11E, Columbus, Ohio 43215. It is, further,

ORDERED, That a copy of this entry be served upon all parties of record.

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

By:

Henry Phillips-Gary

Attorney Examiner

Jed ct

Entered in the Journal

SEP 2 3 2009

Reneé J. Jenkins

Secretary