

Emma F. Hand 202.408.7094 ehand@sonnenschein.com

1301 K Street, N.W. Suite 600, East Tower Washington, D.C. 20005-3364 202.408.6400 202,408,6399 fax www.sonnenschein.com

June 22, 2009

VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio **Docketing Division** 180 East Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

PUCO

Enclosed Documents for Filing in Case Nos. 08-917-EL-SSO and 08-918-EL-SSO

Dear Sir or Madam:

Enclosed for filing in Case Nos. 08-917-EL-SSO and 08-918-EL-SSO please find the original and 20 copies of Ormet Primary Aluminum Corporation's Memorandum Contra Motion for Refund to AEP's Customers and Motion for AEP to Cease and Desist Future Collections from Customers by the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel, the Ohio Hospital Association, the Ohio Manufacturers' Association, the Kroger Co. and the Ohio Energy Group, which was filed by facsimile the afternoon of June 22, 2009.

Also enclosed are two extra copies to be date-stamped and returned to me in the enclosed, self-addressed Federal Express envelope. Thank you for your assistance in this matter, if you have any questions please contact me at the number above.

> Sincerely, Em 2 start

Emma F. Hand

Enclosures

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business Date Processed _ .. rechnician.

Brussels

Charlotte

Chicago

Dallas

Kansas City

Los Angeles

New York

Phoenix

St. Louis

San Francisco

Short Hills, N.J.

Sillcon Valley

Washington, D.C.

West Palm Beach

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company for Approval of its Electric Security Plan; an Amendment to its Corporate Separation Plan; and the Sale or Transfer of Certain Generation Assets.	Case No. 08-917-EL-SSO Case No. 08-917-EL-SSO Case No. 08-918-EL-SSO	PUCO	2009 JUN 23 1	RECEIVED-DOCKE
In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company for Approval of its Electric Security Plan; and an Amendment to its Corporate Separation Plan.			H 10: 02	ETING DIV

ORMET PRIMARY ALUMINUM CORPORATION'S MEMORANDUM CONTRA MOTION FOR REFUND TO AEP'S CUSTOMERS AND MOTION FOR AEP TO CEASE AND DESIST FUTURE COLLECTIONS FROM CUSTOMERS BY THE OFFICE OF THE OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL, THE OHIO HOSPITAL ASSOCIATION, THE OHIO MANUFACTURERS' ASSOCIATION, THE KROGER CO., AND THE OHIO ENERGY GROUP

On June 5, 2009, the Ohio Consumers' Counsel, the Ohio Hospital Association, the Ohio Manufacturers' Association, the Kroger Co., and the Ohio Energy Group (the "Movants") filed a Motion for Refund and Motion for AEP to Cease and Desist Future Collections from Customers ("Motion"). While Ormet Primary Aluminum Corporation, ("Ormet") takes no position regarding the Movants' assertions regarding AEP's collections of funds from ratepayers, Ormet files this Memorandum Contra to correct multiple misstatements regarding Ormet's electricity rates made in the Motion and in the Movants' June 17, 2009 Reply to AEP's Memorandum Contra Motion for Refund and Motion for AEP to Cease and Desist Future Collections from Customers ("Reply").

In the Motion and in their Memorandum in Support, the Movants state that the Commission never ruled that the Ormet temporary arrangement was reasonable and in the public

Finding and Order in Case Nos. 08-1338-EL-AAM, et al. Although rehearing is pending in that case, the rates set in the contract are the approved rates on file, and to the extent that the Movants wish to challenge those rates, the appropriate place to do so is in Case Nos. 08-1338-EL-AAM et al, and not as a collateral attack in this case. Ormet urges the Commission not to make any findings in this case on issues currently being litigated in Case Nos. 08-1338-EL-AAM, et al.

The Movants attempt to further muddy the waters in their Reply by claiming that Ormet received a discount under its 2007-2008 contract with AEP Ohio and that it is currently receiving a discount of \$50 million.² Neither assertion is true. From 2000 to 2006, Ormet purchased power from the wholesale market, and was not a retail customer of AEP. In August of 2005, Ormet sought to return to AEP's service territory in Case No. 05-1057-EL-CSS. AEP opposed Ormet's return, and in order to settle that case and gain re-entry into the AEP system, Ormet entered into a Stipulation with AEP and Commission Staff under which Ormet agreed to pay above-tariff rates to AEP Ohio for two years, from January 1, 2007 to December 31, 2008.³ While AEP was receiving delta revenues (defined as the difference between Ormet's abovertariff rate and a "market rate" set by the Commission) under this Stipulation, Ormet was not receiving a discount, but was paying higher rates than other industrial customers of AEP Ohio were paying under AEP Ohio's tariffs.

As the expiration of this contract approached, it became evident that while AEP and Ormet were close to agreement on a replacement agreement, such an agreement would not be in place prior to the expiration date set forth in the contract. To bridge the gap between

¹ Motion at p. 2, Memorandum in Support at p. 5.

² Reply at pp. 2-5.

³ See Stipulation and Recommendation, PUCO Case No. 05-1057-EL-CSS, filed Oct. 20, 2006.

contracts, AEP and Ormet entered into a temporary agreement, filed and approved by the Commission in Case Nos. 08-1338-EL-AAM, that would allow Ormet to pay the AEP Ohio GS-4 Tariff Rate in effect in December 2008, until such time as both a new arrangement (currently being litigated in Case No. 09-119-EL-AEC) and AEP's ESP rates are approved by the Commission. Thus, in 2009, prior to the April 1 effective date of AEP's new ESP rates, Ormet received no discount, but paid the same rates as other customers subject to the AEP Ohio GS-4 rates. While this may have resulted in a loss of revenue to AEP Ohio (as compared to the above-tariff rates Ormet was paying under the 2007-2008 contract), paying the AEP Ohio GS-4 tariff rates for industrial customers does not constitute a "discount" to Ormet. Since April 1, 2009, the only discount Ormet has received has been the difference between the pre-ESP GS-4 tariff rates and the ESP rates that became effective on April 1, which has resulted in a discount of approximately \$4.2 million through the month of May. Thus, the Movants' assertions that Ormet is receiving a \$50 million discount is simply untrue.

Finally, in the Motion, the Movants request that the Commission block any future collections of Ormet delta revenues from customers.⁴ The Movants' request that AEP be forever prohibited from collecting delta revenues related to Ormet overreaches and appears to be an effort to preempt

other Commission proceedings directly involving Ormet, in which most of the Movants are actively participating as Intervenors. The issue of whether AEP Ohio should be permitted to collect 100 percent of the delta revenues generated by the Unique Arrangement Ormet has proposed in Case No. 09-119- EL-AEC is currently being litigated in that case.

⁴ Motion at pp. 2-3.

Ormet urges the Commission not to make a ruling in this proceeding that would inadvertently predetermine the outcome of the delta revenues issue in Case No. 09-119- EL-AEC.

WHEREFORE, Ormet respectfully requests that in issuing its ruling on the Motion, that the Commission not address the issues of (1) the reasonableness of the temporary arrangement between AEP Ohio and Ormet and (2) the ability of AEP Ohio to collect delta revenues under a Unique Arrangement with Ormet. These issues are currently being litigated in Case Nos. 08-1338-EL-AAM, *et al.*, and 09-119-EL-AEC, respectively, and should be addressed

Respectfully submitted,

Clinton A. Vince

Emma F. Hand

Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal LLP

1301 K Street NW

Suite 600, East Tower

Washington, DC 20005

202.408.8004 Telephone

202.408.6399 Facsimile

cvince@sonnenschein.com

ehand@sonnenschein.com

Attorneys for Ormet Primary Aluminum Corporation

Dated: June 22, 2009

in those proceedings.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of Ormet Primary Aluminum Corporation's Motion to

Intervene and Memorandum in Support were served by U.S. Mail upon counsel identified below
for all parties of record this 22nd day of June, 2009.

Em D. OCol Emma F. Hand Marvin Resnik
American Electric Power Service Corp.
1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor
Columbus, OH 43215

Phone: 614-716-1606 Fax: 614-716-2950

Jacqueline Roberts
Ohio Consumers' Counsel
10 West Broad Street
Suite 1800
Columbus, OH 43215
Phone: 614-466-8574

Thomas O'Brien Attorney-At-Law Bricker & Eckler LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus, OH 43215 Phone: 614-227-2335 Fax: 614-227-2390

Fax: 614-466-9475

Daniel Conway
Porter Wright Morris & Arthur LLP
41 SOUTH HIGH STREET
COLUMBUS, OH 43215
Phone: 614-227-2270

Fax: 614-227-2100

Douglas M. Mr. Mancino McDermott Will & Emery LLP 2049 Century Park East, Suite 3800 Los Angeles, CA 90067 Phone: 310-551-9323 Fax 310-277-4730

Grace C. Wung
McDermott Will & Emery LLP
600 Thirteenth Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20005
Phone 202-756-8160
Fax 202-756-8087

Scott H. Debroff Attorney At Law Anderson & Smigel River Chase Center 4431 North Front Street Harrisburg, PA 17110 Phone: 717-234-2401 Fax: 717-234-3611

Richard Reese Attorney At Law 10 West Broad Street Suite 1800 Columbus, OH 43215-3485 Phone: 614-466-3485

Kevin Schmidt 33 North High Street Columbus, OH 43215 Phone: 614-224-5111 Fax: 614-224-1012

Maureen Grady Office of Consumers' Counsel 10 W. Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, OH 43215-3485 Phone 614-466-8574

Teresa Orahood Bricker & Eckler LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus, OH 43215-4291 Phone: 614-227-4821 Fax: 614-227-2390

Benjamin Edwards Attorney At Law One East Livingston Avenue Columbus, OH 43215 Phone: 614-221-1311 Mrs. Jennifer Duffer Armstrong & Okey, Inc. 222 East Town Street 2nd Floor Columbus, OH 43215 Phone: 614-224-9481

Fax: 614-224-5724

Terry Etter Ohio Consumers' Counsel 10 W. Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, OH 43215

Stephen M. Howard Vorys, Sater Seymour and Pease LLP 52 East Gay Street P. O. Box 1008 Columbus, OH 43216-1008 Phone: 614-464-5401

Stephen J. Romeo Smigel Anderson & Sacks River Chase Office Center 4431 North Front Street Harrissburg, PA 17110 Phone: 717-234-2401

Fax: 717-234-3211

Fax: 614-719-4772

Richard Sites Attorney At Law Ohio Hospital Association 155 East Broad Street, 15th Floor Columbus, OH 43215-3620 Phone: 614-221-7614

Craig g. Goodman National Energy Marketers Association 3333 K Street N.W., Suite 110 Washington, DC 20007 Phone: (202) 333-3288

Fax: (202) 333-3266

Fax: 614-221-4771

Cynthia A. Fonner Constellation Energy Group, Inc. 550 W. Washington St., Suite 300 Chicago, IL 60661 Phone: 312-704-8518 Fax: 312-795-9286

John Bentine Chester, Wilcox & Saxbe, LLP 65 East State Street, Suite 1000 Columbus, OH 43215-4213

Lisa McAlister McNees, Wallace & Nurik 21 East State Street, 17th Floor Columbus, OH 43215-4228 Phone: 614-719-5957 Fax: 614-469-4653

Langdon D. Bell Bell & Royer Co., LPA 33 South Grant Avenue Columbus, OH 43215 Phone 614-228-0704 614-228-0201

Fax: 614-719-5146

Mr. Michael J. Settineri Vorys, Sater Seymour and Pease LLP 52 East Gay Street P. O. Box 1008 Columbus, OH 43216-1008 Phone: 614-464-5462

Daniel J. Neilsen McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC Fifth Third Center, 17th FL. 21 East State Street Columbus, OH 43215

Steven T. Nourse Senior Counsel American Electric Power Company 1 Riverside Plaza Columbus, OH 43215 Phone: 614-716-1608

Fax: 614-716-2014

Samuel C. Randazzo, Counsel of Record Lisa McAlister Joseph M. Clark McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC 21 East State Street 17th Floor Columbus, OH 43215-4228