```
1
        BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO
3
    In the Matter of:
                          : Case No. 08-593-TR-CVF
    Gregory Tincher.
4
    Notice of Apparent
5
    Violation and Intent to
    Assess Forfeiture.
6
7
                         PROCEEDINGS
8
    before Mr Daniel E. Fullin, Attorney Examiner, at the
    Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad
10
    Street, Room 11-C, Columbus, Ohio, called at 10:00
11
    a.m. on Thursday, May 28, 2009.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
                    ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.
              222 East Town Street, Second Floor
23
                  Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201
               (614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481
24
                     Fax - (614) 224-5724
25
```

		2
1	APPEARANCES:	
2	Mr. Gregory Tincher 14354 Hillcrest Road Mount Orab, Ohio 45154	
4	Pro se.	
5	Richard Cordray, Ohio Attorney General Duane W. Luckey, Senior Deputy	
6	Attorney General Public Utilities Section	
7	Mr. Stephen A. Reilly Mr. Thomas Lindgren	
8	180 East Broad Street, 9th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793	
9	On behalf of the Transportation Staff of	
10	the Public Utilities Commission.	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

				3
1	INDEX			
2				
3	WITNESS	P	AGE	
4	John Canty		F	
5	Direct Examination by Mr. Lindgren Cross-Examination by Mr. Tincher		5 11	
6	Douglas W. Hostetler Direct Examination by Mr. Reilly Cross-Examination by Mr. Tincher			
7			17 31	
8	Gregory Tincher Cross-Examination by Mr. Reilly			
9			33	
10				
11	INDEX			
12	STAFF EXHIBITS	IDFD	ADMTD	
13	1 - Request for Conference	6	38	
14	2 - Request for Hearing	7	38	
15	3 - Notice of Preliminary Determination	7	38	
16	4 - Fine Schedule	9	38	
17	5 - Driver/Vehicle Examination Report	22	38	
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

1 Thursday Morning Session, 2 May 28, 2009. 3 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: The Commission 5 has scheduled for hearing at this time and place case No. 08-593-TR-CVF, in the matter of Gregory Tincher, 7 Notice of Apparent Violation and Intent to Assess Forfeiture. 9 My name is Daniel E. Fullin. I'm an 10 attorney examiner for the Commission assigned to the 11 case. 12 May I have the appearance on behalf of 13 the staff. 14 MR. REILLY: Thank you, your Honor. 15 behalf of the staff of the Public Utility Commission 16 of Ohio, Richard Cordray, Ohio Attorney General, 17 Duane Luckey, section chief, Stephen Reilly and Tom 18 Lindgren, assistant attorneys general, 180 East Broad 19 Street, Ninth Floor, Columbus, Ohio. 20 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you. 21 And if you would like to give your name 22 and address for the record. 23 THE RESPONDENT: My name is Gregory 24 Tincher, 15354 Hillcrest Road, Mount Orab, Ohio. 25 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: What we would

- 1 like to do first -- does either party have something
- 2 to say before we get started on anything in terms of
- an opening statement or anything? If not, if you
- 4 | would like to call your first witness.
- 5 MR. LINDGREN: Thank you. The staff
- 6 calls John Canty to the stand.
- 7
- 8 JOHN CANTY
- 9 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was
- 10 examined and testified as follows:
- 11 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 12 By Mr. Lindgren:

- Q. Good morning, Mr. Canty.
- A. Good morning.
- Q. Can you state your name for the record?
- A. John J. Canty, C-A-N-T-Y.
- Q. Where are you employed?
 - A. Public Utilities Commission of Ohio.
- 19 O. And what are your duties with the Public
- 20 Utilities Commission?
- A. I am the assistant chief of the
- 22 compliance division.
- Q. What are your duties in that position?
- A. I supervise the employees who are
- 25 | responsible for sending out the notice of the --

- 1 | forfeiture notices and conducting the conferences.
 - Q. How long have you been in that position?
 - A. Approximately 16 years.
- Q. What sort of training have you received for your position?
- A. Multiple training in Federal Motor

 Carrier Safety rules, haz-mat rules, cargo tank

 inspections, radioactive inspections, various

 courses.
- Q. So are you familiar with how the
 Commission staff assesses forfeitures in motor
 carrier cases?
 - A. Yes.

3

13

- Q. Thank you.
- MR. LINDGREN: Let the record show I am handing the witness Staff Exhibit 1.
- 17 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
 - Q. Mr. Canty, do you recognize this exhibit?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. Can you explain what this is?
- A. This is a request for conference from
 Mr. Tincher regarding the case at hand,
- ²³ OH3256004572D.
- Q. And what is the purpose of this document?
- 25 A. Once we send out a forfeiture notice to

the responsible party, they have the option of either paying the forfeiture or requesting a conference in writing, and Mr. Tincher requested a conference with this document here.

O. Thank you.

MR. LINDGREN: Let the record show I will be handing the witness what has been marked as Staff Exhibit 2.

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

- Q. Mr. Canty, do you recognize the document?
- A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Can you explain what it is?
- A. This is the request from Mr. Tincher in the same case, a request for administrative hearing. This was dated May 12th of 2008. This is a document he would have sent to the PUCO docketing division, which is required for the responsible party to make a request in writing if they would like to have an administrative hearing.
 - Q. Thank you.
- MR. LINDGREN: Let the record show I am handing the witness what I have marked for identification as Staff Exhibit 3.

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

Q. Mr. Canty, do you recognize this

1 document?

3

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- A. Yes.
 - Q. And can you explain what this is?
- A. Yes. This is called a Notice of

 Preliminary Determination. This is notice that is

 sent to the responsible party following the

 conference. It was sent to Mr. Tincher dated May 5,

 leading to the conference was

 held, and as a result of the conference, we intend to

 recommend that the fine stay at \$100.
- Q. How would this recommended fine of \$100 have been calculated?
 - A. We have an assessment chart that we use based on the violations, Federal Motor Carrier violations. They're divided into four categories depending on where the -- where on the chart the violation is placed. The forfeiture amount is determined from that. A seat belt violation actually is I believe in category No. 4, and that is a straight \$100 fine.
 - Q. Thank you.
 - MR. LINDGREN: Let the record now reflect

 I'm handing the witness what has been marked as

 State's Exhibit 4.
- 25 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

- Q. Mr. Canty, do you recognize the document?
- A. Yes, I do.

1

3

18

22

25

- Q. Is this the fine schedule you previously referred to in your testimony?
- A. Yes. This is the fine schedule for out of service violations that the compliance division uses, has groups 1, 2, 3 and 4 on the second page.

 Broup 4 you'll find a seat belt violation is a
- Q. All right. So the recommended fine of \$100, was that amount calculated in accordance with the standard procedures used by the Public Utilities Commission?
- A. Yes, it was.

straight \$100 fine.

- Q. Is that the amount you would recommend today that the Commission assess against this respondent?
 - A. Yes, I would.
- Q. Mr. Canty, are you familiar with the
 standards used by the Commercial Motor Vehicle Safety
 alliance?
 - A. Yes, I am.
- Q. Is this recommended fine amount consistent with those standards?
 - A. Yes, it is.

```
1
                MR. LINDGREN:
                               Thank you.
                I have no further questions for the
3
    witness.
                THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER:
                                         Let me ask you,
    maybe you said this earlier, how does -- this is a
5
6
    Commission document. The compliance division came up
7
    with this chart.
                THE WITNESS:
                              Correct.
9
                THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: How did they
10
    arrive at the maximum fine? I mean, when they put
11
    this together, how did they come up with the numbers
12
    that ended up being on the chart?
13
                THE WITNESS: We referenced the CVSA,
14
    Commercial Vehicle Safety Alliance, recommended fine
15
    schedule. That's a national organization of
16
    transportation specialists, enforcement specialists
17
    who recommend what fines Federal Motor Carrier Safety
18
    Regulation fines should be. We followed their
19
    quidelines when we put this together.
20
                THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay.
21
                Mr. Tincher, do you have any questions
22
    for Mr. Canty?
23
                THE RESPONDENT: Yes, I do.
24
25
```

CROSS-EXAMINATION

By The Respondent:

- Q. First, I mean, I was wearing my seat belt. I mean, I didn't have my shoulder strap on.

 That was apparent. I mean, I don't know, where do you assess that to fine me for not wearing a seat belt when I had the seat belt on?
 - A. Well, you were cited for violation of 392.16, use of seat belts. I'll just read what it says. A commercial motor vehicle which has a seat belt assembly installed at the driver's seat shall not be driven unless the driver has properly restrained himself with the seat belt assembly."

So the seat belt must be worn and it must be worn properly. If it is just a seat belt and only the belt is worn, if it's a the belt and shoulder assembly, the belt and the shoulder harness must be worn.

- Q. I mean, does that state that in the safety regulation?
 - A. Yes, it does.
 - Q. The proper way to wear the seat belt?
- A. Unless the driver has properly restrained himself.
 - Q. Properly restrained myself. I had the

seat belt on.

- A. But not the shoulder harness.
- Q. It doesn't state that's the proper way, the proper way to wear the seat belt. I'm sorry, I mean, that's the whole case of it. I mean, if I wasn't wearing my seat belt, I wouldn't be here. I'd pay the fine. It would be no problem.

MR. LINDGREN: Objection. The witness is testifying now rather than asking questions.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Well, I mean, he may not be real experienced in how to get the evidence in at the right time. There will be a point where he can provide the same kind of testimony and you can have a chance to object. But I'm going to allow it now for purposes of getting to the point he's trying to get to. I think you will have a chance to interrogate him about anything he's saying now in terms of the facts when it is his time to testify.

THE RESPONDENT: I mean, as I was saying,
I am of short stature, and when I'm in that seat
belt, the seat belt cuts into my neck, the shoulder
harness. I never, never in my truck or my vehicle do
not wear a seat belt. But I had the shoulder harness
pulled down. I'm guilty of that, I admit it here,

but I'm not guilty of not wearing the seat belt as
the ticket I'm being opposed on.

And, furthermore, I've asked him right there in the safety regulation book, there's no proper way stating in that regulation book, I read it, too, that states how you have to wear that seat belt other than having it on, clicked. Click it or ticket. That's exactly what it says. That's my statement.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. I'm learning some of the facts because I didn't know them ahead of time, except what was in the letter in the file.

So basically what this come down to from your point of view is that you were wearing a seat belt that had a shoulder belt, but you didn't have the shoulder belt on. You had the seat belt on.

THE RESPONDENT: Yes, sir. I had it pulled down, but I had the seat belt on.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: And the testimony from the witness was citing to the rule.

Just for my edification, could you read that one more time about what it says about, if it says anything, about wearing a shoulder harness in addition to the seat belt

THE WITNESS: "A commercial vehicle which has a seat belt assembly installed at the driver's seat shall not be driven unless the driver has properly restrained himself with the seat belt assembly."

Seat belt assembly would mean the belt and the harness. That is the seat belt assembly. It doesn't say seat belt.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Is that defined anywhere else in that code? Since they use that word, is there a definition section?

I'm sure it is somewhere in some federal document somewhere that the seat belt assembly includes the belt and the harness. Knowing the fed, the federal government, and how they define every possible thing in the world, I am certain there's some code or some document out there that defines a seat belt assembly as the seat belt and the shoulder harness. It is not contained in this section right here, but I don't think that negates the violation.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Let me ask you, are you going to have other testimony about the citation itself? Is it going to be presented?

MR. REILLY: Yes.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I'll just wait to hear on that then.

MR. REILLY: Generally we put on the officer first and then Mr. Canty. We have taken this out of order because Mr. Canty has a time constraint here.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay.

THE RESPONDENT: Going back to the book, I've read that book. I got that book at home. I went through the whole thing on the seat belt. It doesn't say nothing about the shoulder harness. It says the seat belt. Whether it says assembly or not, that's assembly.

I even went on the Internet and looked. It says nothing on there about it. The proper -- exact proper way that the federal government wants you to wear that seat belt, it says wear the seat belt. If there's a seat belt in that vehicle, it must be worn.

My whole argument of the thing, I was wearing my seat belt and I wasn't wearing the shoulder strap. I had it pulled down. I mean, I said that right here on record.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Just to show you that I'm following along what is happening, it seems

```
1
    to me that the issue presented is that you're saying
2
    what you just said, and that the staff position is
    that the rule says more than seat belt, seat belt
    assembly, and seat belt assembly is defined as the
5
    seat belt with the shoulder harness. You have a
6
    difference of opinion on that, but that seems to be
7
    the point here of the case.
                MR. REILLY: If I might, just to clarify,
9
    it essentially is the same thing, the rule says seat
10
    belt assembly. In addition, the staff has suggested,
11
    quote, unquote, seat belt is really a term of art and
12
    refers to the restraint and in any motor vehicle.
13
    Whether it's an automobile or whether it's a
14
    commercial motor vehicle, seat belt refers to the
15
    restraint of the passengers in the motor vehicle.
                                                         We
16
    would suggest that also. We will get into that a
17
    little more.
18
                THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER:
                                         Okav.
19
                Do you have any other questions of this
20
    witness?
21
                THE RESPONDENT:
                                  No.
22
                THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you for
23
    your testimony.
24
                You may call your next witness.
25
                MR. REILLY:
                             We will call Douglas
```

1 Hostetler.

_

6

11

3 DOUGLAS HOSTETLER

- being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was
- 5 examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

- ⁷ By Mr. Reilly:
- Q. Good morning, Mr. Hostetler. Could you
 state your name and spell it for the record?
- 10 A. Douglas W. Hostetler, H-O-S-T-E-T-L-E-R.
 - Q. What is your business address?
- A. I am assigned to the Ohio State Highway

 Patrol here in Columbus, but I'm at the Massillon

 District Headquarters, State Route 172 in Massillon,
- 15 Ohio.
- Q. Would you tell us by whom you are employed and what your position is?
- 18 A. I'm a motor carrier enforcement
- inspector. I'm employed by the Ohio State Highway
- 20 Patrol, the division of license and commercial
- 21 standards. My subheadquarters is the district
- headquarters in Massillon, Ohio.
- Q. And how long have you been in that
- 24 position?

25

A. Approximately six years.

- Q. Were you employed by the patrol prior to entering this position?
- A. Yes. I was employed by the Ohio State
 Highway Patrol as a dispatcher for years prior to
 employment here in this division. And prior to that
 I was a deputy sheriff assigned to road patrol in
 Holmes County, Ohio, from 1982 to 1986.
 - Q. Okay. So you have been in law enforcement and traffic control your entire career?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.

1

2

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

- Q. And your entire career has been about how long?
 - A. Approximately 27 years.
 - Q. Now in your current position, which you said you have been in about six years --
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. -- do you have occasion to enforce the commercial motor vehicle safety rules?
- A. Yes, I do.
 - Q. And have you had any training in those rules?
- A. Yes, sir, I have. Initially upon my
 transfer into this division there was a five-week
 training period consisting of the level one Federal
 Motor Carrier Safety standards, as well as haz-mat,

- cargo tank, regular haz-mat and various other driving schools and other updates throughout the next six years after that.
 - Q. Has any of that training involved the requirement involved in this case, to wear a seat belt?
 - A. Yes, sir.

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And a number of the training programs involve that or discuss that requirement, or just a few?
- A. A number of them within the commercial division also, as well as just in law enforcement in general.
 - Q. Okay. Now, you have heard here in the hearing from Mr. Tincher. You have met Mr. Tincher?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Could you describe that meeting for us, please?
 - A. It was on a commercial motor vehicle inspection on US 30 on the roadside in western Wayne County, Ohio.
 - Q. What occasioned that inspection?
 - A. Well, I was stationed in the median and observed Mr. Tincher drive by. I observed he didn't have any belt visible as he drove by. He was wearing

- a tan tank top and a dark colored belt. In the absence of that, I initiated a motor vehicle stop as evidenced on the Aspen report I have in front of me.
- Q. Can you describe a little more what happened after you stopped Mr. Tincher?

- A. Right. Upon my first contact with Mr. Tincher, I walked up to the truck and greeted him. I noticed that he was wearing his belt properly at that time. When I say properly, his shoulder belt was engaged across his shoulder, different than what I initially observed.
- I greeted him and told him the reason he I stopped him, I was going to conduct a commercial motor vehicle safety inspection, and I proceeded to do so.
- Q. Okay. What happened as a result of that inspection?
- A. I noted two violations, one the initial violation for the stop was the lack of a presence of a seat belt as he drove by, and number two was that the license plate on the power unit of the truck was different from that that should appear on it.
 - Q. And then what happened?
- A. After doing the complete safety
 inspection and obtaining some documents including his

CDL and medical card registration, bill of lading, I returned to my patrol car, and I had a laptop computer where I fill out this Aspen report which I have here now.

- Q. Can you tell us what the Aspen report is?
- A. It's formatted document that I fill out that basically is designed to document any violations that I observe. It records the driver's information, date and time of violation, the vehicles involved, both power unit and trailer, general cargo description, any violations listed.

There's a section here that I also put notes down and I record those in case I would ever be questioned in regards to different items on my inspection here to refresh my memory.

- Q. Okay. And where is this information stored?
- A. It's stored in my laptop, and at the end of every shift I upload it to the federal government on the website that we have, and my understanding, the PUCO gets a copy as well as the federal government upon that uploading.
- Q. So the upload resulting from the Aspen report is a record of the United States Department of Transportation.

- A. That's my understanding, yes.
- Q. And it's a record of the Public Utilities
 Commission of Ohio both.
 - A. That's my understanding also.

 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
 - Q. And I have placed in front of you a document identified as Staff Exhibit No. 5. Do you see that document?
 - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. I believe you said before that that was the result -- that was the Aspen report.
- A. That's the Aspen report that's initiated during the roadside inspection.
- Q. Okay. And by that you mean it's a printout from the database.
 - A. Yes.

1

5

7

- Q. It's the record of the federal government and state of Ohio.
- A. It's a printout of my inspection conclusion.
- Q. Okay. And it generally reflects -- the information on there is information you put in at the time of the inspection, correct?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Let's talk about how to read it.

Α. Okay.

1

3

9

10

11

12

17

18

20

21

- Ο. Let's go to the top of the record where you see it say Driver/Vehicle Examination report.
 - Α. Yes.
- 5 Right underneath that is something called Ο. 6 a report number. Do you see that?
 - Α. Yes.

Α.

- Ο. Could you tell us what that is?
- That is OH3256004572. OH meaning Ohio. 3256 is my unit number. And the next six digits are inspections numbers, and this one happened to be the 4,572nd inspection I've initiated.
- 13 Okay. And there is an individual number, Q. 14 individual to this particular inspection?
- 15 Yes; a unique number assigned to this Α. 16 particular inspection.
 - Ο. Beneath that I see something called the Inspection Date.
- 19 Yes, sir. Α.
 - What's that? Ο.
 - That's August 21, 2007. Α.
- 22 And that's the date of the --Q.
- 23 That's the date it occurred, yes, sir. Α.
- 24 To the immediate right is something Ο. 25 called the Certification Date. Do you know what that

is?

- A. No, that I'm not familiar with.
- Q. Okay. You did not put that in there.
- A. No, sir.
- Q. And then the Time Started is 11:15.

What's that?

- A. That's military time for the time the inspection occurred. That's 11:15 a.m. and to the right is the conclusion time, which is 11:55 a.m.
- Q. The next item in this block we have Inspection Level. What is that?
- A. That indicates it's an inspection level
 No. II, which is a walkaround. There are several we
 can choose from. This one in particular is just a
 walkaround on the roadside.
- Q. The next block begins on the left has
 Hillsboro Transportation Code. What's shown there?

 If you could take us through each of the blocks going down each of the columns.
- A. Whenever I enter the DOT number of the carrier, it populates this line. On the left side of the line Hillsboro Transportation Company, an address as well as the phone number, their DOT number. Also their MC number is listed generated there as a result of the entering the DOT number.

The right half of that column is the driver information box that I actually record the gentleman's name, license number, date of birth and licensed state, and that's reported on the line.

- Q. Okay. That's the driver that's involved with the inspection.
- A. The person operating the truck at the time of inspection, yes.
- Q. The document speaks for itself as the driver identified.
 - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. And who is the driver identified?
- A. Gregory Tincher.
 - O. What is the MC/MX number?
 - A. My understanding that's a motor carrier number that was similar to a DOT number. I deal with DOT only so that populates automatically so that I don't regulate or record.
 - O. And the DOT number is what?
 - A. That's the number assigned by the federal government that each individual motor carrier gets issued to them, kind of an identity number.
 - Q. Okay. In the next block it begins with Location, and it says roadside. Do you see that?
 - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Would you tell us what is shown in this block of information?
- A. Yes, sir. This is just a general
 description of where and some of the shipping
 information. Roadside, of course, on US 30 in Wayne
 County, mile post 2, which means it's two miles east
 of the Ashland County line.

Also documented on here is in regards to the load, the shippers LUK transmission. The load started in Wooster, Ohio going to Batavia. There's a bill of lading documented here. Cargo, we have a drop-down box you can populate, somewhat formatted. We're to select the cargo that best applies to that. They don't have anything here specific to that type of cargo so I populated the "other" box.

- Q. But he was in the process of transporting cargo.
 - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Okay. I don't want to dwell on this.

 The Vehicle Identification block, what is shown
 there?
 - A. This shows the style of the power unit, as well as trailer make, year, the plate state. When I say plate, the license plate state it was issued to, as well as license plate number from the state,

- 1 unit number, both truck and trailer, as well as the
- 2 | VIN number, vehicle identification number, of both
- 3 the truck and trailer, gross vehicle weight rating,
- 4 and that is pretty much it for that line.
- Q. You already mentioned, we're here because of of certain violations, because of one violation you
- found, and is that indicated anywhere on the
- 8 inspection report?
- A. Yes, sir, in the violation caption there,
- 10 | 392.16, a driver violation of failure to use seat
- 11 belt at the time.
- Q. Okay. Is there anything on the
- inspection report that describes in greater detail
- what you observed?
- A. Yes, sir; down in my notes column.
- 0. And your notes column is where?
- 17 A. It's about two thirds of the way down the
- 18 form here on the front where it says Inspection
- 19 Notes.
- 0. And what is shown there?
- A. I put in OBVI, which means I wrote the
- reason for stop is an obvious violation. There are
- 23 | several ways we can initiate a stop, random, an ISS,
- or obvious violation. This particular stop is
- because of an obvious violation, which was the seat

belt.

Also on there is a couple abbreviations I personally put in there, just how I established who was the carrier in this. The driver indicated it was Hillsboro Transportation. Also the door on the truck and the registration for the power unit also indicated Hillsboro Transportation Company as the carrier

Also some brief notes regarding the violation here in question. First of all, I documented I was stationary in the median and as the driver passed by me -- when I say driver, I mean Mr. Tincher -- wearing a tan tank top shirt and his black seat belt was not visible as he passed.

When I walked up to the driver, he was wearing the belt properly. When I say properly, the shoulder belt was present and up firmly across his shoulder. When I asked him why I didn't notice it, I recorded his comment to me was he places the shoulder belt behind the seat when he drives.

- Q. And you recorded all this information at the time of inspection.
- A. Right. Before I complete my inspection, press the end time that documents the end time of the inspection and before I print off a copy for him.

- Q. Now, Inspector Hostetler, you've been through a number of training classes. You testified you have been through a number of training classes dealing with the commercial motor carrier safety regulations including the seat belt regulation.
 - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. What is your understanding of properly wearing a seat belt assembly which has a shoulder strap?
- A. The shoulder strap should be strapped across your shoulder, as well as the bottom strap I refer to as a lap belt, usually they're attached so they're not independent of one another, that they're both used to their full intent.

This is not just my personal opinion; that's the regulations as well as the Ohio State Highway Patrol's enforcement. And if we should happen to encounter someone who has modified their shoulder belt, whether removed from their assembly, we are trained to consider that as a violation of the seat belt law.

Q. Okay. Just so I understand, properly using a seat belt assembly means to use all aspects of that assembly to restrain the passengers and driver?

```
1
                All aspects of that assembly that was
           Α.
2
    manufactured with the truck. Any modification after
3
    that point is considered a violation also.
                MR. REILLY: May I have a moment, your
5
    Honor?
6
                THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER:
                                         Yes.
                (Discussion off the record.)
                MR. REILLY: We have no further questions
    at this time.
9
10
                THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER:
                                         Okay.
11
                Let me ask you one question. As I
12
    understand from what your testimony is, all the
13
    information that is on this, I'm going to call it the
14
    Driver/Vehicle Inspection Report, that's entered into
15
    here was entered at the time you concluded your
16
    inspection so there's nothing that got added or
17
    changed --
18
                THE WITNESS: No. He gets a copy of
19
    this.
20
                THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: -- after 11:55 on
21
    that day of the inspection.
22
                THE WITNESS: That's correct, sir.
23
                THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I don't think I
24
    have any other questions.
25
                Do you have some questions of the
```

1 officer?

6

7

9

10

11

16

17

22

THE RESPONDENT: Yes, I have one.

3

CROSS-EXAMINATION

5 By The Respondent:

- Q. I don't mean this in a rude way as far as the question. As a motor carrier enforcement and/or a state highway patrolman, if a driver in a commercial vehicle or a vehicle is pulled over and doesn't have a seat belt on, you write him a ticket, correct?
- A. That's my job, to document the violation.

 I don't write tickets in this particular scenario,

 but I do document. I assume that's what you mean by

 a ticket.
 - Q. I have one more question. I was honest with you when you came up to the vehicle.
- 18 A. You were.
- Q. I told you I wasn't wearing my shoulder strap.
- A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. You knew I was wearing my seat belt.
- A. The only thing I can testify to from what
 I can view is from mid waist up. No device was
 observed.

1 That's a fair answer. Q. THE RESPONDENT: That's all I have. 3 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Thank you for your testimony. 5 THE WITNESS: Yes. 6 MR. REILLY: We have nothing further, 7 your Honor. THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Then it would be 9 your turn if you would like to be sworn, if you want 10 to provide any testimony. 11 THE RESPONDENT: I'd like to provide a 12 conclusion, if I could. I can be sworn in if I need 13 to do that. 14 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I think that even 15 when you were questioning earlier, you were basically 16 putting some facts into the record, so I think it's 17 only fair I swear you in and allow the other side to 18 question you about things that were already said. 19 I'll allow questions on statements that you have made 20 up until now if you don't want to add any more to it 21 at this point, if all you want to provide is -- what 22 did you say?

THE RESPONDENT: A conclusion is what I want to say.

23

24

25

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I quess I will

allow questioning on what you already stated, and then what will follow will be the conclusion.

_

GREGORY TINCHER

being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified as follows:

CROSS-EXAMINATION

By Mr. Reilly:

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Mr. Tincher, we would just ask you with you under oath, your statements previously that you were wearing -- you had your lap belt buckled but you were not wearing the safety harness; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct yes, sir.
- Q. So all your statements describing how you were using the seat belt assembly are correct; true?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. All your prior statements.

MR. REILLY: We have nothing further.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Then I think when you were talking to the officer, you did indicate pretty much you confirmed what is in the report, that when he asked you, he could observe, you also told him you were wearing the seat belt in the way you said, basically with the shoulder harness behind the seat.

THE RESPONDENT: Yes, sir.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I think that's all we need in terms of the testimony. But since you said you want to give the conclusion, you may give -- actually, I guess the order would be -- I'm going to consider the conclusion to be kind of an argument rather than testimony.

THE RESPONDENT: Basically yes.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I will allow the other side to go first and you get to go last.

MR. REILLY: That's fine. I think we have a case squarely for the Commission. The question is, is wearing part of the seat belt assembly the proper wearing of that assembly?

You have the testimony of both Mr. Canty and Inspector Hostetler telling you that's not true, that it's not the proper use of the seat belt assembly. If there's a shoulder strap, it must be worn.

I'd suggest to you also that common sense says that that's true. There is absolutely no reason to provide a shoulder strap with the attending cost if it is not to be worn. The proper use is to use all -- common sense just says the proper use of a restraint is to maximize its restraining effect, and

```
that is done through wearing all parts of it, the seat belt, the lap belt, and the shoulder strap.
```

To wear only part of it by definition makes it less effective because you're only using half. I suggest the testimony of experts here and common sense are all on the side of the proper use of the seat belt assembly is to wear the entire assembly.

It's like saying the proper appearance in a judicial tribunal or any tribunal, which is typically for lawyers anyway, is a coat and tie or a suit and tie. If you showed up without the coat, most tribunals would take offense at that.

It's the same kind of thing, only safety is involved here. That's looks; this is safety.

There is a reason for the shoulder strap, for the restraint. For proper use of the seat belt assembly requires the full use of all restraints.

That's all. I think the facts are basically undisputed.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right. Thank you.

You can have your chance now.

THE RESPONDENT: Per Mr. Canty and the officer over there, no disrespect to them, no

```
disrespect to these gentlemen here, it might be common sense. It might be what they say, but the bottom line it's not what the regulations say. That is what is wrote in this book, the regulations that we abide by. That's in this right here, all this.
```

The bottom line was I was wearing a seat belt. It doesn't say exact proper use of the seat belt in the regulations. It don't tell me that. It don't tell me I have to wear the shoulder strap. It tells me I have to wear the seat belt. It was proven right there. I read the regs. I read the whole book on it. I've been on the Internet on. It doesn't say you can ask the officer what is proper use. Well, he'll show you, but that's not what is in the regulation book.

The bottom line, I was wearing my seat belt. It might not have been the proper way the officer wants or this man wants, but I was wearing the seat belt according to regulations in the book. That's my closing argument.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right. Let me say this. The testimony we got, I think from Mr. Canty, when I asked if there was a definition within the code for the seat belt assembly was that he's sure some existed somewhere.

```
I guess I'm tempted to provide the staff an opportunity to submit a cite to a definition that exists, if it does, and then I would give you a chance once they do that, if they want to do that, if they do, then you would have a chance to respond to whatever additional information they provide, because I think it comes down to the issue, especially in terms of the way that you see it.
```

2.

MR. REILLY: We would just note we were prepared to waive briefs. Mr. Canty's testimony is what it is.

THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Again, I'm not holding you to a standard you felt you already met so you didn't want to provide any more information than already's been provided. If that's all you want to do, that's fine. I was basically providing you additional information if you are interested.

MR. REILLY: I submit it's a question of what the Commission views as the proper use of the seat belt assembly. I think that's what we are talking about here.

THE RESPONDENT: There's one article that he read in the book that that's on the seatbelt.

That's it. It's that big in the whole entire regulation book.

```
1
                THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: The one he was
2
    reading.
3
                THE RESPONDENT:
                                 That's correct.
                MR. REILLY: That's the regulation, and I
5
    think you find "properly used" in it.
6
                THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I'm sure I heard
7
    that when he was reading that. If not, I'm sure I
    will go back to the book and read it when making my
    decision.
10
                All right. It sounds like both sides
11
    have completed their presentation and their arguments
12
    so I thank you all for your time, and I will try to
13
    get the decision out as soon as I can.
14
                              Thank you, your Honor.
                MR. REILLY:
15
                                  Thank you.
                THE RESPONDENT:
16
                (Discussion off the record.)
17
                THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Back on record, I
18
    note we neglected to rule on the exhibits, and if
19
    there's no objection, I'm going to admit all five of
20
    the exhibits into evidence at this time.
21
                (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)
22
                THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: With that, we are
23
    closed again.
24
                (The hearing adjourned at 10:41 a.m.)
25
```

CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Thursday, May 28, 2009, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes.

Rosemary Foster Anderson, Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio.

My commission expires April 5, 2009.

11 (RFA-8291)

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

6/11/2009 12:17:11 PM

in

Case No(s). 08-0593-TR-CVF

Summary: Transcript Gregory Tincher 5/28/09 electronically filed by Mrs. Jennifer Duffer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Anderson, Rosemary Foster Mrs.