Columbia	Exhibit No.	
Columbia	LAMBOIC I 10.	•

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Columbia
Gas of Ohio, Inc. for Approval of a General Ex-
emption of Certain Natural Gas Commodity
Sales Services or Ancillary Services from Chap-
ters 4905, 4909, and 4935 except Sections
4905.10, 4935.01, and 4935.03, and from speci-
fied sections of Chapter 4933 of the Revised
Code.

(2) Case No. 2008-1344-GA-EXM

2009 MAR 26 PM 1: 41

PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF LARRY W. MARTIN ON BEHALF OF COLUMBIA GAS OF OHIO, INC.

Stephen B. Seiple, Asst. General Counsel
Daniel A. Creekmur, Counsel
200 Civic Center Drive
P.O. Box 117
Columbus, OH 43216-0117
Telephone: (614) 460-4680
Fax: (614) 460-6986
Email: dcreekmur@nisource.com
sseiple@nisource.com

Attorneys for Applicant COLUMBIA GAS OF OHIO, INC.

March 26, 2009

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.

Technician

Date Processed MAR 2 6 2009

RECEIVES-BOCKTING BIV

PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF LARRY W. MARTIN

1	Q:	Please state your name and business address.
2	A:	My name is Larry W. Martin and my business address is 200 Civic Center Drive, Colum-
3		bus, Ohio 43215.
4		
5	Q.	By who are you employed?
6	A.	I am employed by Columbia Gas of Ohio, Inc.("Columbia")
7		
8	Q.	What is your current position and related responsibilities related to that position?
9	A.	My current position is Director, Regulatory Matters. My primary responsibilities include
10		the planning, supervision, preparation and support of all Columbia's filings before the
11		Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("Commission") that provide for an adjustment in
12		rates, tariffs or proposed accounting changes. These include, but are limited to, prepara-
13		tion of exhibits, development of proposed tariff changes and the provision of testimony
14		filed in support of proposed changes.
15		
16	Q.	What is you educational background?
17	A.	I attended West Virginia State College located in Institute, West Virginia, where I majored
18		in Business Administration.
19		
20	Q:	Please describe your employment history?
21	A:	I began my career with Columbia in January 1969 in the Finance Department. During that
22		same year, I was promoted to the position of Rate Accountant in the Rate Department. Since

then, I have held the positions of Senior Rate Account, Rate Analyst, Senior Rate Analyst, Rate Engineer and Senior Rate Engineer. During 1996, Columbia reorganized its operations, at which time I accepted the position of Director, Regulatory Planning and became jointly responsible for all technical regulatory matters for Columbia. Upon completion of the merger, my title was changed to Director of Regulatory Matters; however, I continue to be jointly responsible for all technical matters for Columbia.

8 Q. Have you previously testified before the Commission?

9 A. Yes. I have previously testified before the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, the Virginia State Corporation Commission, the Ohio Board of Tax Appeals and the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio.

I.

PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY

Q: What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?

A: My testimony is being filed in support of certain portions of Columbia's recent Application filed in Case No. 08-1344-GA-EXM ("Application"). More specifically, I will address Sections 16, 33, 36 and 42. These topics include Rate Schedules Subject to Standard Sales Offer Rider, SSO Customer Billing, CHOICE/SSO Reconciliation Rider, CHOICE/SSO Aggregation Fee, Computation of Balancing Service rates for service to TS customers and Proposed Reports.

II. RATE SCHEDULES SUBJECT TO SSO RIDER

23 Q. What is the purpose of Columbia's Application?

1	A.	As explained in Mr. Brown's testimony, Columbia, in part, seeks authority through its
2		Application filed in Case No. 08-1344-GA-EXM to implement a wholesale auction pro-
3		cedure to secure gas supply required to serve sales customers. Columbia will obtain gas
4		supplies required to serve sales customers from alternative suppliers through an auction
5		process and pass the price of that gas to its customers at a monthly rate titled Standard
6		Sales Offer Rider ("SSO").
7		
8	Q:	What rate schedules will be subject to payment of the SSO Rider?
9	A:	The SSO Rider will apply to customers served under Columbia's Small General Service
10		Sales Rate, Small General Service Schools Sales Rate, General Sales Rate, General Ser-
11		vice Schools Sales Rate, and Large General Service Sales Rate.
12		
13	Q.	Why did Columbia select these rate schedules for provision of service to customers
14		subject to SSO Rider?
15	A.	Columbia will continue to purchase gas to serve customers currently provided sales ser-
16		vice. The change provided for in this filing is that gas will be obtained through an auction
17		process to be billed to customers at the monthly SSO rate rather than purchase through
18		traditional methods with recovery taking place through Gas Cost Recovery ("GCR")
19		mechanism. These schedules were selected since sales service has historically performed
20		under these rate schedules.
21		
22	Q.	Does the SSO Rider provide for dollar per dollar recovery of gas costs in a manner
23		similar to the current GCR mechanism?

1	Α.	No. The SSO Rider will replace the current the GCR billing adjustment only to the extent
2		it provides for recovery of the Expected Gas Component of the GCR. Columbia's
3		monthly SSO Rider will computed each month based on the final settlement price of the
4		NYMEX natural gas futures contract for the month plus the Retail Price Adjustment de-
5		termined through the SSO Auction converted from dollars per Mcf to dollars per Ccf for
6		billing purposes. The SSO Rider does not address the Actual Cost Adjustment, Refund
7		and Reconciliation components of the GCR mechanism that provide for the true-up of
8		gas costs with recoveries and the flow-through of refunds to customers.
9		
0	Q.	Will this recovery of gas costs through SSO Rider result in any changes in the bill-
11		ing of sales customers?
12	A.	No. Customers will continue to be billed on a billing cycle basis. Columbia will continue
13		to be shown as the supplier with the effective SSO Rider being billed per 100 cubic feet.
14		There will continue to be no proration of bills to compensate for the variance between
15		calendar month deliveries and billing period deliveries.
16		
17	m.	APPLICATION OF SSO RIDER
18	Q.	Why did Columbia make the decision not to prorate the SSO billing rate?
19	A.	This decision not to prorate the SSO billing rate was made for several reasons including,
20		but not limited to, the following:
21		(1) simplifies the comparison of SSO rate(s) with CHOICE Program rates;
22		(2) Columbia's decision results in all customers being billed the same rate versus
23		having 21 different billing rates in effect during a billing month;

1		(3) It simplifies the preparation of billing adjustments; and
2		(4) Billing in this manner is consistent with the billing of CHOICE Program rates.
3		
4	IV.	PROPOSED CSRR BILLING ADJUSTMENT
5	Q	Has Columbia proposed in its Application filed in Case No. 08-1344-GA-EXM a
6		mechanism that will provide for the true-up of gas costs; pass-through of refunds
7		and processing of reconciliation adjustments?
8	A.	Yes. Columbia has proposed the establishment of a CHOICE/SSO Reconciliation Rider
9		("CSRR") to be billed to both sales and customers participating in the CHOICE program.
10		
11	Q.	Why is it proper to bill customers participating in the CHOICE Program the CSRR
12		adjustment?
13	A.	The billing of the CSRR to both sales and customers participating in the CHOICE pro-
14		gram is proper to maintain a level playing field for competitive reasons. In addition, both
15		groups are treated in a similar manner from an operational standpoint as described in the
16		testimony of Columbia Witness Anderson.
17		
18	Q.	Please summarize the various components of the proposed CSRR billing adjust-
19		ment.
20	A.	Columbia's proposed CSRR will include (1) Over/Unrecovered Gas Balance; (2) Re-
21		funds; (3) Reconciliation Adjustments; and (4) Shared Off-System Sales/Capacity Re-
22		lease Revenue. Attachment LWM-1 hereto sets forth a definition of each of aforemen-
23		tioned CSRR components.

1		
2	Q.	Does the proposed method for computation of the CSRR provide for the calculation
3		of this rate in a manner similar to that used for determination of various GCR ad-
4		justments made to ensure dollar per dollar recovery of gas costs?
5	A.	Yes. However, as noted above, the CSRR will include one additional component to pro-
6		vide for the flow through to Customers of Shared Off-System Sales/Capacity Release
7		Revenue.
8		
9		
	Q.	Will the CSRR be computed and updated quarterly to reflect therein the most re-
10	Q.	Will the CSRR be computed and updated quarterly to reflect therein the most re- cent four quarters of activity?
	Q. A.	
10		cent four quarters of activity?
10 11		cent four quarters of activity? Yes. The CSRR will be computed based on quarters ending March 31, June 30, Septem-
10 11 12		cent four quarters of activity? Yes. The CSRR will be computed based on quarters ending March 31, June 30, September 30 and December 31 of each year. This Rider will be filed 30 days prior to the effec-

What will be the schedule for recognition of all activity that occurs within a specific quarter?

17 A. Recognition of activity for a specific quarter will occur in a manner similar to that used
18 for calculation of the impact of these adjustments on the GCR mechanism. Recognition
19 of activity within a quarter will be made as follows:

20

Month Quarter Ended	Billing Month Effective
December 31	April
March 31	July

June 30	October
September 30	January

1 2

3 Q. During the first year will the CSRR be comprised of four quarters of activity?

A. No. The inclusion of four quarters of activity will not occur until commencement of the billing of the CSRR adjustment to be effective April 2011. The initial CSRR rate will be based on actual balances as December 31, 2009 to be effective with commencement of the billing of the April 2010 billing month.

8

9

10

- Q. Have you prepared a hypothetical example that sets forth the computation of the CSRR rate during the first five quarters?
- 11 A. Yes. Please refer to Attachment LWM-2 which shows for illustrative purposes only the
 12 development of CSRR.

13

14

V. DESCRIPTION OF AUDIT PROCESS

- Q. Does the Company's proposal filed in Case No. 08-1344-GA-EXM provide for the continued performance and filing of a financial audit by its external auditor?
- 17 A. Yes. Financial audits will be performed annually based on twelve month period ending
 18 December 31 of the prior year. For example, the first audit will be performed based on
 19 the twelve month period ending December 31, 2010.

20

1	Q.	Will this financial audit be similar to that currently performed by Columbia's ex-
2		ternal auditor as part of the GCR process?
3	A.	Yes. Columbia recommends the auditor continue to perform a financial audit similar to
4		that required under Rule No. 4901:1-14-07 of the Uniform Purchase Gas Adjustment but
5		the audit will be expanded to include the review of Columbia's Off-System Sales and
6		Capacity Release program. This process would include the review of pass-through of all
7		shared Off-System Sales and Capacity Release revenue. Columbia further recommends
8		that its external financial auditor continue to perform an audit of its Uncollectible Ex-
9		pense Rider on the same schedule as the new annual financial audit.
10		
11	Q.	When will these financial audits be conducted?
12	A.	These audits will be conducted during the quarter subsequent to completion of the audit
13		period with the audit report(s) to be filed no later than 90 days subsequent to completion
14		of audit period (March 30).
15		
16	Q.	Does the proposed financial audit process include a provision for the conducting of
17		an evidentiary hearing?
18	A.	Yes. The process does provide for the conducting of discovery and holding of an eviden-
19		tiary hearing at the pleasure of the Commission.
20		
21	Q.	Will the audit process provide for continuation of the periodic manage-
22		ment/performance audits provided for in 4901:1-14-07 of Uniform Gas Adjustment?

1	A.	No. These audits will be eliminated due to fact gas will be purchased through an auction
2		process rather than by Columbia through traditional methods. The new financial audit
3		will replace current financial and management/performance audit process.
4		
5	VI.	DEVELOPMENT OF BANKING AND BALANCING SERVICE RATES
6	Q.	Please describe the basis for establishment of Columbia's proposed rates for Bank-
7		ing and Balancing Service.
8	A.	Columbia's proposed rates for Banking and Balancing Service are based on the follow-
9		ing:
10		(1) This service is available to extent capacity is not required to serve customers
11		served under Columbia's SSO and CHOICE programs.
12		(2) There is no incremental capacity being retained to provide the service.
13		(3) TS customers may elect a bank tolerance level of 0%, 1%, 2%, 3% or 4% of their
14		Annual Transportation Volume.
15		(4) This service is being provided through the use of available seasonal storage ca-
16		pacity purchased from Columbia Gas Transmission LLC.
17		(5) Approximately 5% of TS gas flows through storage.
18		(6) Columbia's posted Weighted Average Btu value is the correct value for conver-
19		sion of a rate per Dth to a rate per Mcf.
20		
21	Q.	Do you consider Columbia's proposed Banking and Balancing Service for TS cus-
22		tomers an interruptible service?
23	A.	Yes.

1		
2	Q.	Why do consider this Banking and Balancing Service to be an interruptible service?
3	A.	Columbia Witness Anderson has testified that it purchases no incremental capacity to
4		provide this service. Thus it can be interrupted anytime the capacity is required to serve
5		customers served under Columbia's SSO and CHOICE programs.
6		
7	Q.	When should Columbia's Banking and Balancing Service rates be revised?
8	A.	These rates will be revised anytime Columbia Gas Transmission LLC receives approval
9		for change in its FSS Reservation Charge, FSS Injection Charge, FSS Withdrawal Charge
10		and SST Commodity Charge.
11		
12	Q.	Have you prepared an attachment that shows the development of proposed Banking
13		and Balancing Service rates based on current Columbia Gas Transmission LLC
14		rates?
14 15	A.	rates? Yes. Attachment LWM-3 sets forth the development of the proposed Banking and Bal-
	Α.	
15	A.	Yes. Attachment LWM-3 sets forth the development of the proposed Banking and Bal-
15 16	A. VII.	Yes. Attachment LWM-3 sets forth the development of the proposed Banking and Bal-
15 16 17		Yes. Attachment LWM-3 sets forth the development of the proposed Banking and Balancing Service rates shown in Section VI, Page 67 of Columbia's proposed tariff.
15 16 17 18	VII.	Yes. Attachment LWM-3 sets forth the development of the proposed Banking and Balancing Service rates shown in Section VI, Page 67 of Columbia's proposed tariff. AGGREATION FEE
15 16 17 18 19	VII.	Yes. Attachment LWM-3 sets forth the development of the proposed Banking and Balancing Service rates shown in Section VI, Page 67 of Columbia's proposed tariff. AGGREATION FEE Why has Columbia included in its Application a request for establishment of Ag-

1		process and loss in non-traditional revenue resulting from increased assignment of capac-
2		ity to suppliers and the reduction in the sharing percentage.
3		
4	Q.	What types of additional expenses does Columbia expect to incur that will result
5		from the changes proposed in this case?
6	A.	These include, but are not limited to, incremental expenses resulting from the following:
7		(1) Development and execution of customer education programs;
8		(2) Increased Call Center activity;
9		(3) Development of new/revised reports; and
10		(4) Information Technology enhancements.
11		
12	Q.	Do you have an estimate of Columbia's projected Off-System Sales and Capacity
13		Release revenue ("non-traditional revenue") subject to sharing during the Calendar
14		Year 2009.
15	A.	Yes. Columbia's current financial plan includes an estimate of \$40.0 million to be gener-
16		ated from these transactions before sharing. Columbia will retain \$20.0 million in accor-
17		dance with terms of Joint Stipulation and Recommendation approved by the Commission
18		in Case No. 05-221-GA-GCR et al.
19		
20	Q.	Has Columbia prepared an estimate of its projected non-traditional revenue for the
21		Calendar Year 2011 based on sharing mechanism proposed in this case?

1	A.	Yes. Columbia's current financial plan includes an estimate of \$15.9 million to be gener-
2		ated from these transactions before sharing. Columbia will retain approximately \$7.9 mil-
3		lion based on the proposed sharing mechanism contained in this filing.
4		
5	Q.	What are the major reasons for reduction in the non-traditional revenue to be re-
6		tained by Columbia?
7	A.	This reduction in non-traditional revenue primarily results from (1) the reduction in ca-
8		pacity retained by Columbia resulting from proposal to purchase gas through the auction
9		process; (2) increase in capacity assigned Retail Natural Gas Suppliers participating in
10		the CHOICE program; and (3) the proposed reduction in percentage of non-traditional
11		revenue to be retained by Columbia.
12		
13	Q.	Does this assignment of capacity to Suppliers participating in the CHOICE program
14		and SSO auction process produce similar opportunities for production of revenue
15		through capacity release and off-system sales?
16	A.	Yes.
17		
18	Q.	What would be the projected increase in revenue to cover these above-described ad-
19		ditional expenses and lost opportunity revenue?
20	A.	This fee will generate an increase in revenue of approximately \$8.4 million dollars to be
21		used to offset any increase in expense resulting from the program and lost revenue oppor-
22		tunities.
23		

i	VIII.	REPORTS
2	Q.	Will Columbia provide the Commission with periodic reports on the status of its
3		CHOICE and SSO programs?
4	A.	Yes.
5		
6	Q.	What information will be reported to the Commission on a monthly basis?
7	A.	Columbia will provide the Commission with the following CHOICE and SSO program
8		statistics:
9		(1) SSO Rider;
10		(2) Number of SSO customers;
11		(3) Number of customers participating in the CHOICE program;
12		(4) SSO volumes by rate schedule;
13		(5) CHOICE volumes by rate schedule;
14		(6) Number of SSO suppliers;
15		(7) Number of suppliers participating in the CHOICE program;
1 6		(8) Market share of supplier participating in the CHOICE program; and
17		(9) Other available information desired by the Commission.
18		
19	Q.	What information will be reported to the Commission on annual basis?
20	A.	Columbia will file annual report that provides for an assessment of SSO supplier per-
21		formance based on the following criteria:
22		(1) Compliance with Demand Curve requirements; and
23		(2) Supplier defaults.

1

- 2 Q: Does this complete your Prepared Direct testimony?
 - A: Yes.

Attachment LWM-1

UNRECOVERED GAS COST BALANCE means the balance of Deferred Gas Purchase costs reflected on Columbia's books at December 31, 2009, and change each quarter thereafter, to be recovered or passed back to customers through the CHOICE/SSO Reconciliation Rider. This account shall provide for recognition of the following:

Capacity Costs

Reconciliation Adjustment

Commodity Costs

Refunds

Miscellaneous Costs

Regulatory Assessment Costs

Operational Sale or Purchase

Revenue

Penalty Charge

Storage Carrying Costs

Deferred Gas Costs - GCR Transitional

Adjustment – Account 165

- 1. CAPACITY COSTS means the cost of that capacity which is not assigned to SSO or Choice suppliers held by Columbia to meet the operational, balancing and peaking requirements of customers served through Columbia's SSO, CHOICE and TS programs. These costs include reservation charges for upstream pipeline capacity retained by Columbia; peaking contract reservation charges and leased pipeline costs. These costs may further include any reservation charges for interstate pipeline capacity recalled by Columbia where an SSO or CHOICE supplier defaults. These costs will be offset by that portion of the revenue received by Columbia in providing balancing and peaking services and any demand related costs recovered by Columbia in the pass-through of local gas costs.
- 2. COMMODITY COSTS means cost of gas purchased to meet the daily; seasonal and short-term delivery requirements of customers served through Columbia's SSO, CHOICE and TS programs. These costs include the cost of gas; transportation commodity costs; injection and withdrawal costs and shrinkage costs. These costs will be offset by any portion of the revenue received by Columbia related to the pass-through of local gas and retained capacity commodity purchase costs; Supplier cash-outs; etc.
- 3. MISCELLANEOUS COSTS means any prudent and necessary costs incurred by Columbia in the provision of CHOICE/SSO service approved by the Commission.
- 4. OPERATIONAL SALE OR PURCHASE means a sale or purchase of gas by Columbia to a third party necessary for the effective management of Columbia's system. These include, but are not limited to, transactions as sales or purchases to avoid penalties from a transmission provider; purchases of gas commodity to provide peaking services; purchases of gas commodity utilizing operationally retained capacity; purchases under retained peaking services; etc.
- 6. PENALTY CHARGE means a charge billed by an interstate pipeline supplier resulting from the violation of a tariff provision that may be flowed through to any customer that violated Columbia's tariff.

- 7. QUARTER means the three month period used to calculate the current CSSR quarterly rate. Columbia's CSRR will ultimately be comprised of four quarters of activity with Columbia's initial filing to be based on balances on its books at December 31, 2009. This filing will provide for recovery of pass back of unrecovered gas costs; recovery of base chip gas costs less all refunds reflected on the company's books at that date with the filing to be made no later than February 15, 2010. An adjustment to CSRR will be made in June 2010 for the quarter ending March 31, 2010 and continue to be made each quarter thereafter. Upon progression of program into the fifth quarter the process will provide for replacement of the oldest outstanding quarter with the new quarter.
- 8. RECONCILIATION ADJUSTMENT means a positive or negative adjustment to a future CSSR to reconcile variance between actual and projected CSRR Rider revenue to be received or passed back.
- 9. REFUNDS means the balance of refunds at December 31, 2009, and refunds received from an intestate supplier or other supplier or service provider each quarter thereafter, to be passed back where such refund is received as a lump sum or credit.
- 10. REGULATORY ASSESSMENT COSTS means that portion of regulatory assessment paid by Columbia on SSO revenue.
- 11. REVENUE means all revenue received from sale of gas; provision of a balancing service(s); billing of a penalty charge(s); BSR charge(s); Reconciliation Adjustment; Choice Program and TS Program Cash-Out(s) or Off-System Sales and Capacity Release Sharing Mechanism.
- 12. STORAGE CARRYING COSTS means carrying costs incurred by Columbia on gas stored underground for daily and seasonal balancing purposes.
- 13. THROUGHPUT means all historic deliveries to customers participating in the CHOICE and SSO programs for the twelve month period ending with the last month of current quarter.
- 14. UPSTREAM PIPELINE means those delivering pipeline companies delivering supply to Columbia; these can be interstate pipelines; intrastate pipelines; gathering companies, LDCs; etc. but for the most part interstate pipeline companies.
- 15. GCR TRANSITIONAL ADJUSTMENT (BASE CHIP) means under-collection of gas costs experienced by Columbia at the time of transition from the previous PGA recovery mechanism to the GCR mechanism in December 1979. The recovery of the actual value of this gas was deferred for recovery by the Commission in its Order dated April 21, 1981 in Case No. 80-212-GA-GCR.

Columbia Gas of Ohio, Inc. Computation of CSRR Rider

EXAMPLE - FIRST QUARTER (FOR ILLUSTRATIVE PURPOSES ONLY)

EXAMPLE - FIRST QUARTER (FOR ILLUSTRATIVE PU	RPUSES UNL 1)
REVENUE	
SSO Revenue	\$
Non-Temperature Balancing Service Revenue	
TS Balancing Service Revenue	
BSR Revenue	
Share of Operational Sales Revenue	
TS Cash-out Revenue	
CHOICE Program Cash-out Revenue	
Penalty Charge Revenue	
Off-System Sales & Capacity Release Revenue	
CAPACITY COSTS	
Interstate Pipeline Capacity	
Peaking Reservation Charge	
Leased Supply Pipeline Charges	
COMMODITY COSTS	
SSO Purchases	
Gas Withdrawn From Storage	
Gas Injected Into Storage	
Delivery Charges	
OPERATIONAL SALE OR PURCHASE	
Cost of Gas	
Revenue	
PENALTY CHARGES	
REGULATORY ASSESSMENTS	
STORAGE CARRYING COSTS	
RECONCILIATION ADJUSTMENT	
REFUNDS	(2,750,000)
BASE CHIP GAS COST BALANCE	8,199,000
DEFERRED GAS PURCHASE BALANCE – 12/31/09	50,000,000
	h ==
NET CHANGE FOR QUARTER	\$ 55,449,476

SSO/CHOICE DELIVERIES - MCF	1	70,000,000
CSRR	\$	0.3262
CCCD DIDED EIDCT OLLABTED		0.2262
CSSR RIDER - FIRST QUARTER	\$	0.3262
CSSR RIDER - SECOND QUARTER		
CSSR RIDER - THIRD QUARTER		
CSSR RIDER – FOURTH QUARTER TOTAL CSSR RIDER EFFECTIVE APRIL 2010	S	0.2262
EXAMPLE - SECOND QUARTER (FOR ILLUSTRAT)		0.3262 ES ONL V
REVENUE	IVE FURFUS	ES ONLI)
SSO Revenue	\$	
Non-Temperature Balancing Service Revenue		
TS Balancing Service Revenue		
BSR Revenue		
Share of Operational Sales Revenue		····
TS Cash-out Revenue		
CHOICE Program Cash-out Revenue		
Penalty Charge Revenue		
Off-System Sales & Capacity Release Revenue		
CAPACITY COSTS		
Interstate Pipeline Capacity		
Peaking Reservation Charge		
Leased Supply Pipeline Charges		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
COMMODITY COSTS		
SSO Purchases		
Gas Withdrawn From Storage		
Gas Injected Into Storage		
Delivery Charges		<u>-</u>
OPERATIONAL SALE OR PURCHASE		
Cost of Gas		
Revenue		
DENALTY CHADCES		
PENALTY CHARGES	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
REGULATORY ASSESSMENTS		
STORAGE CARRYING COSTS		
DECOVOR A PROPERTY.		
RECONCILIATION ADJUSTMENT		

REFUNDS	
DEFERRED GAS PURCHASE BALANCE – 12/31/09	(10,000,000)
NET CHANGE FOR QUARTER	\$ (10,000,000)
SSO/CHOICE DELIVERIES - MCF	168,000,000
CSRR	\$ (0.0595)
CSSR RIDER – FIRST QUARTER	\$ 0.3262
CSSR RIDER – SECOND QUARTER	(0.0595)
CSSR RIDER – THIRD QUARTER	
CSSR RIDER – FOURTH QUARTER	
TOTAL CSSR RIDER EFFECTIVE JULY 2010	\$ 0.2667

EXAMPLE – THIRD QUARTER (FOR ILLUSTRATIVE PURPOSES ONLY)

REVENUE	DIOR OSES ONEI)
SSO Revenue	\$ (250,000,000)
Non-Temperature Balancing Service Revenue	(3,050,000)
TS Balancing Service Revenue	(250,000)
BSR Revenue	(220,000)
Share of Operational Sales Revenue	(100,000)
TS Cash-out Revenue	
CHOICE Program Cash-out Revenue	
Penalty Charge Revenue	(10,000)
Off-System Sales & Capacity Release Revenue	(500,000)
CAPACITY COSTS	
Interstate Pipeline Capacity	5,460,000
Peaking Reservation Charge	156,000
Leased Supply Pipeline Charges	50,000
COMMODITY COSTS	
SSO/ Purchases	245,000,000
Gas Withdrawn From Storage	2,000,000
Gas Injected Into Storage	(1,000,000)
Delivery Charges	30,000
OPERATIONAL SALE OR PURCHASE	
Cost of Gas	
Revenue	
	10,000
PENALTY CHARGES	
REGULATORY ASSESSMENTS	300,000

STORAGE CARRYING COSTS	2,000,000
RECONCILIATION ADJUSTMENT	
REFUNDS	
NET CHANGE FOR QUARTER	\$ 96,000
SSO/CHOICE DELIVERIES – MCF	171,000,000
CSRR	\$ (0.0006)
CSSR RIDER - FIRST QUARTER	\$ 0.3262
CSSR RIDER – SECOND QUARTER	(0.0595)
CSSR RIDER - THIRD QUARTER	0.0006
CSSR RIDER - FOURTH QUARTER	
TOTAL CSSR RIDER EFFECTIVE OCTOBER 2010	\$ 0.2673

Attachment LWM-3

Columbia Gas of Ohio, Inc. Computation of Balancing Service Rates

Line						Bank T	olerance		
No.	Descrip Monthly Bank Tolerance	tion							
1	Monthly Bank Tolerance				4%	3%	2%	1%	0%
2	TCO Firm Storage Service Season	al Capacity Charge (FSS)	(a)	\$ 0.0139	\$ 0.0104	\$ 0.0069	\$ 0.0035	\$ 0.0000
3	TCO FSS Injection & Withdrawal	Charges		(b)	0.0016	0.0016	0.0016	0.0016	0.0000
4	TCO Storage Service Transportati	on Commodity Charge (S	ST)	(c)	0.0010	0.0010	0.0010	0.0010	0.0000
5	Total Rate Per/Dth			(d)	\$ 0.0165	\$ 0.0130	\$ 0.0095	\$ 0.0061	\$ 0.0000
6	BTU Conversion Factor				1.037	1.037	1.037	1.037	1.037
7	Total Rate Per Mcf			(e)	\$ 0.0171	\$ 0.0135	\$ 0.0098	\$ 0.0063	\$ 0.0000
SCQ R	ate	\$ 0.0289	(a)	(SCC	Q X 12) X M	onthly Bank 7	olerance		
FSS Inj	. & Withdrawal	\$ 0.0153	(b)	(FSS	Injection Ra	nte + FSS Wit	hdrawal Rate) X Bank Vol	ume Percent
SST Co	mmodity Rate	\$ 0.0191	(c)	SST	Rate X Bank	Volume Per	ent		
Bank V	olume Percent	5.1%	(d)	Line	2+ Line 3 +	Line 4			
			(e)	Line	5 X Line 6				

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Direct Testimony of Larry W. Martin of Columbia Gas of Ohio, Inc. was served upon all interested persons and parties of record by regular U. S. mail this 26th day of March, 2009.

Daniel A. Creekmur

Attorney for

COLUMBIA GAS OF OHIO, INC.

Anne Hammerstein Assistant Attorneys General Public Utilities Section 180 East Broad Street Columbus, OH 43215 Email: anne.hammerstein@puc.state.oh.us	Stephen E. Puican Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 E. Broad Street Columbus, OH 43215-3793 Email: steve.puican@puc.state.oh.us
Larry S. Sauer Joseph P. Serio Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel 10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, OH 43215-3485 Email: sauer@occ.state.oh.us serio@occ.state.oh.us	Vincent A. Parisi Interstate Gas Supply, Inc. 5020 Bradenton Avenue Dublin, OH 43017 Email: vparisi@igsenergy.com
Samuel C. Randazzo Lisa G. McAlister Daniel J. Neilsen McNees, Wallace & Nurick, LLC Fifth Third Center 21 East State Street, 17 th Floor Columbus, OH 43215-4228 Email: sam@mwncmh.com lmcalister@mwncmh.com dneilsen@mwncmh.com	William S. Newcomb, Jr. Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP 52 East Gay Street P.O. Box 1008 Columbus, OH 43216-1008 Email: wsnewcomb@vorys.com

John M. Dosker	John W. Bentine
Mark T. Ward	Mark S. Yurick
General Counsel	Chester, Willcox & Saxbe, LLP
Stand Energy Corporation	65 East State Street, Suite 1000
1077 Celestial Street, Suite 110	Columbus, OH 43215
Cincinnati, OH 45202-1629	Email: jbentine@cswlaw.com
Email: jdosker@stand-energy.com	myurick@cwslaw.com
mward@stand-energy.com	
Dave Rinebolt	Barth E. Royer
Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy	Bell & Royer Co., LPA
231 West Lima Street	33 South Grant Ave.
P.O. Box 1793	Columbus, OH 43215-3927
Findlay, OH 45839-1793	Email: barthroyer@aol.com
Email: drinebolt@aol.com	Estimate Guidito y or legalori. Colli
Linair, drincoonwaor.com	
M. Howard Petricoff	Leslie A. Kovacik
Stephen M. Howard	Attorney for NOAC
Vorys, Sater, Seymour & Pease, LLP	420 Madison Ave., Suite 100
52 East Gay Street	Toledo, OH 43604-1219
P.O. Box 1008	Email: leslie.kovacik@toledo.oh.gov
Columbus, OH 43216-1008	Linair. icsiic.kovacik@toicdo.on.gov
Email: mhpetricoff@vorys.com	
Email: himpetiteon@vorys.com	
Shaun Forkin	W. Jonathon Airey
Mike Griffiths	Gregory D. Russell
ProLiance Energy, LLC	Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease, LLP
2 Prestige Place, Suite 150	52 East Gay St., P.O. Box 1008
Miamisburg, Ohio 45342	Columbus, OH 43216-1008
Email: sforkin@ProLiance.com	Email: wjairey@vorys.com
mgriffiths@ProLiance.com	gdrussell@vorys.com
mgmmis@rioLiance.com	garussen@vorys.com
David F. Boehm	Larry Gerhardt
Michael L. Kurtz	Chief Legal Counsel
Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry	Ohio Farm Bureau Federation
36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510	280 North High Street, P.O. Box 182383
Cincinnati, OH 45202	Columbus, OH 43218-2383
Email: dboehm@bkllawfirm.com,	Email: lgearhardt@ofbf.org
mkurtz@bkllawfirm.com	Eman. igeamarut@om.org
mkutz@oknawnrm.com	
	Steven M. Sherman
	Attorney for ProLiance Energy, LLC
	Krieg DeVault LLP
	One Indiana Square, Suite 2800
	Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-2079
	Email: ssherman@kdlegal.com