```
1
1
     BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO
3
    In the Matter of the
    Joint Application of
4
    Frontier Communications :
    Holdings, Inc., and : Case No. 09-454-TP-ACO
    Verizon Communications :
    for Consent and Approval:
6
    of a Change in Control. :
7
8
                       PROCEEDINGS
9
    Before Rhonda Hartman-Fergus, Commissioner, at
10
    233 West Center Street, 2nd Floor, Marion, Ohio,
11
    on Wednesday, September 9, 2009, commencing at
12
    6:00 p.m.
13
14
15
16
17
18
            PUBLIC STATEMENTS - MARION, OHIO
19
20
21
                 ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.
            222 East Town Street, 2nd Floor
22
               Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201
             (614) 224-9481/(800) 223-9481
23
                   Fax (614) 224-5724
2.4
25
```

```
2
1
    APPEARANCES:
            Thompson Hine, LLP
           By Thomas E. Lodge and Carolyn S. Flahive
3
            41 South High Street
            Suite 1700
            Columbus, Ohio 43215-6101
5
                 On behalf of the Applicants.
6
            Ohio Consumers' Counsel
            By Terry Etter and Amy Carles
7
            10 West Broad Street
            Suite 1800
8
            Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485
9
                 On behalf of Intervenor Ohio
                 Consumers' Counsel.
10
11
    ALSO PRESENT:
12
            Shana Eislestein
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

		3
1	INDEX TO PUBLIC WITNESSES	
2		
3	NAME PAGE	
4	Tami Drollinger 10	
5	Steve Chaffin 13	
6	Andrew Miller 18	
7	Chris McAfee 22	
8	Tom Rosenberg 24	
9	Fred Sabol 31	
10	Steve Reinhard 33	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Wednesday Evening Session,
September 9, 2009.

_ _ -

Utilities Commission of Ohio has assigned for public hearing at this time and place, Case No. 09-454-TP-ACO which is captioned In the Matter of the Joint Application of Frontier

Communications Holdings, Inc., and Verizon

Communications for Consent and Approval of a Change in Control.

My name is Ronnie Fergus. I am a

Commissioner with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio and I will be conducting this

hearing this evening. With me is Shana

Eislestein from our Public Affairs Department.

In addition, as Shana mentioned, we have Steve in the back of the room from the PUCO who might help answer any questions you might have but don't necessarily relate to the merger itself, but if you have any questions about your service or PUCO rules, we'd be happy to assist you in that. And also, I know that the companies have representatives here, Verizon has representatives from their customer service

department, if you have any questions that you would like to discuss with them as well.

In addition, the Ohio Office of
Consumers' Counsel is represented tonight and
I'm sure you have staff here as well that might
be able to help assist. They are your advocate
for residential utility issues and they have
people here that can assist you tonight as well.

At this time I would like to take appearances on behalf of the parties. Do we have someone on behalf of the company?

MR. LODGE: Yes. Thank you,

Commissioner Fergus. My name is Tom Lodge. I'm

with the law firm of Thompson Hine, LLP in

Columbus. I represent both Frontier and Verizon

in this proceeding. With me is my partner

Carolyn Flahive. She's here tonight. Also, as

Commissioner Fergus indicated, there are a

number of folks from Verizon and Frontier around

in the room. Taking a guess, if you were to

walk up to somebody with a tie on, it's probably

somebody from the phone company. My address for

COMMISSIONER FERGUS: Thank you,

purposes of the record is 41 South High Street,

Columbus 43215. Thank you very much.

Mr. Lodge. And on behalf of the Ohio Consumers'

2 Counsel? 3 MR. ETTER: Thank you, Commissioner Fergus. On behalf of the Ohio Consumers' 5 Counsel, Janine Migden-Ostrander. Our office is 6 at 10 West Broad Street in Columbus, Ohio 43214. 7 My name is Terry Etter. I'm an Assistant Consumers' Counsel, and I know somewhere in the 9 back, there she is, is Amy Carles who is from 10 our Outreach and Education Office. We're both 11 here to answer your questions. If you haven't 12 received our position paper yet, be sure to get 13 one if you'd like. Amy has extras back there.

It outlines our position. We are an intervenor in this case and we have many -- share many of the same concerns that the Commission shares regarding the transaction. Thank you.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

other intervening parties here tonight that wanted to make an appearance on the record?

Okay. Let me just note for you, so you know, that there are several other parties that have formally intervened in this proceeding at the Commission besides the Office of the Consumers' Counsel. Cincinnati Bell Extended Territories,

intervened, as well as Comcast Phone of Ohio. 3 We also have interventions that have been granted to the Communication Workers of America, 5 and the International Brotherhood of Electrical 6 Workers, Local 986. All those parties have been 7 granted formal intervention, and even though they didn't make an appearance tonight at the 9 public hearing, they are in the docket and they 10 are able to make their views known formally in 11 that docket, and everything that they put in the 12 dockets will be part of the formal record that 13 the Commission will consider when we make our 14 decision. 15 So having taken the appearances, as 16 Shana said, there are going to be six local 17 public hearings scheduled in this matter. 18 is our second one. We had one last night as 19 well. And Commissioners are attending the 20 hearings, so we are hearing firsthand what 21 consumers are saying about the merger and we 22 will take that into account along with all the

who is another telecommunications provider, has

1

23

24

25

other testimony and information and data that's

will be taken into account when it comes time to

going to be filed in this case. All of that

make our decision. And that's the purpose of this hearing tonight is to get your comments and give you an opportunity to let us know what your thoughts are about this.

As I mentioned, our Court Reporter will be transcribing everything you say, and I'm going to begin calling witnesses from the list of sign-ups that we got. I will ask for your name and address for the record. Even though you wrote it down on this piece of paper, if you could state it for the record so that the Court Reporter can get it in the transcript, that would be very helpful. Also, if you would speak up so everyone can hear.

I will be swearing you in. It is a formal hearing and there will be sworn testimony and that means that you could be asked questions by the folks that made an appearance tonight. That doesn't usually happen, and believe me, I won't let them do the Perry Mason thing on you, so don't be intimidated by coming up to testify. Should you decide that you don't want to testify, if you signed this list and you feel like other people have already said what you wanted to say and you don't really want to

```
1
    testify but you want to go down on the record as
    having taken some position on this, Steve can
3
    work with you from the PUCO and you could sign
    kind of like a petition to let us know what you
5
    think if you don't want to get up and make a
6
    statement in front of everybody.
7
                If you have prepared a written
8
    statement, it would be helpful if you could get
9
    a copy to our Court Reporter so that she doesn't
10
    miss anything that you said. That would be
11
    appreciated.
12
                As I mentioned before, we really
13
    appreciate you taking time out of your evening
14
    to come here and share with us your thoughts on
15
    this transfer. So at this time I will begin
16
    calling witnesses forward. The first one on our
17
    list is Tami, is it Dralling?
18
                MS. DROLLINGER: Drollinger.
19
                COMMISSIONER FERGUS: Tami, would
20
    you like to come up?
21
                MS. DROLLINGER:
                                  Yes.
22
                COMMISSIONER FERGUS: Would you
23
    raise your right hand.
24
```

TAMI DROLLINGER

presented herself as a public witness, and being

first duly sworn, testified as follows:

1

18

25

MS. DROLLINGER: My name is Tami

5 Drollinger. I live at 235 South Vine Street

6 here in Marion. I'm the president of

7 Communication Workers of America, Local 4371,

representing workers at Verizon, and I am a

9 concerned Verizon customer. Verizon has

proposed to sell off its wireline assets in Ohio

11 to Frontier Communications. If this sale is

12 approved, Verizon stands to receive \$3.3 billion

13 | in cash tax free. Verizon's track record in

14 recent transactions indicate that while Verizon

is able to take advantage of a loophole in the

16 tax code called the Reverse Morris Trust to dump

17 undesirable areas tax free, the buyers of these

properties, their customers and the employees,

¹⁹ are left in disarray.

Let's examine the track record.

21 | Verizon sold lines in Hawaii to Hawaii Telecom.

22 Burdened with debt and substandard service,

Hawaii Telecom was bankrupt in three years.

²⁴ | Verizon spun off its Yellow Pages directory

business to shareholders as a separate entity

called Idearc but not before loading it up with \$9 and one half billion in debt. Idearc went bankrupt in under 900 days.

Then there's Fairpoint which purchased one and one-half million access lines in New England from Verizon. Fairpoint has been unable to maintain adequate service since taking over, which has resulted in state commissions being bombbarded with complaints from frustrated customers. Most analysts say it's a matter of when, not if, Fairpoint files for bankruptcy.

If this sale is approved, Frontier's debt will increase from \$4 and a half billion to \$8 billion. Frontier promises that they will increase capital expenditures, improve service quality, and significantly expand broadband availability. How will Frontier accomplish all of its promises? To date, Frontier has not secured financing for the additional debt it will take on from this transaction.

How can you make decisions about the business and make promises of expanded services when you don't know the cost or the terms of the financing? Ohio cannot afford more lost jobs and its citizens cannot afford to go without

broadband. It is an economic and educational necessity.

Marion and other areas of Ohio are entitled to the same level of service and products available in metropolitan areas. In fact, in New Philly, the county commissioners passed a resolution to oppose the transfer of Verizon landlines because they were so concerned about the quality of service.

while Frontier promises that they can expand the availability of broadband, the heavy debt they will carry as a result of this transaction means that it is likely an empty promise. The customers of Marion and throughout the State of Ohio deserve more than empty promises. What they do not deserve is to bear the brunt of a sale that could jeopardize the tax base of their communities, the quality of their service, the educational opportunities for their children through broadband, or a sale that puts their neighbors' jobs at risk. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER FERGUS: Thank you.

Just a minute, Mrs. Drollinger. Are there any questions for the witness?

MR. LODGE: No. Thank you.

1 MR. ETTER: No. 2 COMMISSIONER FERGUS: Thank you very 3 Our second witness is Steve Chaff -- I can't read the last letter. 5 MR. CHAFFIN: Chaffin. 6 COMMISSIONER FERGUS: Chaffner? 7 MR. CHAFFIN: Chaffin. 8 COMMISSIONER FERGUS: Chaffin. 9 Would you raise your right hand. 10 11 STEVE CHAFFIN 12 presented himself as a public witness, and being 13 first duly sworn, testified as follows: 14 COMMISSIONER FERGUS: Your name and 15 address for the record. MR. CHAFFIN: Yes, ma'am. Steve 16 17 Chaffin, 1671 Weiss Avenue, Marion, Ohio. We 18 all know the corporations act out of 19 self-interest. To them greed is good. But not 20 all of us agree to this philosophy. We don't 21 always agree that greed is good. Not everyone 22 agrees that unrestrained corporate self-interest 23 is best for our society and that's why we pass 24 laws. That's why we set the Public Utilities

Commission. We passed a law, Revised Code

4905.402 which says, no, Verizon/Frontier, you can't just do whatever you want to act in your self-interest. You have to pass a test of public convenience, not just corporate convenience but the public convenience.

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If you look at the evidence in the case, as the Consumer's Counsel has outlined from a legal point of view, there just isn't any evidence to support this merger, this deal. the first witness has already testified, the evidence shows that these deals were just part of the larger corporate strategy to sell off the unprofitable parts of their business so they can invest their money into the larger urban areas which are more profitable for their fiberoptic They can't do it in the rural areas; it's just too expensive. They've been losing customers here in Marion and they're going to lose them all over the rural areas and stuff while they're trying to dump it. They have done it in New England and Hawaii.

The law says that they have the burden of proof of showing there's adequate service from this deal and the public must be considered in terms of rates and charges.

Again, there's just no evidence that this deal is going to keep rates low or promote increased service. There's just no evidence on it.

The deal being considered here is part of -- not part of an isolated transaction which they would like to look at, it's part of a corporate restructuring. I only spent a couple hours doing some research today after I become aware of this to find out what their strategy was. It's all over the trade papers. You can research it fairly quickly. And no one can blame them for what they're doing. They're protecting their shareholders, but the Public Utilities Commission, that's not the bottom line for you. You have to look out for the public interest.

We have already talked a little about the impact on the local economy. We have a representative from the City of Marion which would be impacted by the loss of tax revenue. One of the corporations they've set up to transfer their land lines to has already gone bankrupt. That means the workers are gone, all the workers that were working for the company and paying taxes and contributing to local

economy, they're all gone. The other one's on the verge of bankruptcy. That's what's going to happen to Verizon North or whatever the new entity they're setting up.

And what you need to consider, I
think also, just in terms of the history, how
did Verizon get to the point of this? For the
last how many years before they were Verizon
they were GT, then they were Ohio Bell. Their
profits, their structure's built on working
people that are in this room today. They sweat
and sacrificed to make this company. It wasn't
just the stockholders, it was the workers that
also did this, and they should be considered.
Verizon shouldn't be allowed just to sell off
its unprofitable part, leave town and leave the
workers in the community stuck with whatever the
results are going to be.

So we ask you to act with your statutory obligation to protect the public interest. You are not neutral here, you are not acting as a neutral, like a court. You're acting in protecting the public interest and looking at the statute and looking at the evidence and, again, I just don't think there's

any evidence to support this deal is in the public interest, is going to provide public convenience or adequate service.

And just in terms of common sense, if Verizon, the second largest land line service provider in this country, can't build out broadband to rural areas, how are these smaller entities going to do that that are undercapitalized? I mean, it just doesn't make any sense. The Consumers' Counsel laid this out well. They're our public advocate. We pay them and we actually pay you to look after our interest also. So please, act in our interest. A lot of us are very disillusioned about government these days, are very disillusioned about the corporate actors acting responsibly.

We have gone through this the last year with the government having to be involved in bailing these guys out. Don't bail these guys out. Make them stay here. Make them build out broadband. It won't be as profitable, but in the long run they can still turn a dime. So do what's right in the public interest and turn down this merger request. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER FERGUS: Thank you.

```
1 Any questions?
```

5

8

9

MR. LODGE: No.

MR. ETTER: No.

COMMISSIONER FERGUS: Thank you.

Andrew Miller? Raise your right hand.

6 _ _ _

ANDREW MILLER

presented himself as a public witness, and being first duly sworn, testified as follows:

10 COMMISSIONER FERGUS: Please state

11 your name and address for the record.

MR. MILLER: My name is Andrew

13 Miller. I live at 650 David Street in Marion,

 14 Ohio. Before I ask the questions that are bound

15 to be on the minds of everyone affected by this

potential sale of Verizon's land line telephone

business in Ohio, Frontier, I want to recount

18 the details of another similar sale. In 2007

19 Verizon announced a sale of its land line

operations in Vermont, Maine, and New Hampshire

to Fairpoint Communications, a company slightly

22 smaller than Frontier Communications.

On January 30, the plant cutover

date, the problems started for Fairpoint.

25 | Service issue complaints increased as quality

suffered in all three affected areas. Billing issue complaints rose to unprecedented levels in Vermont. Customer complaints and repair calls were not handled, returned, or acted upon.

But this isn't really the major

problem. On August 25 an anonymous source contacted each of the state utility boards and informed them that the tests held in Atlanta to demonstrate the readiness of the Fairpoint network was staged in its entirety. It is alleged that Fairpoint created a special computer program to simulate the traffic network conditions and other telecommunications status. In other words, the testing that the states relied upon for approving the deal that they had appointed an independent consultant to review and report on, was based upon what is essentially a complete work of fiction.

What's more is Fairpoint had many reasons to get this deal done and over with as soon as possible. They are in debt. They are treading waters trying to stay afloat paying Verizon that \$16 million a month to maintain the traffic until the switch over.

In fact, right now they're trying to

```
1
    avoid bankruptcy at all cost. Its first quarter
    '09 financial status report to stockholders
3
    stated that it was considering engaging a
    financial advisor to evaluate its current and
5
    capital structure and to explore options with
6
    respect to a potential restructuring. It also
7
    acknowledged that it was at risk of failing to
    comply with the interest coverage covenant
9
    contained in its credit facility as early as the
10
    covenant measure period ending June 30, 2009.
11
                So with this in mind, I ask you and
12
    everyone else in this room, is this deal really
13
    about improving the quality of services to the
14
    citizens of this state? How can we be certain
15
    that we won't have a repeat of the same issues
16
    plagueing the consumers in these other markets?
17
    Thank you.
18
                COMMISSIONER FERGUS: Thank you.
19
    Any questions?
20
                MR. LODGE: Yes.
                                   Just one.
                                              Mr.
21
    Miller, just to be clear about it, the
    shenanigans that you talked about relative to
22
23
    the anonymous E-mail, that had to do with
24
    Fairpoint Communications.
```

Yes.

THE WITNESS:

```
1
                MR. LODGE: It is not the same
2
    company as Frontier Communications, is it?
3
                THE WITNESS:
                              Right.
                MR. LODGE: Thank you.
5
                COMMISSIONER FERGUS: Thank you.
6
    Gail Castle?
7
                MS. CASTLE: Nothing at this time.
8
    I think my questions have been answered and put
9
    very well. Thank you very much.
10
                COMMISSIONER FERGUS: Okay.
                                              Thank
11
    you. Corrine McAfee?
12
                MS. MCAFEE: No, I'll pass at this
13
    point.
14
                COMMISSIONER FERGUS: Okay. Chris
15
    McAfee?
16
                MR. MCAFEE: Yes. Most of my
17
    concerns have already been shared but I'm going
18
    to stand up here in case there are some
19
    questions. I might be able to shed a different
20
    twist.
21
                COMMISSIONER FERGUS: Would you
22
    raise your right hand.
23
24
25
```

CHRIS MCAFEE

concerns.

presented himself as a public witness, and being
first duly sworn, testified as follows:

MR. MCAFEE: My name is Chris McAfee and I live at 578 Olney Avenue here in Marion.

I'm an outside tech and I work for Verizon and we all, my fellow workers and I, there are several of us in this room, we have concerns also about what's going to happen, and I think Tami did a good job sharing a lot of those

The only thing that I might be able to offer that hasn't been shared there is maybe the quality of the plant that's already out there, or what's happening right now. So if there is any questions, I'd be more than happy to answer them.

COMMISSIONER FERGUS: Do you want to make any statement about that?

MR. MCAFEE: I feel like we're kind of on hold with what's happening. We don't know exactly what's going to happen. Our contract is about ready to expire and we're going to deal with Verizon with our contract. Fairpoint by law will have to honor that contract.

```
1
                MR. LODGE: Frontier, sir.
2
                COMMISSIONER FERGUS: You mean
3
    Frontier.
                MR. MCAFEE:
                             I'm sorry, I'm sorry,
5
    Frontier. I'm thinking too much about
6
    Fairpoint. So they are required to honor that
7
    contract, but our concerns are such that is the
8
    money going to be there? you know, and that
9
    point was already raised. So that's a very big
10
    concern of ours with what's going to happen in
11
    the near future. A lot of the folks here in
12
    Marion are retirement age now. In Marion there
13
    are probably, there are nine techs, and seven
14
    out of those nine are eligible for retirement
15
    with over 30 years. That should be a concern
16
    for Marion as a city. Where are they going to
17
    find the new employees? It's been stated, well,
18
    we don't care how many of you retire, we can
19
    find techs to take your place. But I'm telling
20
    you, there's a lot of experience in this room,
21
    and once that goes, you don't get it back that
22
    easy. And I know these fellows and women that
23
    are on our crew, they do their best to do the
24
    best job we can service-wise.
25
                COMMISSIONER FERGUS:
                                       Okay.
                                              Any
```

1 questions?

5

8

25

MR. LODGE: Nothing. Thank you.

MR. ETTER: No.

4 COMMISSIONER FERGUS: We appreciate

your comments. Thank you. Tom Rosenhess or is

6 | it Rosenhous?

7 MR. ROSENBERG: Rosenberg.

COMMISSIONER FERGUS: Rosenberg. Oh,

9 boy, I'm really bad at reading that writing.

10 _ _ _ _

11 TOM ROSENBERG

presented himself as a public witness, and being

13 | first duly sworn, testified as follows:

MR. ROSENBERG: My name is Tom

15 Rosenberg. I work at 155 East Broad Street,

16 Columbus, Ohio 43215. I'm legal counsel to the

17 | statewide International Brotherhood of

18 | Electrical Workers which is not limited to 986,

19 the intervenor, but rather deals with the entire

20 state council. There are a number of IBEW

 21 people here today and I do want you to get a

 22 | feel for that. If there are members of the IBEW

 23 | in the room, could I ask you to stand or if you

24 are standing, raise your hand so we just kind of

see how many are here. I count about seven or

```
1
    eight that are here today, and we're here for
    the very important reason of bringing to the
3
    PUCO's attention our concerns about the process
    that's going on right now. We do appreciate the
5
    opportunity to speak about this transaction
6
    between Verizon and Frontier. The fact that
7
    Verizon is selling not just its wireline
    business in Ohio, but also other states, to much
9
    smaller financially weaker companies is of
10
    concern to the IBEW.
11
                A reliable telecommunications
12
    network in Ohio is critical to maintaining a
13
    healthy economy. Not only do we depend upon
14
    reliable basic dial tone, we also need an
15
    expandable broadband network that can keep pace
16
    with changing technology. E-commerce,
17
    telemedicine, interactive distance learning,
18
    enhancements in public safety are just some of
```

Broadband is quickly evolving into a fiber and wireless base product. Frontier currently depends on copper-based DSL's flagship broadband product. We're concerned that the residences and businesses of Ohio in the

the key applications that require high speed

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Internet access.

impacted areas will be denied access to the information super highway.

1

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3 The questions exist: Will Frontier be capable of managing such an increase in the 5 size of its business? In the 14-state area 6 that's impacted, and of which it proposes to acquire, Frontier will realize a 300 percent increase in access lines and a 200 percent 9 increase in employees. In Ohio alone, right now 10 Frontier currently manages, to the best of our 11 knowledge, approximately 500 access lines with 12 no Ohio-based employees. If this sale is 13 approved, Frontier will be required to manage 14 and supervise Verizon's business which currently 15 consists of approximately 635,000 lines and 1300 16 employees in Ohio.

Questions exist about its ability to do so. They need to be answered. Frontier has agreed, in our opinion hastily, to purchase Verizon's property without securing the necessary financing for the \$3.3 billion it will owe and has not taken the necessary time to inspect the conditions of what it will purchase: the plant, the real estate, the vehicles, the equipment. Yet Frontier claims it will save

1 \$500 million through synergies, which are really nothing more than cost cutting and consolidation 3 activities, while promising to increase capital expenditures, improve service quality, 5 significantly expand broadband, provide 6 wholesale services to competitive local exchange 7 carriers, and do it at significantly less cost than one of the largest and more experienced 9 telecom companies in the world. Frontier 10 basically says trust us. They can do it all and 11 satisfy everyone. We are skeptical about their 12 ability to deliver. This is likely why the Ohio 13 Consumers' Counsel has also come out against 14 this sale. 15 While Frontier's claimed use of 16 synergies to make its product more effective 17 sounds good, what it means is that they will

synergies to make its product more effective sounds good, what it means is that they will look for ways to collapse operations to save resources. This means many jobs in Ohio are at risk. The call center in Marion, for example, employs close to 600 people. Frontier has indicated its intent to place the hub of its operations outside of Ohio where other call centers exist. What is the future of these 600 jobs in Marion? Frontier won't say. We worry.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
1
                While Verizon has had problems with
2
    service quality and broadband build out in Ohio,
3
    it has the deep pockets and vast operational
    resources needed to improve service, build out
5
    high speed infrastructure and sustain its
6
    operations over the long term of mid-economic
7
    dips and natural emergencies. Frontier will
    have fewer resources and no margin for error to
9
    sustain on-going operations, much less address
10
    emergencies or greater expected line losses.
11
    Just ask, as you have already heard tonight, the
12
    consumers in Hawaii, Maine, New Hampshire, and
13
    Vermont if they are better off now than when
14
    Verizon ran their telecom operations.
                                            State
15
    regulatory commissions in Maine, New Hampshire,
16
    Vermont, and Hawaii approved the sale of
17
    Verizon's operations to other entities with
18
    numerous conditions that they thought would
19
    protect the consumers and the two companies.
20
    They were wrong. After struggling with a loss
21
    of over 20 percent of its customer base, Hawaii
22
    Telecom filed for bankruptcy in December 2008.
23
    Fairpoint, which has assumed Verizon's
24
    operations in Maine, New Hampshire, and Vermont
25
    has also run into significant service, quality,
```

technical, and financial problems and may be on the verge of bankruptcy.

Consumers, workers, and communities will bear the risk of this transaction.

Traditionally when companies run into problems, they cut capital expenditures, lay off workers, attempt to increase rates. Consumers may run that risk of higher rates and worse service.

Workers worry about their jobs, benefits, and security. Communities worry about poor service, less ability to respond to emergencies, and less expansion of the high speed network needed for economic development.

Verizon should not be allowed to shed its unwanted small town and rural properties to a company that may, I'm not saying is, may be ill-prepared to provide and maintain a healthy telecommunications infrastructure.

And that's important to say may, not is, because what we really urge the PUCO to do is to hold a series of formal evidentiary hearings that is currently within their discretion to do. The last order issued by the PUCO said that evidentiary hearings may be held, and that is still within your discretion to do. Only

```
through a series of formal evidentiary hearings
```

- will enough information be available to
- ³ effectively judge whether or not this
- 4 transaction is truly beneficial to the citizens,
- ⁵ workers, and businesses of Ohio.
- There must be an opportunity to
- question Frontier about its intentions,
- 8 | abilities, and capabilities, and let's not give
- 9 up this right to do so. Open public process
- 10 requires evidentiary hearings so that
- 11 examination and questions and cross-examination
- of Frontier and Verizon can occur.
- 13 It is for this reason that we
- 14 impress upon you the need for such hearings on
- behalf of the IBEW, and I thank you very much.
- 16 COMMISSIONER FERGUS: Thank you.
- 17 | Any questions?
- MR. LODGE: No questions. Thank
- 19 you, sir.
- MR. ETTER: No.
- 21 COMMISSIONER FERGUS: Thank you very
- 22 | much. The next witness is Fred, and I can't
- ²³ read your writing.
- MR. SABOL: Sabol.
- 25 COMMISSIONER FERGUS: Sabol.

1 MR. SABOL: How do you do. 2 COMMISSIONER FERGUS: Good. Mould 3 you raise your right hand. 5 FRED SABOL 6 presented himself as a public witness, and being 7 first duly sworn, testified as follows: COMMISSIONER FERGUS: Please state 9 your name and address for the record. 10 MR. SABOL: My name is Fred Sabol. 11 I live at 401 Adams Avenue. That's in Huron, 12 I'm the business manager of Local 986, 13 I guess part of the reason I'm here is I 14 too, as you've heard before, I have concerns of 15 the financing and how it's going to take 16 effect. Part of the concern on the 3.3 billion is, it's my understanding also, that that 17 18 financing has not been secured yet, and I think, 19 not that I was a part of it, but I think we had 20 a little, like, mortgage crises with people not 21 being able to pay; if you don't know what your 22 interest rate is, if you don't know what your 23 debt is, and all of a sudden we had a whole lot 24 of stuff up for sale.

In addition to that, I too believe

```
1
    that there has been a lack of due diligence
    where facilities and vehicles, equipment, things
    like that may not have been inspected or viewed
    as much as they should. It sounds kind of funny
5
    to hear somebody say that, hey, we're worried
6
    maybe about the purchaser, instead of let it be
7
    the buyer beware, that it's, you know, maybe
    it's the pig in the poke. And I too, as Tom
9
    Rosenberg stated, I think we need those
10
    evidentiary hearings, I think, because without
11
    those, in Verizon's defense, maybe they have got
12
    this all put together in Frontier's defense.
13
    Give us a chance to ask those tough questions.
14
    They're saying they have the answers. Thank you
15
    very much.
16
                COMMISSIONER FERGUS: Thank you.
17
    Any questions?
18
                MR. LODGE:
                            None.
19
                MR. ETTER:
                            No.
20
                COMMISSIONER FERGUS: Thank you.
21
    Steve Reinhard. Raise your right hand.
22
23
24
25
```

STEVE REINHARD

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

presented himself as a public witness, and being first duly sworn, testified as follows:

COMMISSIONER FERGUS: Please state your name and address for the record.

MR. REINHARD: Steve Reinhard, 2030 State Route 4, Bucyrus, Ohio. Hi. I quess I am kind of speaking in favor of the sale. I think from my perspective, it looks like maybe Verizon wants to concentrate on their cellular service which I think leaves a big hole in our area here as far as our constituency goes. Frontier has expanded broadband in some of their rural areas they have said that they would expand that in. I think from some of the other, no longer being an elected official, but some of the other elected officials in the county will agree that one of the things that we do lack in this area would be broadband to our rural areas, and especially now when we see that a lot of our businesses tend to be more small businesses, 50 employees or less, and some of those even being a home-based type business. The other thing I think we need to look at is education. That was brought up before about the

long-distance learning. That's a way that I
think we can beam a lot of classes maybe from
Ohio State to the rural students in our area
right into their home which we don't have that
capability of doing right now.

As a former elected official, I have worked very hard with mayors in Marion, county commissioners of Marion, Crawford, Wyandot Counties to help develop business. I obviously don't want to see the call center moved or closed. And Tami, I think, brings up a very good point for the people that she represents.

But I do see that we do need a broadband expansion in this area, and especially in the northwest corner and up into Wyandot County, and I'll give you an example.

My sister recently moved back to
Ohio from another state and actually works in
one of the Marion County school systems, and she
is a Verizon user out of Nevada, and doesn't
have the ability to have the broadband or the
high-speed Internet which makes it very hard for
her to research, especially when she's getting
ready for lesson plans and doing things like
that. So I do think that that would be a

benefit to our area, and if Verizon is not wanting to do that, then I think we need to look at some other alternative. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER FERGUS: Thank you.

Any questions?

MR. LODGE: No.

MR. ETTER: No.

COMMISSIONER FERGUS: I appreciate your comments. I don't know what happened to Steve. Is there anyone else signed up? Is there anyone else in the room that would like to get up and make a comment that did not sign up? Okay. Thank you again for your time. We appreciate that you came out and took your time to share with us your thoughts. We will take this back to the Commission and the Commission will still have to decide whether we're going to have evidentiary hearings, but there are definitely going to be four more local public hearings so we'll be hearing from people all over the State, and we promise you, we will take into account what you had to say. Thank you

- - -

again. And with that, I will close and adjourn

the hearing. Thank you.

CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this public hearing before the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio on September 9, 2009.

_s/Iris I. Dillion____

Iris I. Dillion, Registered

Professional Reporter.

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

9/23/2009 1:44:54 PM

in

Case No(s). 09-0454-TP-ACO

Summary: Transcript Frontier Communications 9/9/09 electronically filed by Mrs. Jennifer Duffer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Dillion, Iris I. Mrs.