

FILE**Hunter, Donielle**

From: ContactThePUCO@puc.state.oh.us
Sent: Tuesday, April 28, 2009 3:36 PM
To: Docketing
Subject: Docketing
Attachments: JSTE04240970 3966704.pdf

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
Investigation and Audit Division

Memorandum

Date: 4/28/2009

Re: Jimmy Stewart
Senate

Columbus, OH 43215

Docketing Case No.: 08-917-EL-SSO
08-918-EL-SSO

Notes:

Please docket the attached in the case number above.

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV
2009 APR 29 AM 11:52
PUCO

This is to certify that the images appearing are an
accurate and complete reproduction of a case file
document delivered in the regular course of business.
Technician _____ Date Processed APR 29 2009

4/29/2009



OHIO SENATE
SENATE BUILDING
COLUMBUS, OHIO 43215
(614) 466-8076
SD20@senate.state.oh.us

COMMITTEES:
Finance and Financial Institutions
Health, Human Services, and Aging
Vice Chair - State and Local Government
and Veterans Affairs
Vice Chair - Environment and
Natural Resources
Insurance, Commerce and Labor

SENATOR JIMMY STEWART
20TH DISTRICT

RECEIVED

APR 23 2009

Office of Chairman
P.U.C.O.

Dr. Alan Schriber, Chairman
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 East Broad Street, 12th Floor
Columbus, OH 43215

Dear Chairman Schriber,

Several constituencies in my Ohio Senate district have raised concerns to me about the level of increases authorized by the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (PUCO) in American Electric Power's (AEP) electric security plan case. As you know, I am proud to represent a significant portion of Southeast Ohio and all of my constituents receive service from AEP or an electric cooperative.

It is my understanding the decision issued by the PUCO was supposed to limit the total bill increases AEP could receive to between 6 and 8 percent per year. However, the feedback I am receiving indicates that people are anticipating much greater increases in their bills. For instance, industrial customers in my district inform me they expect bill increases well into double digits, sometimes more than double the percentage increases authorized by the PUCO. Residential customers do not expect to fare any better with expected increases well over the PUCO's stated caps.

I write to respectfully request an explanation as to how and why customers' bills are being increased by much greater percentages than that contained in the PUCO's decision. If the PUCO meant to hold AEP to 6 to 8 percent increases, then why did the PUCO approve new rates for AEP that produce such a different (and harmful) result? A written response from the PUCO would be much appreciated.

The PUCO should rectify this problem and ensure that customers will pay no more than is absolutely necessary, and certainly no more than the percentage listed in the PUCO's decision. My district is being hit especially hard by this economic downturn and my constituents cannot shoulder the increases they expect in their next electric bills.

I respectfully request that this letter be docketed

Sincerely,

Jimmy Stewart

Jimmy Stewart
State Senator
20th Senate District

cc. Commissioners of PUCO
Jade Davis, PUCO Legislative Liaison
Governor, Ted Strickland
Mark Shanahan
Senator Bill Harris, Senate President
Senator Chris Widener
Senator Ray Miller
Representative Armond Budish, Speaker of the Ohio House of Representatives
Representative Timothy DeGeeter
Representative Kevin Bacon

