# **Confidential Release**

Case Number: 93-487-TP-ALT

**Date of Confidential Document:** 5/3/1999

Today's Date: July 30, 2009

Motion

### BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of The Ohio Bell Telephone Company	)	Case No.	93-487-TP-ALT
for Approval of an Alternative	)		
Form of Regulation.	)		

#### AMERITECH OHIO'S MOTION FOR A PROTECTIVE ORDER

Ameritech Ohio, by its attorneys and pursuant to Section 4901-1-24(D) of the Commission's rules (O.A.C. § 4901-1-24(D)) moves for a protective order keeping confidential the designated confidential and/or proprietary information contained in the filing accompanying this motion. The reasons underlying this motion are detailed in the attached Memorandum in Support. Consistent with the requirements of Section 4901-1-24(D) of the Commission's rules, unredacted copies of the confidential information which is the subject of this motion have been filed under seal.

Respectfully submitted,

AMERITECH OHIO

By:

Michael T. Mulcahy (Trial Attorney) 45 Erieview Plaza, Suite 1400 Cleveland, Ohio 44114

(216) 822-3437

Jon F. Kelly 150 E. Gay St., Rm. 4-C Columbus, Ohio 43215 (614) 223-7928

Its Attorneys

## MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER

Ameritech Ohio requests that the information designated as confidential and/or proprietary in the accompanying filing (along with any and all copies, including electronic copies) be protected from public disclosure.

Section 4901-1-24(D) of the Commission's rules provides that the Commission or certain designated employees may issue an order which is necessary to protect the confidentiality of information contained in documents filed with the Commission's Docketing Division to the extent that state or federal law prohibits the release of the information and where non-disclosure of the information is not inconsistent with the purposes of Title 49 of the Revised Code. As set forth herein, state law prohibits the release of the information which is the subject of this motion. Moreover, the non-disclosure of the information will not impair the purposes of Title 49. The Commission and its Staff have full access to the information in order to fulfill its statutory obligations. No purpose of Title 49 would be served by the public disclosure of the information.

The need to protect the designated information from public disclosure is clear, and there is compelling legal authority supporting the requested protective order. While the Commission has often expressed its preference for open

proceedings, the Commission also long ago recognized its statutory obligations with regard to trade secrets:

The Commission is of the opinion that the "public records" statute must also be read in pari materia with Section 1333.31, Revised Code ("trade secrets" statute). The latter statute must be interpreted as evincing the recognition, on the part of the General Assembly, of the value of trade secret information.

In re: General Telephone Co., Case No. 81-383-TP-AIR (Entry, February 17, 1982). Likewise, the Commission has facilitated the protection of trade secrets in its rules (O.A.C. § 4901-1-24(A)(7)).

The definition of a "trade secret" is set forth in the Uniform Trade Secrets Act:

"Trade secret" means information, including the whole or any portion or phase of any scientific or technical information, design, process, procedure, formula, pattern, compilation, program, device, method, technique, or improvement, or any business information or plans, financial information, or listing of names, addresses, or telephone numbers, that satisfies both of the following:

- (1) It derives independent economic value, actual or potential, from not being generally known to, and not being readily ascertainable by proper means by, other persons who can obtain economic value from its disclosure or use.
- (2) It is the subject of efforts that are reasonable under the circumstances to maintain its secrecy.
- R. C. § 1333.61(D). This definition clearly reflects the state policy favoring the protection of trade secrets such as the information which is the subject of this motion.

Courts of other jurisdictions have held that not only does a public utilities commission have the authority to protect the trade secrets of a public utility, the trade secret statute creates a duty to protect them. New York Tel. Co. v. Pub. Serv. Comm. N.Y., 56 N.Y. 2d 213 (1982). Indeed, for the Commission to do otherwise would be to negate the protections the Ohio General Assembly has granted to all businesses, including public utilities, through the Uniform Trade Secrets Act. This Commission has previously carried out its obligations in this regard in numerous proceedings. See, e.g., Elvria Tel. Co., Case No. 89-965-TP-AEC (Finding and Order, September 21, 1989); Ohio Bell Tel. Co., Case No. 89-718-TP-ATA (Finding and Order, May 31, 1989); Columbia Gas of Ohio, Inc., Case No. 90-17-GA-GCR (Entry, August 17, 1990).

In 1996, the Ohio General Assembly amended R. C. §§
4901.12 and 4905.07 in order to facilitate the protection of
trade secrets in the Commission's possession. Am. Sub. H. B.
476, effective September 17, 1996. The General Assembly carved
out an exception to the general rule in favor of the public
disclosure of information in the Commission's possession. By
referencing R. C. § 149.43, the Commission-specific statutes now
incorporate the provision of that statute that excepts from the
definition of "public record" records the release of which is
prohibited by state or federal law. R. C. § 149.43(A)(1). In
turn, state law prohibits the release of information meeting the

definition of a trade secret. R. C. §§ 1333.61(D) and 1333.62. The amended statutes also reference the purposes of Title 49 of the Revised Code. The protection of trade secret information from public disclosure is consistent with the purposes of Title 49 because the Commission and its Staff have access to the information; in many cases, the parties to a case may have access under an appropriate protective agreement. The protection of trade secret information as requested herein will not impair the Commission's regulatory responsibilities.

In <u>Pyromatics</u>, <u>Inc. v. Petruziello</u>, 7 Ohio App. 3d 131, 134-135 (Cuyahoga County 1983), the Court of Appeals, citing <u>Koch</u> <u>Engineering Co. v. Faulconer</u>, 210 U.S.P.Q. 854, 861 (Kansas 1980), has delineated factors to be considered in recognizing a trade secret:

(1) The extent to which the information is known outside the business, (2) the extent to which it is known to those inside the business, i.e., by the employees, (3) the precautions taken by the holder of the trade secret to guard the secrecy of the information, (4) the savings effected and the value to the holder in having the information as against competitors, (5) the amount of effort or money expended in obtaining and developing the information, and (6) the amount of time and expense it would take for others to acquire and duplicate the information.

For all of the information which is the subject of this motion, Ameritech Ohio considers and has treated the information as a trade secret. In the ordinary course of business of

Ameritech Ohio, this information is stamped confidential, is treated as proprietary and confidential by Ameritech Ohio employees, and is not disclosed to anyone except in a Commission proceeding and/or pursuant to staff data request. During the course of discovery, information of this type information has generally been provided only pursuant to protective agreement.

The Attachment to this Memorandum in Support lists the information which has been redacted from the associated filing and further describes why it should be granted protected status. For the foregoing reasons, Ameritech Ohio requests that the designated information be protected from public disclosure.

Respectfully submitted,

AMERITECH OHIO

D.,.

Michael T. Mulcahy (Trial Attorney) 45 Erieview Plaza, Suite 1400

Cleveland, Ohio 44114

(216) 822-3437

Jon F. Kelly 150 E. Gay St., Room 4-C

Columbus, Ohio 43215

(614) 223-7928

Its Attorneys

## Information Redacted

Quantities and Revenues

### Reasons

The quantity and revenue information set forth in Exhibit 3 to the price cap filing is competitively sensitive. It identifies quantity and revenue numbers associated with the proposed price reductions for discrete services. The totals have not been redacted so as to permit confirmation of the price cap calculations. Public disclosure of the quantities and revenues for discrete services would impair Ameritech Ohio's ability to respond to competitive opportunities in the marketplace and would reveal the Company's trade secret information to competitors.

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing Motion for a Protective Order and Memorandum in Support were served upon counsel for the parties as shown on the attached service list, by prepaid first class U. S. mail, this 3rd day of May, 1999.

Jon F. Kelly

### SERVICE LIST

CASE NO. 93-487-TP-ALT

## PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

Steven T. Nourse Public Utilities Section 180 East Broad Street Columbus, OH 43215-3793

## OFFICE OF THE CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

David C. Bergmann 77 South High Street 15th Floor Columbus, OH 43266-0550

### OCTVA

William S. Newcomb, Jr. Stephen M. Howard Vorys, Sater, Seymour & Pease 52 East Gay Street P.O. Box 1008 Columbus, OH 43216-1008

## ATET COMMUNICATIONS OF OHIO, INC.

David J. Chorzempa 227 W. Monroe St., 13th Floor Chicago, IL 60606

Benita Kahn William S. Newcomb, Jr. Vorys, Sater, Seymour & Pease 52 E. Gay Street Columbus, OH 43215-1008

## FRONTIER TELEMANAGEMENT

Gena M. Doyscher Frontier Communications Suite 300 1221 Nicollet Mall Minneapolis, MN 55403

## MCI TELECOMMUNICATIONS CORP.

Darrell Townsley Marsha Franklin 205 North Michigan Ave., #3700 Chicago, IL 60601

## BELL COMMUNICATIONS RESEARCH

William A. Adams Arter & Hadden 10 West Broad Street Columbus, OH 43215

## CITY OF TOLEDO

Kerry Bruce Dept. of Public Utilities 420 Madison Avenue, Suite 100 Toledo, OH 43604-1219

### CITY OF CLEVELAND

William M. Ondrey Gruber Assistant Director of Law 601 Lakeside Avenue, Room 106. Cleveland, OH 44114

## IXC COALITION

Judith B. Sanders
Bell, Royer & Sanders Company
33 South Grant Avenue
Columbus, OH 43215-3927

### CLEVELAND LEGAL AID SOCIETY

Joseph P. Meissner Director of Urban Development 1223 West Sixth Street Cleveland, OH 44113

## OPCA, CITY OF MENTOR AND ODVN

Randy J. Hart 3300 BP America Building 200 Public Square Cleveland, OH 44114

## TIME WARNER AXS

Samuel C. Randazzo McNees, Wallace & Nurick Fifth Third Center 21 East State St., Suite 910 Columbus, OH 43215 CABLEVISION LIGHTPATH, INC.

NEW PAR COMPANIES
Sally W. Bloomfield
Mary W. Christensen
Bricker & Eckler
100 South Third Street
Columbus, OH 43215-4291

<u>METAS</u>

Dennis K. Muncy Meyer, Capel, Hirschfeld, Muncy, Jahn & Aldeen Athenaeum Building 306 West Church Street P.O. Box 6750 Champaign, IL 61826-6750

DOD/FEA

Robert A. Ganton Regulatory Law Office U.S. Army Litigation Center 901 N. Stuart Street, #400 Arlington, VA 22203

<u>AARP</u>

Bruce J. Weston 169 West Hubbard Avenue Columbus, OH 43215-1439

TCG AMERICA, INC.
Jodie Donovan
TCG America, Inc.
c/o Teleport Communications
One Teleport Drive
Staten Island, NY 10311

Madelon Kuchera TCG America, Inc. c/o TC Systems-Illinois, Inc. 233 South Wacker, Suite 2100 Chicago, IL 60606

HOR

James G. Tassie
Assistant Attorney General
Education Section
30 East Broad Street, Floor 15
Columbus, OH 43215

THE EDGEMONT COALITION Ellis Jacobs 333 West First Street, #500 Dayton, OH 45402

<u>ODAS</u>

Daniel A. Malkoff Assistant Attorney General 30 E. Broad Street, 26th Floor Columbus, OH 43215-3428

CITY OF COLUMBUS
Gregory J. Dunn, Esq.
Schottenstein, Zox & Dunn
41 South High St., Suite 2600
The Huntington Center
Columbus, OH 43215

John W. Bentine, Esq. Chester, Wilcox & Saxbe 17 South High St., Suite 900 Columbus, OH 43215

OHIO NEWSPAPER ASSOCIATION
OHIO LIBRARY COUNCIL
Sheldon A. Taft
Vorys, Sater, Seymour & Pease
52 East Gay Street
P.O. Box 1008
Columbus, OH 43216-1008

UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF OHIO d/b/a SPRINT
Joseph R. Stewart
Suite 3600
50 W. Broad Street
Columbus, OH 43215

Lee Lauridsen 8140 Ward Parkway, 5E Kansas City, MO 64114

LDDS COMMUNICATIONS, INC. Frank T. Gardella 2800 River Road, Suite 490 Des Plaines, IL 60018

## APPALACHIAN PEOPLE'S ACTION

COALITION
Michael R. Smalz
Ohio State Legal Services
Association
861 N.High Street
Columbus, OH 43215

Gail O. Lundstrom No More Phone Tag 2550 Corporate Exchange Drive Columbus, Ohio 43231