Eagle Energy, LLC

4465 Bridgetown Road Cincinnati, OH 45211 (513) 251-7283

October 22, 2008

Dear Secretary Jenkins:

RECEIVED-DOCKETING. #IV

2008 OCT 27 PM 2: 12

PUCO

The Honorable Renee' J. Jenkins Secretary Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 East Broad Street. Columbus, Ohio 43215

RE: Report entitled, "The Power of Efficiency"

WEB: www.EagleEnergyLLC.com EMAIL: EagleEnergy@fuse.net

7-EL-990

08-918 EL 550 08 920-EL-550 08-921-EL-AAM 08-922-EL-UNC 08-923-EL-ATA 08-935-EL-550 08-936-EL-550

Recently, the Environment Ohio Research & Policy Center filed a report in several electric security plan cases pending before the Commission. The report argues that energy efficiency investments are extremely cost effective and encourages Ohio to look at states across the country that have adopted strategies to increase energy efficiencies. At the outset, I want to make clear that Eagle Energy supports energy efficiency programs where benefits can be realized. The purpose of this letter is to add an important piece of information that seems to be lacking from the report.

For years, the Commission has fairly and effectively regulated the utilities in the state. The benchmark I use to come to this conclusion, in addition to being involved in regulation for three decades, is the level of rates that Ohio enjoys relative to most other jurisdictions. The report recites several states and the efficiency programs some other jurisdictions have implemented. What is missing from the report is the price of electricity end-users pay and lead to the cost of justification for efficiency programs.

The following table summarizes average retail prices as of June 2008²:

Jurisdictions ³ Average	<u>RESIDENTIAL</u> \$0.1562 per kWh	COMMERCIAL \$0.1428 per kWh	<u>INDUSTRIAL</u> \$0.1100 per kWh	
United States Average	\$0.1180 "	\$0.1088 "	\$0.0742 "	
Ohio Average	\$0.1087 "	\$0.0933 "	\$0.0632 "	

¹ See Opportunities to Expand the Economy, Save Money and Reduce Pollution in Ohio dated October 2008.

document delivered in the regular course of bus inges.

Date Processed // "Helping you get reliable energy at the most economical price"

Technician

Su

² See Report No. DOE/EIA-0226(2008/09).

³ Includes the states of California, Connecticut, Massachusetts, Minnesota, New Jersey, New This is to certify that the images appearing are an York, Vermont and Wisconsin. accurate and complete reproduction of a case file

Page 2

The report references several jurisdictions. In those jurisdictions, the average retail price in all sectors is roughly \$0.05 per kilowatt-hour higher than enjoyed by customers in the Buckeye state. Similarly, the Ohio retail price is about \$0.01 lower than the average price across the entire United States in all sectors. See the enclosed table for data for each state referenced in the report.

I have enclosed eight additional copies of this letter for filing in the following Case Nos.:

08-917-EL-SSO 08-921-EL-AAM 08-935-EL-SSO 08-918-EL-SSO 08-922-EL-UNC 08-936-EL-SSO

08-920-EL-SSO 08-923-EL-ATA

While energy efficiency and independence are valued goals, I would suggest that all programs must be fairly evaluated that should include all variables. Obviously, the avoided price is an important variable. Thank you in advance for your consideration.

Very truly yours,

Donald I. Marshall President

cc: Ms. Amy Gomberg

REPORT NO. : DOE/EIA-0226(2008/09) AVERAGE RETAIL PRICE OF ELECTRICITY DATA FOR JUNE 2008

ىرەبىد ئايىلىغان تىرىيە بايىرى تەرىپەر

S. 1992

3 4. 77

		SECTOR							
JURISDICTION		RES	IDENTIAL	COM	IMERCIAL	IND	USTRIAL		
California		\$	0.1512	\$	0.1505	\$	0.1049		
Connecticut	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		0.1923		0.1604		0.1372		
Massachusetts			0.1742		0.1718		0.1525		
Minnesota			0.1007		0.0857		0.0623		
New Jersey			0.1713		0.1677		0.1591		
New York			0.1 9 40		0.1836		0.1064		
Vermont			0.1479	-	0.1271		0.0911		
Wisconsin			0.1182		0.0954		0.0668		
Average		\$	0.1562	\$	0.1428	\$			
Ohio		\$	0.1087	\$	0.0933	\$	0.0632		
<i>Difference:</i> Amount		\$	(0.0475)	\$	(0.0495)	\$	(0.0468)		
Amount		₽	(0.0475)	7	(0.0495)	<u> </u>	(0.0408)		
Percent			30.4%		34.7%		42.6%		
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
United States		\$	0.1180	\$	0.1088	\$	0.0742		
<i>Difference:</i> Amount		\$	(0.0093)	\$	(0.0155)	\$	(0.0110)		
Percent			7.9%		14.2%		14.8%		