FILE

OCC EXHIBIT	
-------------	--

2025 OCT 31 FN 4:18

PUCO BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of)	
Columbus Southern Power Company for)	Case No. 08-917-EL-SSO
Approval of its Electric Security Plan; an)	
Amendment to its Corporate Separation)	
Plan; and the Sale or Transfer of Certain)	
Generation Assets.)	
In the Matter of the Application of Ohio)	
Power Company for Approval of its)	Case No. 08-918-EL-SSO
Electric Security Plan; and an Amendment	Ś	
to its Corporate Separation Plan.)	

of WILSON GONZALEZ

ON BEHALF OF THE OFFICE OF THE OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

10 West Broad St., Suite 1800 Columbus, OH 43215

October 31, 2008

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate the production of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.

Technician Date Processed Nov. 0.3 2008

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		<u>Page</u>
I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY	4
Ш.	THE COMPANIES' DSM PROPOSAL	4

1	I.	INTRODUCTION
2	<i>Q1</i> .	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, ADDRESS AND POSITION.
3	<i>A1</i> .	My name is Wilson Gonzalez. My business address is 10 West Broad Street,
4		Suite 1800, Columbus, Ohio, 43215-3485. I am employed by the Office of the
5		Ohio Consumers' Counsel ("OCC") as a Principal Regulatory Analyst.
6		
7	Q2.	PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND
8		PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE.
9	A2.	I have a Bachelor of Arts degree in Economics from Yale University and a Master
10		of Arts degree in Economics from the University of Massachusetts at Amherst. I
11		have also completed coursework and passed my comprehensive exams towards a
12		Ph.D. in Economics at the University of Massachusetts at Amherst. I have been
13		employed in the energy industry since 1986, first with the Connecticut Energy
14		Office (Senior Economist, 1986-1992), then Columbia Gas Distribution
15		Companies ("Columbia Gas"), (Integrated Resource Planning Coordinator, 1992-
16		1996) and American Electric Power ("AEP" or "the Company") (Marketing
17		Profitability Coordinator and Market Research Consultant, 1996-2002). I have
18		been spearheading the Resource Planning activities within OCC since 2004.
19		

1	<i>Q3</i> .	PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EXPERIENCE DIRECTLY RELATED TO
2		UTILITY DEMAND-SIDE MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS AND RATE
3		DESIGN, COST-BENEFIT ANALYSIS AND PROGRAM MONITORING
4		AND EVALUATION.
5	A3.	I have been involved with many aspects of demand-side management ("DSM")
6		programs since 1986. While at the Connecticut Energy Office, I represented the
7		office in one of the first DSM collaborative processes in the country (Connecticut
8		Department of the Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 87-07-01). There, I
9		analyzed the performance and cost-effectiveness of many efficiency programs for
10		Connecticut's electric and gas utilities that led to demonstration projects, policy
11		recommendations, DSM programs (including rate design) and energy efficiency
12		standards. I also performed all the analytical modeling for United Illuminating's first
13		integrated resource plan filed before the DPUC in 1990. At Columbia Gas, I was
14		responsible for coordinating that company's Integrated Resource Plan within the
15		corporate planning department and DSM program development activities in the
16		marketing department. I designed and managed residential DSM programs in
17		Maryland and Virginia. At AEP, I conducted numerous cost benefit analyses of
18		programs being sponsored by AEP's corporate marketing department, including their
19		residential load control water heater program. For the past 4 years at OCC I have:
20		Been involved in DSM negotiations resulting in over \$140 million
21		in Energy Efficiency programs with Ohio's investor owned utilities;
22		Prepared DSM testimony in six Public Utility Commission of Ohio
23		cases;

1		Testified before the Ohio House Alternative Energy Committee in
2		support of Energy Efficiency; and
3		Assisted in the preparation of Energy Efficiency and Renewable
4		Energy testimony and amendments for S.B. 221, H.B. 357, and
5		H.B. 487.
6		
7	Q4.	HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED TESTIMONY BEFORE THE
8		PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO?
9	A4.	Yes. I submitted testimony in the following cases before the Public Utilities
10		Commission of Ohio ("Commission" or "PUCO"): Vectren Energy Delivery of
11		Ohio, Case No. 04-571-GA-AIR; Dominion East Ohio, Case No. 05-474-GA-
12		ATA; Dominion East Ohio, Case No. 07-829-GA-AIR; Vectren Energy Delivery
13		of Ohio, Case No. 05-1444-GA-UNC; Columbus Southern Company/Ohio Power
14		Company ("AEP"), Case No. 06-222-EL-SLF; Duke Energy of Ohio ("Duke
15		Energy Ohio"), Case No. 07-589-GA-AIR, Cleveland Electric Illuminating/Ohio
16		Edison/Toledo Edison ("FirstEnergy EDUs"), Case Nos. 07-551-EL-AIR, et al.
17		("Distribution Rate Cases"), Case No. 08-936-EL-MRO and Case No. 08-935-
18		EL-SSO; and Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio, Case No. 07-1080-GA-AIR.

Ţ	Q5.	WHAT DUCUMENTS HAVE YOU REVIEWED IN THE PREPARATION OF
2		YOUR TESTIMONY?
3	A5.	I have reviewed the DSM relevant testimony in the Electric Security Plan ("ESP")
4		Application filed by the Columbus Southern Power Company and the Ohio Power
5		Company (collectively, "the Companies" or "AEP Ohio"), the testimony of AEP
6		Ohio witnesses Hamrock, Castle, Godfrey, Roush, Sloneker, and Assante. I have
7		also reviewed the relevant responses to OCC discovery and Commission Staff
8		data requests pertaining to DSM.
9		
10	II.	PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY
11	Q6.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?
12	A6.	I make recommendations to some of AEP Ohio's DSM program designs and
13		address the proposed level of administrative cost. Finally, I recommend that
14		AEP Ohio develop a standard Renewable Energy Credit ("REC") purchase
15		contract for customer-sited renewable energy.
16		
17	III.	THE COMPANIES' DSM PROPOSAL
18	Q 7.	PLEASE DESCRIBE AEP OHIO'S DSM PROPOSAL.
19	A7.	AEP has proposed to meet the Amended Substitute Senate Bill No. 221 ("SB
20		221") DSM ¹ requirements with a portfolio of programs contained in the testimony
21		of Company witness Sloneker and specifically in her exhibit KLS-2. They
22		include:

¹ DSM as used throughout my testimony refers to both energy efficiency and peak demand reductions.

1		
2		• Residential Standard Offer Program, Small Commercial and Industrial
3		Standard Offer Program and Commercial and Industrial Standard
4		Offer Program
5		Targeted Energy Efficient Weatherization Program
6		• Low Income Weatherization Program
7		• Residential and Small Commercial Compact Fluorescent Lighting
8		Program
9		Commercial and Industrial Lighting Program
10		State & Municipal Light Emitting Diode (LED) Program
11		• Energy Star® New Homes Program
12		Renewable Energy Technology Program
13		• Industrial Process Partners Program
l 4		
15	Q8.	HAS AEP OHIO USED OHIO SPECIFIC INFORMATION TO DETERMINE
16		THE DSM EXPENDITURES CONTAINED IN WITNESS SLONEKER'S
17		TESTIMONY?
18	A8.	No. The Companies have used estimates from ongoing programs in other AEP
19		operating companies, especially in Texas. ² AEP Ohio witness Sloneker states
20		that "[at] this time, the Companies do not have program participation, program
21		costs, impacts and other data that are specific for their service territories." 3

² Sloneker at 20.

³ Id.

1	<i>Q9</i> .	HOW MUCH IS AEP OHIO PROPOSING TO SPEND ON DSM TO MEET
2		THE SB 221 REQUIREMENTS?
3	A9.	The Companies are proposing to spend a total of \$177,749,800 on DSM from
4		2009 through 2011. ⁴
5		
6	Q10.	WHAT ARE THE NEW STATE DSM REQUIREMENTS UNDER SB 221?
7	A10.	The energy efficiency requirements for the next three years are "at least three-
8		tenths of one per cent of the total, annual average, and normalized kilowatt-hour
9		sales of the electric distribution utility during the preceding three calendar
10		years" For 2010 the figure is an additional five-tenths of one per cent and for
11		2011, seven tenths of one per cent for a cumulative 1.5 per cent over the three
12		years. ⁶ The peak demand reductions are 1% in 2009 and an additional 0.75%
13		reduction each year thereafter through 2018. 7
14		
15	Q 11.	IS AEP OHIO'S PROPOSED DSM SPENDING LIKELY TO RESULT IN
16		THE COMPANIES MEETING THE NEW STATE DSM BENCHMARKS
17		DURING THE THREE YEAR ESP PERIOD?
18	A11.	Generally speaking and based on my experience of reviewing electric and natural
19		gas DSM spending, I believe that the level of DSM funding that the Companies
20		are proposing is at a level that should allow them to be successful in meeting the

⁴ Sloneker at 25.

⁵ ORC. SEC. 4928.66(A)(1)(a).

⁶ Id.

⁷ ORC. SEC. 4928.66(A)(1)(b).

1		state's DSM standards. Obviously, their DSM spending level will need to be
2		refined to include Ohio specific cost data and any new or modified programs that
3		come out of the Companies' DSM collaborative process.
4		
5	Q12.	DO YOU HAVE ANY OTHER CONCERNS CONCERNING AEP OHIO'S
6		PROPOSED TIMING FOR MEETING THE STATE'S DSM
7		REQUIREMENTS?
8	A12.	Yes. In the testimony of witness Castle, the Companies appears to want to meet
9		only 50% of the calendar year state DSM requirement, and instead are proposing
10		a program year starting mid-year 2009.8 AEP Ohio should be responsible for
11		meeting the energy efficiency and peak demand reduction requirements in
12		calendar year 2009, as specified in Revised Code section 4928.66(A)(1)(a) and
13		(A)(1)(b), and not in some self-defined program year.
14		
15	Q13.	DO YOU HAVE ANY PRELIMINARY CONCERNS CONCERNING AEP
16		OHIO'S PROPOSED DSM PROGRAM EXPENDITURES?
17	A13.	Yes. While AEP Ohio presents only non-Ohio estimates at this time (estimates
18		that should undergo DSM collaborative scrutiny going forward), I am concerned
19		with the relatively high levels of administrative cost projected in all of the
20		Companies' programs. According to Ms. Sloneker's Exhibit KLS-2, the
21		percentage of administrative costs as a percentage of program costs range from
22		25.8 percent to 48.3 percent for residential programs and from 26 percent to 43.4

⁸ Castle at 3.

1 for commercial and industrial programs. For comparison purposes, the Columbia 2 Gas of Ohio ("COH") energy efficiency filing approved by the Commission on 3 July 23, 2008, kept total administrative, education, and marketing costs for residential programs to less than 8.3 percent for five of its six programs. 9 For 4 5 commercial customers, three of COH's five programs have administrative, education, and marketing costs below 17.4 percent. 10 Similarly, in Duke Energy 6 7 of Ohio's 2006 DSM filing, the overall administrative and marketing costs for their residential and small business customer programs averaged 23.0 percent. 11 8 9 10 DO YOU HAVE ANY RECOMMENDATIONS CONCERNING THE *014*. 11 APPROPRIATE LEVEL OF DSM PROGRAM ADMINISTRATIVE, 12 EDUCATION, AND MARKETING EXPENDITURES? 13 Yes. While different DSM programs will require different levels of A14. 14 administrative dollars to implement, as a rule, I recommend that ratepayer funding 15 of administrative, educational and marketing expenses be determined in the 16 Companies' DSM collaborative and should not exceed in total, 25 percent of 17 program cost, unless modified for a specific program by the DSM collaborative 18 group. Limiting the administrative expenditures assures that the bulk of program 19 dollars go directly to customers to implement energy efficiency measures. 20 Finally, a more detailed dollar breakdown of the \$15 million that AEP Ohio is

⁹ Case No. 08-0833-GA-UNC, Application of Columbia Gas of Ohio, Inc. to Establish Demand Side Management Programs for Residential and Commercial Consumers, pages 21-33.

¹⁰ Id., at pages 34-48. Two small informational programs are at 100 percent but they represent only 6 percent of the total commercial program budget, see page 59 of filing.

¹¹ Case No. 06-91-EL-UNC, Appendix A, page 2 of 7 and Appendix B, page 7b of 7.

	budgeting in its General Energy Education Program should be made available and
	any dollars that can be attributed to specific programs should be accounted for in
	those specific program budgets.
Q15.	WHAT OTHER PROGRAM RECOMMENDATIONS DO YOU HAVE
	REGARDING AEP OHIO'S DSM PROGRAMS?
A15.	I have several preliminary recommendations:
•	Although AEP Ohio has proposed a comprehensive Home Performance type
	home diagnostic audit and incentive program for low income customers, OCC
	recommends that such a program be made available to all residential customers.
•	AEP Ohio should work with COH to implement a joint gas and electric "one-sto
	shop" Home Performance program for customers by year two.
•	Any programs serving populations above the 175% of the poverty line should be
	competitively bid out and should incorporate a sliding scale payment so
	customers pay according to their means. 12
•	All programs should be reviewed for cost-effectiveness, using at a minimum, the
	Total Resource Cost test. ¹³
	A15.

¹² See Columbia Gas of Ohio's Home Performance program description in pages 21-23 of their DSM filling.

 $^{^{13}}$ See 2002 "CALIFORNIA STANDARD PRACTICE MANUAL: ECONOMIC ANALYSIS OF DEMAND-SIDE PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS."

1	Q16.	DO YOU RECOMMEND THAT EFFICIENCY IMPROVEMENTS IN AEP
2		OHIO'S GENERATION FLEET BE COUNTED IN MEETING THE
3		STATE'S ENERGY EFFICIENCY REQUIREMENTS?
4	A16.	No. As part of AEP Ohio's internal energy efficiency program, they include "any
5		method or any modification or replacement of any property, process, device,
6		structure, or equipment that increases the generation output of an electric
7		generating facility to the extent such efficiency is achieved without additional
8		carbon dioxide emissions by that facility."14 While I generally support cost-
9		effective energy efficiency improvements to generation, I have been informed by
10		OCC counsel that generation efficiency projects are not eligible to count towards
11		the efficiency standard by Ohio law, only transmission and distribution efficiency
12		improvements are eligible. 15
13		
14	Q17.	DO YOU HAVE A RECOMMENDATION REGARDING CUSTOMER-SITED
15		RENEWABLE ENERGY?
16	A17.	Yes. From a public policy perspective, in order to promote the development of
17		customer-sited renewable energy and help AEP Ohio meet its renewable energy
18		requirements, the Companies should develop by the first quarter of 2009, a
19		standard Renewable Energy Credit or Certificate ("REC") purchase contract
20		based on a percentage of the Alternate Compliance provisions of ORC Section
21		4928.64 (C)(2)(a) and (b). Such a standard purchase contract would need to be

¹⁴ Sloneker at 19.

¹⁵ ORC. Sec. 4928.66 (A)(2)(d).

1		approved by the Commission and would complement the Companies' proposed
2		Renewable Energy Technology Program. 16 The Companies should make available
3		to customers a "one stop" process whereby any customer interested in onsite
4		customer generation receives information on interconnection, net-metering and
5		the sale of its RECs.
6		
7	Q18.	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
8	A18.	Yes. However, I reserve the right to incorporate new information that may
9		subsequently become available. I also reserve the right to supplement my
10		testimony in the event that AEP Ohio submits new or corrected financial or other
11		data in connection with this proceeding.

¹⁶ Sloneker, Exhibit KLS-2, page 11 of 27.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the Direct Testimony of Wilson Gonzalez on behalf of the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel, has been served upon the following parties via regular U.S. Mail service, postage prepaid (and a courtesy copy via electronic transmission) this 31st day of October, 2008.

Maureen B. Gradu

Assistant Consumers' Counsel

SERVICE LIST

Marvin Resnik Steve Nourse AEP Service Corp. 1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor Columbus, OH 43215

John W. Bentine Mark S. Yurick Matthew S. White Chester, Willcox & Saxbe LLP 65 East State St., Ste. 1000 Columbus, OH 43215-4213

Attorney for The Kroger Company, Inc.

Barth E. Royer Bell & Royer Co. LPA 33 South Grant Avenue Columbus, OH 43215-3927

The Ohio Environmental Council and Dominion Retail, Inc.

John Jones
William Wright
Werner Margard
Assistant Attorneys General
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St., 9th Fl.
Columbus, OH 43215

Nolan Moser Air & Energy Program Manager The Ohio Environmental Council 1207 Grandview Ave., Ste. 201 Columbus, OH 43212-3449

Trent A. Dougherty
The Ohio Environmental Council
1207 Grandview Ave., Ste. 201
Columbus, OH 43212-3449

M. Howard Petricoff Stephen M. Howard Vorys, Sater, Seymour And Pease LLP 52 East Gay S., P. O. Box 1008 Columbus, OH 43216-1008

Attorneys for Constellation NewEnergy, Inc., Constellation Energy Commodities Group, Inc., EnerNoc, Inc. and ConsumerPowerline

Samuel C. Randazzo
Lisa G. McAlister
Daniel J. Neilsen
Joseph M. Clark
McNees, Wallace & Nurick LLC
21 East State St., 17th Fl.
Columbus, OH 43215

Attorneys for Industrial Energy Users-Ohio

David F. Boehm, Esq. Michael L. Kurtz, Esq. Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry 36 East Seventh St., Ste. 1510 Cincinnati, OH 45202

Attorneys for The Ohio Energy Group

Michael R. Smalz Joseph V. Maskovyak Ohio State Legal Services Association Appalachian People's Action Coalition 555 Buttles Avenue Columbus, OH 43215

Attorneys for APAC Henry W. Eckhart 50 W. Broad St., #2117 Columbus, OH 43215

Attorney for The Sierra Club Ohio Chapter and Natural Resources Defense Council

Cynthia A. Fonner Senior Counsel Constellation Energy Group, Inc. 550 W. Washington St., Suite 300 Chicago, IL 60661

Attorneys for Constellation NewEnergy, Inc. and Constellation Energy Commodities Group, Inc.

David C. Rinebolt Colleen L. Mooney Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy 231 West Lima Street P.O. Box 1793 Findlay, OH 45839-1793

Attorneys for Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy

Daniel R. Conway
Porter Wright Morris & Arthur
Huntington Center
41 S. High Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215

Richard L. Sites Ohio Hospital Association 155 East Broad Street, 15th Floor Columbus, OH 43215-3620

Attorney for Ohio Hospital Association

Craig G. Goodman National Energy Marketers Association 3333 K St., N.W., Ste. 110 Washington, D.C. 20007 Sally W. Bloomfield Terrence O'Donnell Bricker & Eckler, LLP 100 South Third Street Columbus, OH 43215-4291

Larry Gearhardt
Chief Legal Counsel
Ohio Farm Bureau Federation
280 North High St., P.O. Box 182383
Columbus, OH 43218-2383

Attorney for American Wind Energy
Association, Wind On The Wires and
Ohio Advanced Energy
Clinton A. Vince
Presley R. Reed
Emma F. Hand
Ethan E.Rii
Sonnenschein, Nath & Rosenthal LLP
1301 K Street NW
Suite 600, East Tower
Washington, DC 20005

Langdon D. Bell Bell & Royer Co., LPA 33 South Grant Ave. Columbus OH 43215-3927

Attorneys for Ormet Primary Aluminum Corporation

Attorney for Ohio Manufacturer's Association

Douglas M. Mancino McDermott, Will & Emery LLP 2049 Century Park East, Ste. 3800 Los Angeles, CA 90067-3218 Gregory K. Lawrence McDermott, Will & Emery LLP 28 State Street Boston, MA 02109

Attorney for Morgan Stanley Capital Group, Inc.

Attorney for Morgan Stanley Capital Group, Inc.

Stephen M. Howard Vorys, Sater, Seymour And Pease LLP 52 East Gay S., P. O. Box 1008 Columbus, OH 43216-1008 Grace C. Wung
McDermott Will & Emery, LLP
600 Thirteenth Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20005

Attorney for Integrys Energy Services, Inc. and ConsumerPowerline

Attorney for the Commercial Group

Christopher Miller Schottenstein, Zox & Dunn Co., LPA 250 West Street Columbus, OH 43215

Attorney for The AICUO

sam@mwncmh.com lmcalister@mwncmh.com dneilsen@mwncmh.com jclark@mwncmh.com Thomas.McNamee@puc.state.oh.us william.wright@puc.state.oh.us Werner.Margard@puc.state.oh.us drinebolt@aol.com cmooney2@columbus.rr.com dboehm@bkllawfirm.com mkurtz@bkllawfirm.com miresnik@aep.com stnourse@aep.com cgoodman@energymarketers.com LGearhardt@ofbf.org LBell33@aol.com sbloomfield@bricker.com dmancino@mwe.com gwung@mwe.com

dconway@porterwright.com BarthRoyer@aol.com nmoser@theOEC.org trent@theOEC.org jbentine@cwslaw.com myurick@cwslaw.com mwhite@cwslaw.com msmalz@oslsa.org jmaskovyak@oslsa.org Cynthia.A.Fonner@constellation.com smhoward@vssp.com mhpetricoff@vssp.com ricks@ohanet.org henryeckhart@aol.com mhpetricoff@vorys.com mhpetricoff@vorys.com todonnell@bricker.com glawrence@mwe.com cmiller@szd.com