BEFORE

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of)	
Columbus Southern Power Company for)	•
Approval of its Electric Security Plan; an)	Case No. 08-917-EL-SSO
Amendment to its Corporate Separation)	
Plan; and the Sale or Transfer of Certain)	
Generating Assets.)	
In the Matter of the Application of)	
Ohio Power Company for Approval of)	
its Electric Security Plan; and an)	Case No. 08-918-EL-SSO
Amendment to its Corporate Separation)	
Plan.	ĺ	

ENTRY

The attorney examiner finds:

- (1) On July 31, 2008, Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company (collectively, AEP) filed an application for a standard service offer (SSO) pursuant to Section 4928.141, Revised Code. The application was for an electric security plan (ESP) in accordance with Section 4928.143, Revised Code.
- (2) By entry issued August 5, 2008, the attorney examiner established an expedited procedural schedule for the proceeding. The procedural entry, at finding (6), specified the following:

In light of the time frame for preparation for the hearing in this matter, the examiner requires that, in the event that any motion is made in this proceeding, any memoranda contra shall be required to be filed within five business days after the service of such motion, and any reply memorandum within three business days after the service of a memorandum contra.

(3) On June 5, 2009, the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel (OCC), the Ohio Hospital Association, the Ohio Manufacturers' Association, the Kroger Company, and the Ohio Energy Group filed a Motion for Refund and Motion for AEP to Cease and

This is to certify th			
accurate and complete	reproduction	of a case file	
document delivered in	the regular co	urse of business	2.
Technician	Date Proces	sed <u>06/39/300</u>	¥

Desist Future Collections from Customers. Ormet Primary Aluminum Corporation (Ormet) filed its memorandum contra on June 23, 2009, several days after the deadline had passed pursuant to the August 5, 2008, expedited procedural schedule. According to the expedited procedural schedule, OCC's reply to Ormet's memorandum contra was due June 25, 2009.

- (4) On June 25, 2009, OCC filed a motion for extension of time to reply to Ormet's memorandum contra, as well as a request for expedited ruling. In its motion, OCC requests a five-day extension of time, until June 30, 2009, to file a reply to Ormet's memorandum contra. OCC cites Ormet's unexpected, late filing of its memorandum contra, as well as various unanticipated staffing issues, to support its motion. OCC also argues that the extension would not prejudice any party to the proceeding.
- (5) As OCC has requested only a five-day extension, under Rule 4901-1-12(C), O.A.C., an immediate ruling may be issued without the filing of memoranda. The attorney examiner finds that the request for a five-day extension of time, until June 30, 2009, to file a reply to Ormet's memorandum contra, is reasonable under the circumstances, and should be granted.

It is, therefore,

ORDERED, That OCC's motion for an extension of time to file a reply to Ormet's memorandum contra is granted, and the reply is due June 30, 2009, consistent with finding (5). It is, further,

ORDERED, That a copy of this entry be served on all parties and interested persons of record in this case.

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

By:

Kimberly W. Bojko

Attorney Examiner

Jeg Vct

Entered in the Journal

JUN 2 9 2009

Reneé J. Jenkins

Secretary