

FAX
76 South Main Street
Akron, Ohio 44306

Ebony L Miller Altorney 330-384-5969 Fax: 330-384-3875

June 16, 2009

Via Federal Express and Facsimile (614-466-0313)

Ms. Renee J. Jenkins
Director, Administration Department
Secretary to the Commission
Docketing Division
The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 Broad Street
Columbus, OH 43215-3793

Dear Ms. Jenkins:

Re: Direct Expert Testimony of Kimberly K. Vujas Filed on Behalf of Ohio Edison Company Susan Aikey Walker v. Ohio Edison Company

Case No. 08-1203-EL-CSS

Enclosed for filing, please find the original and twelve (12) copies of the Direct Expert Testimony of Kimberly K. Vujas Filed on Behalf of Ohio Edison Company regarding the above-referenced case. Please file the enclosed Testimony, time-stamping the two extras and returning them to the undersigned in the enclosed envelope.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter. Please contact me if you have any questions concerning this matter.

Very truly yours,

Ebony L. Miller

ELM/jhp Enclosures

cc: Parties of Record

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.

Technician Date Processed 6/10/19

#### **BEFORE** THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

SUSAN AIKEY-WA <b>LKE</b> R,	) CASE NO. 08-1203-EL-CSS		
Complainant,	)		
<b>v.</b>	į́		
OHIO EDISON COMPANY,	)		
Defendant.	) )·		
	)		

## DIRECT EXPERT TESTIMONY OF KIMBERLY K. YUJAS

### FILED ON BEHALF OF OHIO EDISON COMPANY

Company Exhibit 1

Ebony L. Miller (077063)

Attorney

FirstEnergy Service Company

76 South Main Street Akron, Ohio 44308

Phone: 330-384-5969

Fax: 330-384-3875

Attorneys for Ohio Edison Company

б

#### 1 Q: Please state your name and occupation for the record?

- 2 A: My name is Kimberly K. Vujas, and I am an employee of FirstEnergy Service Company ("FirstEnergy").
- 4 Q: How long have you worked for FirstEnergy?
  - A: I began my association with FirstEnergy in 2001 and have worked for certain FirstEnergy's affiliates, including Ohio Edison Company, which is also referred to as Ohio Edison, since then.

#### O: What are your professional qualifications?

A. I am a supervisor at the FirstEnergy contact center in Akron, Ohio. I attended the University of Akron for 2 years, focusing on a Business Administration curriculum. I have also completed several FirstEnergy trainings courses dealing with our SAP computer system, analyzing customer accounts, leadership skills, and many other topics related to customer accounts and customer service. I am extremely familiar with the manner in which FirstEnergy trains its personnel to handle customer inquiries and analyze customer accounts. I am also extremely familiar with PUCO regulations and internal company policies and procedures as they relate to the complaint before the PUCO.

After beginning my employment with FirstEnergy in 2001, I worked in the FirstEnergy contact center as a customer service representative where I handled customer phone inquiries and billing issues. I transitioned to the FirstEnergy contact center management team in April 2007 and was promoted to the position of Coordinator. I became responsible for overseeing the daily work of 14 customer service representatives. In December 2007, I was promoted to the position of Supervisor at the FirstEnergy contact center. As a supervisor, I currently oversee the work of 15 customer service representatives. I also answer customer's escalated account issues and respond to informal inquires from the OCC and PUCO. I work closely with the FirstEnergy compliance department to ensure timely and accurate responses to the OCC and PUCO. I also strive to ensure that Ohio Edison provides accurate and quality service to FirstEnergy customers.

# Q: Based upon your experience, what can you tell the Commission about Ohio Edison's Customer Service and Energy Delivery?

- A: The FirstEnergy Ohio Edison Customer Service/Contact Centers answer phone inquiries from our customers. Our representatives are trained to analyze customer accounts, follow PUCO regulations and internal company policies and procedures, and answer customer inquiries in a timely and accurate manner. Ohio Edison strives to provide our customer's with accurate and professional service.
- Q: Now, let me direct your attention to the Complaint that brings us to hearing

39 40		on June 23, 2009. Are you aware that Ms. Aikey-Walker filed a complaint with the Commission on November 3, 2008?
41	A:	Yes. I am.
42	Q:	Have you familiarized yourself with Complainant's Complaint?
43 44 45	A:	Yes. As I understand Complainant claims (i) she was overcharged for service rendered from February 14, 2008 to April 2008, (ii) her meter was not read correctly; and (iii) her invoice did not properly reflect her supplier discount.
46	Q.	Are you familiar with Ms. Aikey-Walker's usage and billing history?
47	A.	Yes, I am.
48 49	Q.	How does Ohio Edison record and track a customer's usage and billing history?
50 51	A.	Ohio Edison, in its normal course of business, tracks customer usage and billing information in an electronic database referred to as SAP.
52 53	Q.	Can historical usage and billing information be printed out and provided to a customer?
54	A.	Yes.
55 56	Q.	I am handing you what has been pre-marked Company's Exhibit 2. Can you please identify Company's Exhibit 2 for the record?
57 58 59	A.	Yes. This document is an electronic print out from SAP containing a detailed account summary of usage and billing for customer Susan Aikey-Walker from 5/03/2006 through 6/2/ 2008.
60	Q.	Please explain this detailed account summary.
61 62 63	A.	The detailed account summary provides a number of pieces of information, including but not limited to, the customers consumption, applicable rate, and billing amount.
64	Q.	What was Ms. Aikey-Walker's applicable rate?
65 66 67 68 69	A.	From approximately June 30, 1996 to July 2, 2007, Ms. Aikey-Walker was on Rate 11-B. From July 3, 2007 to January 1, 2008 service was not in Ms. Aikey-Walker's name. However, on January 2, 2008 service went back into Ms. Aikey-Walker's name but this time under Rate 10-A. Currently, service is in Ms. Aikey-Walker's name and she is served under Ohio Edison's Residential Rate.
70 71	Q.	Based on your experience and analysis what have you observed with Ms. Aikey-Walker's account?

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

93

94

95 96

97

98 99

100

101

102

108

- 72 A. Generally, Ms. Aikey-Walker's account, like most customers with electric heat,
  73 tended to significantly increase during the winter months. Although, most
  74 recently it appears that she has curtailed her usage in the winter months usage still
  75 remains much higher than in the summer months.
- 76 Q. You mentioned Rate 11-B. What is the significance of that Rate?
- 77 A. Ms. Aikey-Walker is an all-electric customer which means Ohio Edison provides 78 her electric and heating needs. Rate 11-B was an all electric rate offered up until 79 12/31/2006.
- 80 Q. Why was Rate 11-B discontinued?
  - A. Rate 11-B was a discounted rate introduced at a time when there was only a limited competitive electricity market and the electric utility owned the generating plants. Since that time, the cost of producing and delivering electricity has increased dramatically. As a result, this discounted rate is no longer an accurate reflection of the true cost to provide the service. In addition, Rate 11-B was a declining block rate, which means that the rate decreased as the total consumption increased. This old rate did not encourage conservation and was eliminated.
    - Q. What was the practical effect of Ms. Aikey-Walker being on Rate 11-B?
- 4. Under 11-B, Ms. Aikey-Walker could have a relatively high consumption but still have a relatively low bill. For example, on 3/2/2007 Ms. Aikey-Walker had a consumption of 7327 kWh which billed at \$370.85. However, today, a customer with a consumption of 7327 kWh would pay approximately \$634.41.
  - Q. You mentioned that service went back into Ms. Aikey-Walker's name. What was the effect of service coming out of Ms. Aikey-Walker's name and then approximately 5 months later new service being put into Ms. Aikey-Walker's name?
  - A. A customer only qualifies for any given rate for the period in which the person is a customer. When Ms. Aikey-Walker discontinued her service she was no longer the customer of record. However, when Ms. Aikey-Walker re-applied for new service she was only eligible for then existing rates. Stated simply, Rate 11-B had been discontinued and was no longer available to new customers.
  - Q. What do you mean by "then existing rates"?
- A. For example Rate 11-B expired on 12/31/2006, current customers under that rate were grandfathered-in, or stated differently were able to keep that same rate. However, the rate was no longer offered to new customers. When Ms. Aikey-Walker cancelled her service she in effect terminated her eligibility under the terminated rate.
  - Q. Are there any customers on Rate 11-B today?

109	A.	No.
110 111	Q.	You state that on January 2, 2008 service went back into Ms. Aikey-Walker's name under Rate 10-A, what is the significance of Rate 10-A?
112 113	A.	Rate 10-A was the Standard Residential Rate. Customers under this rate did not receive a discount for having electric heat.
114 115	Q.	In your expert opinion was Ms. Aikey-Walker overcharged for service rendered from February 14, 2008 to April 2008?
116 117 118 119	A.	No. I reviewed Ms. Aikey-Walker's account. I examined her past consumption including, taking a close look at the February to April of 2008 time period. I reviewed the applicable rate in 2008 compared it to the applicable historic rate and I believe Ms. Aikey-Walker was billed appropriately.
120 121 122	Q.	In Ms. Aikey-Walker's Complaint she states that she was out of town for the period of February 14, 2008 to April 2008. Please explain how consumption can remain high even though Ms. Aikey-Walker may not be in the house.
123 124 125 126	Α.	It is simple. Unless a customer takes affirmative steps to reduce usage, consumption remains relatively the same. For example, the water heater, refrigerator, air conditioner or furnace, lights, and other appliances and electronics still draw on energy,
127 128	Q.	Is it your opinion that Ms. Aikey-Walker's consumption could be the same whether she is at home or out of town?
129	Α.	Yes.
130	Q.	Could Ms. Aikey-Walker's meter have been misread?
131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141	A.	No. Ms. Aikey-Walker's meter has been thoroughly tested. First, in response to a high bill complaint by Ms. Aikey-Walker on February 4, 2008, Ohio Edison dispatched a field representative to re-read her meter which was verified as accurate. And then subsequently, in response to a July 11, 2008 call from Ms. Aikey-Walker expressing additional concern with her bill and meter, Ohio Edison ordered a meter test. As part of the testing process, a new meter was installed at Ms. Aikey-Walker's property on July 14, 2008 and the old meter was removed from the property to be tested. The old meter was sent to the Company's meter testing lab for testing which was completed on July 15, 2008. The old meter tested at an accuracy rate of 99.90% which is well within the allowable limit, plus or minus 2% of 100% established by the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("PUCO").
143 144	Q.	Did Ms. Aikey-Walker receive any written communication from the Company notifying her of the results of her meter test?

145		A.	Yes. She received a letter from the Company
146 147		Q.	I am handing you what has been pre-marked Company's Exhibit 3. Can you please identify Company's Exhibit 3 for the record?
148 149		A.	Yes. This is a copy of the letter sent to Ms. Aikey-Walker on July 15, 2008 notifying her of the results of her meter test.
150		Q.	Was Ms. Aikey-Walker overcharged?
151 152	ĭ	A.	Based upon my training, experience, and analysis of Ms. Aikey-Walker's account, I can state with certainty that she was not overcharged or improperly billed.
153 154		Q.	Ms. Aikey-Walker also alleges that her invoice did not properly reflect her supplier discount. Are you aware of this complaint?
155 156 157 158 159 160 161		A.	Yes. As I understand, Ms. Aikey-Walker requested electric generation service from an alternative supplier. On February 7, 2008, Ohio Edison sent Mrs. Aikey-Walker a letter notifying her that her electric generation supplier would change on her next actual meter reading on March 4, 2008. As scheduled, at some point in the course of the business day of March 4, 2008, the Company switched Ms. Aikey-Walker's supplier. The billing period beginning March 5, 2008 reflects the supplier discount.
162		Q.	So did Ms. Aikey-Walker timely receive her supplier discount?
163 164		A.	Yes. The discount was applied the day after (first full business day) the account was transferred to the alternative supplier.
165		Q.	Did Ohio Edison treat the Complainant different from any other customer?
166		A.	No.
167		Q:	Ms. Vujas, is there anything that you would like to add to your testimony?
168 169 170 171		A:	Yes. Ohio Edison at all times complied with its policies and procedures, as well as its tariffs and the PUCO rules and regulations. In addition, Ohio Edison has worked diligently to answer all Complainant's questions and address each of her concerns.

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

THIS IS TO CERTIFY that a copy of the foregoing Direct Expert Testimony of Kimberly K. Vujas filed on behalf of Ohio Edison Company was served by regular U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, to Susan Aikey-Walker, 1617 Harmony Road, Fairlawn, Ohio 44333, this 16th day of June, 2009.

Ebony I.∕Miller

Attomey