

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the :  
Application of Columbus :  
Southern Power Company for:  
Approval of its Electric :  
Security Plan; an : Case No. 08-917-EL-SSO  
Amendment to its Corporate:  
Separation Plan; and the :  
Sale or Transfer of :  
Certain Generating Assets.:

In the Matter of the :  
Application of Ohio Power :  
Company for Approval of :  
its Electric Security : Case No. 08-918-EL-SSO  
Plan; and an Amendment to :  
its Corporate Separation :  
Plan. :

PROCEEDINGS

before Ms. Kimberly W. Bojko and Ms. Greta See,  
Hearing Examiners, at the Public Utilities Commission  
of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, Room 11-C, Columbus,  
Ohio, called at 9:00 a.m. on Tuesday, December 2,  
2008.

VOLUME X

*12/16/08 Transcript*

*docketed electronically - -*

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.  
185 South Fifth Street, Suite 101  
Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201  
(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481  
Fax - (614) 224-5724

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV  
2008 DEC 16 AM 11:24  
PUCO

This is to certify that the images appearing are an  
accurate and complete reproduction of a case file  
document delivered in the regular course of business.  
Technician *Tm* Date Processed *12/16/2008*

**PUCO EXHIBIT FILING**

Date of Hearing: 12/2/08

Case No. 08-917-EL-SSO / 08-918-EL-SSO

PUCO Case Caption: In the Matter of  
Columbus Southern Power Company  
Ohio Power Company

List of exhibits being filed:

Volume ~~X~~

Companies' Ex. 13

OCC Ex. 1

Reporter's Signature: Maria DiPaolo Jones  
Date Submitted: 12/9/08

**AEP OHIO'S RESPONSE TO  
THE OFFICE OF THE OHIO CONSUMER COUNSEL  
INTERROGATORY REQUESTS  
THIRD SET  
CASE NO. 08-917-EL-SSO & CASE NO. 08-918-EL-SSO**

**INTERROGATORY REQUEST NO. 3-36**

Using the 2006 IEEE Electric Utility Reliability Survey or other industry study of the Company's choosing, for CAIDI, SAIDI, and SAIFI, in which Quartile of performance does CSP and OP fall as of December for 2004, 2005, 2006 and 2007?

**RESPONSE:**

AEP Ohio objects to providing the requested information because it is neither relevant nor likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Without waiving its objection, AEP Ohio states the following.

The Companies believe that the IEEE survey's raw results, while useful for some purposes, are not indicative of comparative performance among the surveyed companies. The IEEE survey is based on a different method of identifying major events than that used by the Companies in their PUCO reports. Calculating reliability indices for the Companies using the IEEE survey method indicates quartile performance as:

	Year	CAIDI	SAIDI	SAIFI
CSP	2004	Q3	Q4	Q4
	2005	Q3	Q4	Q4
	2006	Q3	Q3	Q3
	2007	Q3	Q4	Q3
OP	2004	Q4	Q4	Q3
	2005	Q4	Q4	Q3
	2006	Q4	Q4	Q3
	2007	Q4	Q4	Q3

Prepared by: K. G. Boyd and Counsel

**TABLE 3**

**UPDATED, REVISED MARKET BASED POWER PRICES**

CSP Estimated Competitive Electric Retail Service Price for			
Cost Components	CSP Residential	CSP Commercial	CSP Industrial
ATC Simple Swap	\$49.82	\$49.82	\$49.82
Basis	\$0.51	\$0.51	\$0.51
Load Shape and Following	\$8.26	\$4.59	\$1.99
Retail Administration	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Ancillary Services	\$1.19	\$1.19	\$1.19
Losses	\$3.46	\$2.18	\$0.78
PJM Capacity Requirements	\$15.78	\$11.80	\$7.86
ARR Credit	(\$2.73)	(\$2.05)	(\$1.40)
Transaction Risk Adder	\$5.47	\$4.93	\$4.45
Class Total	\$81.76	\$72.97	\$65.20
Class Weight	34%	40%	26%
<b>CSP Total</b>	<b>\$73.94</b>		

Cost Components	OP Residential	OP Commercial	OP Industrial
ATC Simple Swap	\$49.82	\$49.82	\$49.82
Basis	\$0.51	\$0.51	\$0.51
Load Shape and Following	\$6.60	\$5.22	\$2.22
Retail Administration	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Ancillary Services	\$1.19	\$1.19	\$1.19
Losses	\$3.85	\$2.15	\$1.10
PJM Capacity Requirements	\$13.47	\$12.51	\$8.15
ARR Credit	(\$2.42)	(\$2.16)	(\$1.41)
Transaction Risk Adder	\$5.07	\$5.13	\$4.58
Class Total	\$78.08	\$74.37	\$66.17
Class Weight	26%	22%	52%
<b>OP Total</b>	<b>\$71.07</b>		