FILE

Hunter, Donielle

From:

ContactThePUCO@puc.state.oh.us

Sent:

Friday, December 19, 2008 11:51 AM

To:

Docketing

Subject:

Docketing

Attachments: KSCH1219080M 3825523.pdf

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Investigation and Audit Division

Memorandum

Date: 12/19/2008

Re: Kirk Schuring

PUCO PUCO PUCO

Docketing Case No.:

08-917-EL-SSO 08-918-EL-SSO

Notes:

Please docket the attached in the case number above.

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business, Technician TM _____Date Processed /2/14/2008





Kirk Schuring State Sennior 28th Diatrics Ohio Senate
Statehouse
Columbus, Ohio 43215
614-466-0626
614-466-7667 Fax
E-maik sd29@mails.sen.state.oh.us

District Office: 330-478-2900

Committees; Retempte, Cheir Highways & Transpertation, Vice-Cherr Agricultura Judicary - Carming Justice Rules State & Local Government and Material & State

Bipecial Committees:
Bibly Olitens Advisory Committee, Chair
Ohio Chidren's Trust Fund Beard
Ohio Rethament Study Council

December 18, 2008

Chairman Alan R. Schriber
The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 East Broad Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dear Chairman Schriber:

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (PUCO) adopting an interim rate increase for American Electric Power (AEP) before a final determination can be made regarding AEP's rate filing.

In my opinion, an interim rate approval violates the spirit of Senate Bill 221 and denies the full due process of those who are opposed to AEP's proposed rate increase.

Notwithstanding the aforementioned interim rate increase, I also have fundamental issues with the rate filing in general because I believe these exorbitant rate hikes will unfairly burden residential consumers and senior citizens at a time when our economy is struggling and is forecast to get worse in 2009. Additionally, it will create severe harm to Ohio's employers at a time when they are trying to cut costs and minimize job losses.

Moreover, given the fact that AEP's return on equity would be approximately 15% annually, it is highly inappropriate for a rate increase of this magnitude.

For all the above reasons, I respectfully request that you do not grant an interim rate increase and proceed with due easilion as you deliberate the merits of AEP's proposed rate increase.

Thank you for your attention to this matter and please feel free to contact my office if you have any questions or concerns.

Sincerely,

Kirk Schuring State Senator

29th Scrate District

29th Sanski District: Slark (part) County