```
1
1
              BEFORE THE OHIO POWER SITING BOARD
2
3
    In the Matter of the
    Application of Columbus
    Southern Power Company
    for a Certificate of
5
    Environmental
                              : Case No. 08-170-EL-BTX
    Compatibility and Public :
6
    Need for the Roberts-OSU :
    138 kV Transmission Line :
    Project.
8
9
                          PROCEEDINGS
10
    before Mr. Jeffrey R. Jones, Hearing Examiner, at the
11
    City of Upper Arlington Council Chambers, 3600
12
    Tremont Road, Columbus, Ohio, called at 6:00 p.m. on
13
    Tuesday, July 14, 2009.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
                    ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.
               222 East Town Street, 2nd Floor
23
                    Columbus, Ohio 43215
               (614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481
24
                     Fax - (614) 224-5724
25
```

		2
1	APPEARANCES:	
2	Mr. Matt J. Satterwhite Mr. Steven T. Nourse	
4	American Electric Power One Riverside Plaza Columbus, Ohio 43215	
5	On behalf of Columbus Southern Power Company.	
6	Bailey Cavalieri, LLC	
7	Balley Cavallell, DDC By Mr. William A. Adams One Columbus	
8	Ten West Broad Street, Suite 2100 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3422	
10	On behalf of the city of Upper Arlington.	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

		3
1	INDEX	
2		
3	DIRECT TESTIMONY OF WITNESSES PAGE	
4	David Kershner 10	
5	Michael Braunstein 11	
б	Diane Fields 14	
7	Valerie Banfield 15	
8	John Fields 16	
9	Nikki Spretnak 20	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Tuesday Evening Session,

July 14, 2009.

- -

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Let's go on the record. Good evening. The Ohio Power Siting Board has assigned for public hearing at this time and place Case number 08-170-EL-BTX, being captioned In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need for the Roberts-OSU 138-kilovolt Transmission Line.

My name is Jeffrey R. Jones. I'm an administrative law judge assigned to this case by the Board and will be presiding over the hearing this evening.

At this time I'll take appearances on behalf of the parties. On behalf of AEP.

MR. SATTERWHITE: Thank you, your Honor.
On behalf of Columbus Southern Power, Matthew
Satterwhite, One Riverside Plaza, Columbus, Ohio
43215. Steve Nourse is also here on behalf of the company.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you.

Is there anybody here representing the staff of the Power Siting Board?

Seeing no one, on behalf of the city of Upper Arlington.

MR. ADAMS: Thank you, your Honor.

William Adams, special counsel to the city of Upper

Arlington, Bailey Cavalieri, Ten West Broad Street,

Columbus, Ohio 43215.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you,
Mr. Adams.

At this point in time I'm going to go off the record for a short period of time and allow members of the audience who might have questions regarding this application to approach the representatives from Columbus Southern Power who are here. After a short opportunity to ask questions I will be going back on the record and we will take public statements from any members of the public who wish to make a statement about the application itself.

Then once the public witnesses are concluded, at that point in time the hearing will be adjourned; however, I would ask that the company and I will stick around for a little while after the hearing if any members of the public have any additional questions.

So at this time we will take a short

recess in order for the public to ask questions of the company.

(Recess taken.)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Let's go back on the record. Columbus Southern Power Company filed the instant application with the Board on December 30, 2008. As part of the proceeding Columbus Southern Power Company is proposing to construct a 138-kilovolt electric transmission line from the company's Roberts substation near I-270 in western Franklin County and terminating at the company's OSU substation, also in Franklin County. The preferred route is approximately 6.26 miles, and the alternate route is approximately 5.9 miles.

The line would be constructed using a combination of overhead steel pole structures and underground trenching and drilling technologies, and approximately 4.4 miles of the preferred route will be constructed underground while approximately 4 miles of the alternate route would be constructed underground.

For existing overhead portions of the route, the new steel pole structures will be installed in the location of the existing pole structures on a one-to-one basis.

Board staff has reviewed the application and conducted field visits and requested additional information and conducted interviews with company personnel and performed various analyses of data. Staff then prepared and filed a Staff Report on June 29th, 2009, and I believe there are still copies available on the table out front as you came in if you'd like to pick one up.

The Staff Report summarizes the staff's findings regarding the company's application and sets forth the staff's recommendations in the case which is the facility be installed along the applicant's preferred route subject to a number of conditions.

This local public hearing is only one aspect of the power siting case application process. An adjudicatory hearing will be conducted at the Board's offices on July 16th, 2009, at 10 a.m. in the Board's office. The purpose of that hearing is to allow the Columbus Southern Power Company and the Board staff to present witnesses and evidence in support of their positions.

The purpose of tonight's public hearing is to receive comments from the public regarding CSP's application. We will not be hearing from the company or the Board staff tonight on the record;

however, this is your opportunity to let the Board know what you think about the company's request to construct the proposed electric transmission line.

When you arrived, you were offered the opportunity to sign up to testify. If you missed that opportunity, it is still available to you by signing the witness list, or I will offer the opportunity once I've completed the list of those persons already signed up.

I will take witnesses in the order in which they signed up, and I will begin calling witnesses forward who wish to give statements for the record. Before you begin your statement I'll ask for your name and address. Your testimony will be considered part of the official record in the case to be reviewed by the Board members before they make their final decision.

Before presenting testimony you will be required to take an oath or affirmation stating that what you are about to say is the truth, and the attorneys for the company and the city of Upper Arlington will have the opportunity to ask follow-up questions once you've finished your statement.

Should you decide that you do not want to testify when I call your name, you can merely pass to

the next witness. Once you have finished testifying,
or if you are just in attendance to observe the
hearing, you may leave at any time you wish.

Tonight's hearing is being transcribed by a court reporter which means that the court reporter will be recording in typewritten fashion the statements made here today. If you plan to testify, please speak plainly and clearly so the court reporter can accurately reflect your comments on the record. If you have a prepared written statement, it would be helpful for you to provide a copy to the court reporter.

We appreciate your participation in tonight's hearing and we want everyone who wishes to make a public statement to be able to do so. If you choose to make a public statement, please be considerate of others waiting to give their testimony and keep your statements to a reasonable period of time.

At this point in time I will begin by calling the first person who signed up on the witness list, which is Mr. David Kershner. Mr. Kershner, will you raise your right hand.

(Witness sworn.)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you.

1 You may proceed with your statement.

DAVID KERSHNER

being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law,
testified as follows:

DIRECT TESTIMONY

MR. KERSHNER: I would like the Board to consider for their -- I would just like them to consider the combination of alternate routes and preferred routes.

In looking at figure 2 in the Staff
Report of Investigation, it just seems that much -far fewer houses will be impacted by the aboveground
route. There will be far less impact for the
aboveground on the alternate route because there
literally are no houses that run along Dublin Road,
and prior to reaching the housing development near
Dublin and Roberts Road it goes underground, and then
the preferred route will meet back up with the
alternate route at Lane Avenue.

I would like them to consider using the alternate route until they cross the river and then proceed up Lane Avenue with the preferred route with the coupling of the fiberoptic line for the city.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you,

11 1 Mr. Kershner. 2 Any questions? 3 MR. SATTERWHITE: No questions. 4 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Seeing none, 5 the next individual signed up is Mr. Michael 6 Braunstein. Would you please raise your right hand. 7 (Witness sworn.) 8 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you. 9 Please give your name and address for the record. 10 MR. M. BRAUNSTEIN: Michael Braunstein at 11 2500 Stonehaven Court South, Columbus, Ohio. 12 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you. Ιf 13 you have a statement, you may proceed to make it 14 right now, please. 15 16 MICHAEL BRAUNSTEIN 17 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, 18 testified as follows: 19 DIRECT TESTIMONY 20 MR. M. BRAUNSTEIN: I am the owner of 21 most of the ground that the alternative route would 22 take if you chose the alternative route. And if you 23 crossed, it's the -- I don't know how to describe it, 24 but it would be starting with let's say Riverside 25 Drive as you go across. So it looks to be vacant

land in your drawing.

And what I wanted the -- I've already relayed this to different members of AEP who were in contact with me, but I wanted tonight people to be aware that what looks to be vacant ground has actually been developed with extremely expensive homes, and as you get to the point where you're crossing within let's say a hundred yards of this, there's the two most expensive homes that were built in Arlington in the last 30 years, probably at a cost of over \$3 million a home each in this area.

There's probably eight homes that are valued from 1-1/2 to 3 million dollars. And the piece of ground that you would impact, we've had offers for over a million dollars for just one of those lots.

So, you know, if you did ever decide to do this route, I would say we want to be compensated. It would be our hope that you wouldn't choose to impact what in reality has been one of the nicest upscale neighborhoods to build, a big plus to the community and -- but if you did do it, at least be aware from here it just looks like it's vacant ground, you know. That would be my comment. Thank you very much.

13 1 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you. 2 Any questions? 3 MR. SATTERWHITE: Nothing from the 4 company. 5 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you. б Excuse me. The audience doesn't ask questions of the witness, just the counsel. 7 8 Mr. Jordan Braunstein. MR. J. BRAUNSTEIN: We're together. 10 was just signing in. 11 THE EXAMINER: Okay, thank you. That's a 12 pass. 13 This next one I'm not sure. Dean Fields. 14 Perhaps I did not get the name correct. 3219 Dublin 15 Road is your address. 16 MS. FIELDS: Diane Fields. 17 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: I'm sorry. My 18 apologies. 19 Would you please raise your right hand. 20 (Witness sworn.) 21 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you. 22 Please give your name and address for the record. 23 MS. FIELDS: Diane Fields, 3219 Dublin 24 Road. 25 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you.

14 1 You may proceed with your statement at this time. 2 3 DIANE FIELDS 4 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, 5 testified as follows: б DIRECT TESTIMONY 7 MS. FIELDS: I would just like to say that I would either like to see AEP take the 8 alternate route; it will be going underground in the 10 million dollar development. If it goes the preferred 11 route, it will be going aboveground in my front yard, 12 and -- or I would suggest that you take it 13 underground from Scioto Darby and Dublin Road east. 14 That's all. 15 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you. 16 Questions? 17 MR. SATTERWHITE: None. 18 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: That completes 19 the list of individuals who checked the box that they 20 said they wanted to give sworn testimony. At this 21 time I will ask if any other members of the public 22 would like to give a sworn statement on the record,

Yes, ma'am. Please approach the podium. Would you please raise your right hand.

please signify by raising your hand.

23

24

25

(Witness sworn.)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you.

Please give your name and address for the record.

VALERIE BANFIELD

6 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law,

⁷ testified as follows:

DIRECT TESTIMONY

MS. BANFIELD: My name is Valerie
Banfield. I'm at 3217 Dublin Road. And like my
neighbors, I think we want to protect our most
valuable asset. We have lived in our homes for
nearly 20 years, and whether they're
multimillion-dollar homes or whether they're modest
little properties with a country feel, they are our
homes and there is nothing I can see that the
electric company is going to be able to do to
compensate us for the change it will create in the
looks, the marketability, and the value of our homes.

There is an alternate path, it doesn't cross anyone else's front yard, and where it does affect a lot of properties, it's underground. We're the only people up in this little corner that are going to be severely damaged by the primary route.

So, like my neighbor, I'm asking that the

16 1 alternate route be seriously considered. 2 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you. 3 Any questions? 4 MR. SATTERWHITE: No, your Honor. 5 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Anyone else б care to make -- thank you. Please raise your right 7 hand, sir. 8 (Witness sworn.) ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you. 10 Please give your name and address for the record. 11 MR. FIELDS: John Fields. I live at 3219 12 Dublin Road. 13 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you. 14 You may proceed. 15 16 JOHN FIELDS 17 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, 18 testified as follows: 19 DIRECT TESTIMONY 20 MR. FIELDS: I think to be fair with 21 society and -- I think that what we should do is when 22 we put these power plants in urban areas, I think we 23 should put them underground. I think that would be 24 fair to everybody. 25 What we're doing here today is we've got

two different routes and, you know, somebody's going to be a winner and somebody's going to be a loser.

So if we said, Well, why don't we go some way -- if we go to the places where people live, and I've been living at my house for 20 years and I like it and I want to stay there forever, and I don't want to look at a power line sticking up in the air.

I've got large trees there now. I've got a big line there now, and I don't see it because I've got the trees there. They're some of the biggest trees around. But when you put this taller line in there, those trees will never get up that tall, and I don't want to spend the rest of my life looking at a power line.

Some people may not mind living in an environment where they've got crackling during the fog or during the ice. And I think it's going to be worse because we're going to have a higher capacity, so that means it's going to arc a little further.

Have we got any electrical engineers here?

So I think no matter what we do here, let's agree that when it's in somebody's neighborhood, let's put it underground. And if we have to think, well, okay, if for some electrical

capacity, let's be creative and maybe say let's
parallel. Let's use two of them and maybe we can go
underground.

And I don't know -- who's paying for this? Am I allowed to ask that question?

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: I would ask that question when the hearing is over, yes.

MR. FIELDS: Okay. Well, me, I would think that today we're screwing these screwy little light bulbs in there to save energy. We're buying Energy Star refrigerators. I don't know why we need the more capacity.

And who's this for? Ohio State
University? I own 2,000 shares of American Electric
Power. I bought them shares to make money, and I'm
not sure we should be spending all this money. And
maybe if somebody said, "Well, we want Plan A just
based on a cost." Well, how much cost did you put in
there for me and the loss to my livelihood, my
investment, my house.

Some people, they may not plan on staying there the rest of their lives. They may want to sell it, and I don't -- there probably isn't a realtor around that's had somebody come up to them and said, "Hey, I want this big 100-plus power line in my front

```
1 | yard. That's what I want in a house."
```

And I don't think that they're putting

any money into this. So if it's a cost difference of

putting it underground, I think underground -- I

think it would be safer for everybody if it was

underground.

And except for a backhoe operator I don't know who could be hurt by an underground power line.

Now, we could have a hundred-year storm next winter and that could ride that -- maybe ride it down. Who knows. Somebody could blow it up; a terrorist.

Let's just put them all underground and then I don't have to look at them.

And if you want to put them aboveground, put them out in the country. I think that's all I got to say. Thank you.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you,

18 Mr. Fields.

19 Questions?

7

8

10

11

14

15

16

24

25

MR. SATTERWHITE: Nothing, your Honor.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Anyone else?

Yes, ma'am. Would you please raise your right hand.

23 (Witness sworn.)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Thank you.

Please give your name and address for the record.

20 1 NIKKI SPRETNAK 2 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, 3 testified as follows: 4 DIRECT TESTIMONY 5 MS. SPRETNAK: My name is Nikki Spretnak. б I live at 2432 Southway Drive. It's Spretnak, 7 S-p-r-e-t-n-a-k. 8 I don't really have any question. I just 9 don't find much value in a hearing when I don't know 10 what people are talking about, so I would like 11 someone -- the people who have talked about these 12 homes, expensive or not -- to tell me where they are 13 on the map. How can we here understand the 14 implication of what they're talking about unless we 15 see where these homes are. So could someone --16 MS. FIELDS: I'll show you. 17 MS. SPRETNAK: And are all your homes in 18 the same area? Let's show them. That's the purpose 19 of the big thing. 20 MRS. FIELDS: We live right in here. 21 MS. SPRETNAK: Okay. And all three of 22 you were referring to the same area? 23 Uh-huh. MS. FIELDS: 24 MS. SPRETNAK: That's all.

MR. FIELDS: We're not the expensive

25

21 1 ones. 2 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Excuse me, 3 sir. Please don't speak out of the audience. 4 MS. SPRETNAK: Well, who was the one --5 were the homes you were referring to in the same 6 place? 7 MR. M. BRAUNSTEIN: No. 8 MS. SPRETNAK: Where are the homes you 9 were referring to? 10 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Ma'am, he's 11 directing you to the map right now. I believe it is 12 the vacant area of land that, well, it appears as 13 vacant, and he's testified on the stand that that was 14 the case. 15 MS. SPRETNAK: And what's that called, 16 that area? 17 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Ma'am, do you 18 have -- excuse me. Do you have some additional 19 testimony? If you want to ask these people 20 questions, you can do it after the --21 MS. SPRETNAK: I'm just gathering facts. 22 That's the purpose of the hearing is facts.

the hearing is for you to give your statement about

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: The purpose of

23

24

25

the application.

```
1
                               To gather facts.
                MS. SPRETNAK:
                                                  Thanks.
                ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Questions?
3
                Anyone else?
4
                Okay. Seeing no one, at this time we
5
    will adjourn the hearing. First, I wish to thank the
6
    city of Upper Arlington for making this nice facility
7
    available for our hearing this evening. I would
8
    encourage the company employees to stick around for a
9
    little while after the hearing if there are
10
    additional questions on the application itself, and I
11
    will also stick around for a few minutes and see if
    anybody has any questions of me.
12
13
                With that, this evening's hearing will be
    adjourned. We'll take up the adjudicatory hearing on
14
15
    Thursday at the Commission's offices. Thank you.
16
                (The public hearing concluded at 6:39
17
    p.m.)
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Tuesday, July 14, 2009, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes.

__s/Maria DiPaolo Jones_____ Maria DiPaolo Jones, Registered Diplomate Reporter and CRR and Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio.

My commission expires June 19, 2011.

11 (MDJ-3415)

Armstrong & Okey, Inc. Columbus, Ohio 614-224-9481

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

7/28/2009 1:46:25 PM

in

Case No(s). 08-0170-EL-BTX

Summary: Transcript Columbus Southern Power 7/14/09 electronically filed by Mrs. Jennifer Duffer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Jones, Maria DiPaolo Mrs.