FILE

RECEIVED-DOCKETING BIV

2008 SEP 29 PM 4: 41

PUCO

SZD SCHOTTENSTEIN ZOX & DUNNIN 178

ANDRE PORTER TELEPHONE: 614-462-1065 FAX: 614-222-4707 EMAIL: APORTER@SZD.COM

September 29, 2008

# VIA HAND DELIVERY

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Docketing Division, 10<sup>th</sup> Floor 180 East Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793

RE: Case No. 08-935-EL-SSO

Dear Sir/Madam:

The attached Direct Testimony of John T. Courtney, P.E. on Behalf of the City of Cleveland in the above captioned case is hereby served on the Commission.

Pursuant to Rule 4901-1-02 of the Ohio Administrative Code, attached to this correspondence is one (1) original and twenty (20) copies.

Please provide one (1) time-stamped copy of the attached document to the representative hand-delivering this information.

Sincerely,

Andre Porter

ATP:rac

**Enclosures** 

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.

Date Processed

{H1339741,1}

# AMSER 23 PH 4.4 1 MG MA

# BEFORE

September 29, 2008

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, and The Toledo Edison Company for Authority to Case No. 08-935-EL-SSO Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to R.C. § 4928.143 in the Form of an Electric Security Plan **DIRECT TESTIMONY OF** JOHN T. COURTNEY, P.E. ON BEHALF OF THE CITY OF CLEVELAND, OHIO 

1			BEFORE		
2		THE PUBLIC UTIL	ITIES COMMI	SSION OF OHIO	
3					
4					
5					
6		of the Application of Ohio	)		
7		oany, The Cleveland Electric	)		
8		Company, and The Toledo	)		
9	-	oany for Authority to	)	Case No. 08-935	-EL-SSO
10		tandard Service Offer	)		
11		C.C. § 4928.143 in the Form	)		
12	of an Electric	Security Plan	)		
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					_
18					
19		DIRE	CT TESTIMO	NY	
20			OF		
21		JOHN T	C. COURTNEY	, P.E.	
22		ON	N BEHALF OF	l	
23			THE		
24		CITY OF	CLEVELAND	, OHIO	
25				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
26					
27					
28					
29			I. INDEX		
	*	INTRODUCTION			1
30	I.	INTRODUCTION		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1
31	П.	MINIMUM DEFAULT SE	RVICE CHAR	GE	4
32	III.	STANDBY CHARGE			5
	TT 1		TIPNI DINED		r
33	IV.	DELTA REVENUE RECO	IVERY KIDER		6
34	V.	CONCLUSIONS		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	8
35		CERTIFICATE OF SERVI	(CE		9
36		EXHIBITS			

1		BEFORE
2		THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO
3		
4		
5		
6		Matter of the Application of Ohio )
7		n Company, The Cleveland Electric )
8		inating Company, and The Toledo )
9		n Company for Authority to ) Case No. 08-935-EL-SSO
10		lish a Standard Service Offer )
11		ant to R.C. § 4928.143 in the Form )
12	of an	Electric Security Plan )
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		DIRECT TESTIMONY
20		OF
21		JOHN T. COURTNEY, P.E.
22		ON BEHALF OF
23		THE
24		CITY OF CLEVELAND, OHIO
25		
26		
27		
28		<b>-</b>
29		I. INTRODUCTION
30	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
31	A.	My name is John T. Courtney. My business address is Courtney & Associates, 1016
32		North Blanchard Street, P.O. Box 676, Findlay, Ohio 45839.
33	Q.	What is your position with Courtney & Associates?
34	A.	I am the owner of the firm.
35	O.	In what business is Courtney & Associates engaged?

- Courtney & Associates provides consulting services to municipal, industrial and non-A. 1 profit clients with respect to public utility rates, contracts, and other utility-related 2 matters. 3
- 4 Q. Please describe your educational background and professional experience.
- 5 A. I graduated from Ohio Northern University in 1976 with a B.S. in Civil Engineering. I have been a registered professional engineer in Ohio since 1980. I have been a public 6 utility consultant since 1981, first with the Findlay, Ohio firm of J.S. Sawvel and 7 Associates, then with Courtney & Associates, which I formed in 1989. A more detailed 8 9 description of my professional experience is provided in Exhibit JTC-1, which is attached to my testimony. 10
  - O. Have you previously testified before this Commission?
- Yes. As shown in Exhibit JTC-1, I testified regarding billing and rate design issues on A. behalf of a large commercial customer in Toledo Edison's 1981 rate case, Case No. 81-620-EL-AIR. I also testified on behalf of a property owner's association with respect to projected cash flow requirements in a water company emergency rate case, Case No. 85-519-WW-AEM, and I testified in Case No. 95-02-EL-ABN on behalf of Clyde, Ohio in connection with Clyde's efforts to reestablish its municipal electric system. I also testified in Case Nos. 03-2045-EL-CSS and 04-85-EL-CSS on behalf of Miami Valley Communications Council, Dominion Retail, Inc. and Green Mountain Energy Company concerning the reasonableness of Dayton Power & Light's Company's charges for providing consolidated billing services to competitive retail electric service ("CRES") providers. Most recently, I submitted testimony in Case No. 08-936-EL-SSO concerning 22 the reasonableness of the Application of Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric 23

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1		Illuminating Company and The Toledo Edison Company (collective referred to as
2		"Companies") for Approval of a Market Rate Offer to Conduct a Competitive Bidding
3		Process for Standard Service Offer Electric Generation Supply, Accounting
4		Modifications Associated with Reconciliation Mechanism, and Tariffs for Generation
5		Service.
6	Q.	On whose behalf are you testifying in these proceedings?
7	A.	I am testifying on behalf of the City of Cleveland, Ohio ("Cleveland"), an intervenor in
8		this proceeding.
9	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
10	A.	I have been retained by Cleveland to evaluate the reasonableness of the Compaines'
11		Application for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to R.C. §
12		4928.143 in the Form of an Electric Security Plan.
13	Q.	What materials and information did you review in performing this evaluation?
14	A.	I reviewed the materials and information filed by the Companies in this proceeding. I
15		also reviewed relevant portions of the Ohio Revised Code relating to the establishment of
16		a standard service offer price for retail electric generation service under a Market Rate
17		Offer ("MRO") and the establishment of an Electric Security Plan ("ESP").
18	Q.	What conclusions did you reach as a result of your evaluation of the Companies
19		Application for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to R.C. §
20		4928.143 in the Form of an Electric Security Plan?
21	A.	For reasons explained in my testimony, I conclude that:
22 23 24		<ol> <li>The Companies have not provided any evidence or quantitative analysis supporting their proposed Minimum Default Service (MDS) Charge and that the proposed MDS Charge is arbitrary and should be disallowed by the Commission; and</li> </ol>

- 2. The Companies have not provided any evidence or quantitative analysis supporting their proposed Standby Charge and that the proposed Standby Charge is arbitrary and should be disallowed by the Commission; and
- 3. The Companies' proposed Delta Revenue Recovery Rider purports to grant the Companies automatic, 100% recovery of non-quantified, unidentified and uncontrolled costs (including revenue variances) without adequate review by the Commission, its staff, customers and other interested parties and, at minimum, the Commission should limit the amount of Delta Revenue to be recovered through the Delta Revenue Recovery Rider so as not to impose a financial hardship on the retail customers that do not receive a discount through a special contract.

# II. MINIMUM DEFAULT SERVICE CHARGE

# Q. What is the "MDS" Charge proposed by the Companies?

- A. As described on page 14 of the Application, and on pages 10-12 of the direct testimony
  of the Companies' witness Mr. Kevin T. Warvell, the proposed MDS Charge is a 1.0 cent
  per kWh, non-bypassable charge, necessary to recover, among other things, generation
  related administrative costs and hedging costs associated with the Companies' obligation
  to serve the entire load of their retail customers, which is included in the Companies'
  proposed base generation charges.
  - Q. Do you agree with the Companies' proposed MDS Charge?
- 23 A. No, I do not. It is my opinion that the Companies' proposed MDS Charge is arbitrary
  24 and is merely intended as mechanism to allow the "base generation price to be offered at
  25 a lower level than otherwise would have been achievable," as stated on lines 1 and 2 of
  26 page 12 of Mr. Warvell's direct testimony, thus providing a disincentive for customers to
  27 switch to an alternate supplier. Furthermore, the Companies have not provided any
  28 evidence or quantitative analysis justifying the proposed 1.0 cent per kWh MDS Charge.

- 1 Q. How would you propose that the Commission deal with the proposed MDS Charge?
- 2 A. I would propose that the Commission disallow the proposed MDS Charge and require the
- 3 Companies to provide a quantitative analysis justifying the proposed MDS Charge.

4

5

# III. STANDBY CHARGE

- 6 Q. Please describe the Standby Service Charge proposed by the Companies?
- 7 A. As described on page 15 of the Application and on pages 20-22 of the direct testimony of
- 8 Companies' witness Mr. Kevin T. Warvell, the proposed Standby Charge, which is 1.5
- 9 cents/kWh in 2009, 2.0 cents/kWh in 2010 and 2.5 cents/kWh in 2011, is a bypassable,
- generation charge intended to compensate the Companies for costs associated with
- hedging the risk of customers that have switched to an alternative supplier wanting to
- return to the Companies at the SSO base generation rate.
- 13 Q. Do you agree with the Companies' proposed Standby Charge?
- 14 A. No, I do not. It is my opinion that the Companies' proposed Standby Charge is arbitrary
- and is merely intended as mechanism to dissuade customers from switching to an
- alternate supplier. Furthermore, the Companies have not provided any evidence or
- quantitative analysis justifying the proposed Standby Charges.
- 18 Q. How would you propose that the Commission deal with the proposed Standby
- 19 Charge?
- 20 A. I would propose that the Commission disallow the proposed Standby Charge and require
- the Companies to provide a quantitative analysis justifying the proposed Standby Charge.

#### IV. DELTA REVENUE RECOVERY RIDER

Please describe the Delta Revenue Recovery Rider proposed by the Companies?

2	Q.	Please describe the Delta Revenue Recovery Rider proposed by the Companies?
3	A.	As described on pages 27 and 28 of the Application and on pages 11 and 12 of the direct
4		testimony of Companies' witness Mr. Gregory F. Hussing, the proposed Delta Revenue
5		Recovery Rider is intended to provide 100% recovery of revenue foregone resulting from
6		any reasonable arrangement, governmental special contract, or unique arrangement. The
7		Delta Revenues are to be recovered through the Delta Revenue Recovery Rider, which
8		will be applicable to all of the Companies retail customers, except that Delta Revenues
9		related to existing special contracts with CEI customers that continue past December 31,
10		2008 will be recovered only from CEI's retail customers.

- Q. How does the Companies' proposed Delta Revenue Recovery Rider purport to grant the Companies an automatic, 100% recovery of non-quantified, unidentified and uncontrolled costs (including revenue variances) without adequate review by the Commission, its staff, customers and other interested parties?
- A. The Companies have not provided any information in their filing with regards to the process for reviewing and approving changes in the Delta Revenue Recovery Rider or the potential magnitude of these future Delta Revenues. In essence, Rider CRT would provide the Companies a blank check to pass on to its customers 100% of non-quantified, unidentified and uncontrolled delta revenues they incur associated with special contracts without adequate review by the Commission, its staff, customers and other interested parties.

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

O.

- Q. In your opinion, should the Companies be allowed to automatically recover 100% of the delta revenues through the Delta Revenue Recovery Rider?
- A. No. In my opinion, future recovery of these items should be subject to full review of the
  Commission, its staff, customers and other interested parties as a part of a full rate setting
  process.
- 6 Q. Do you have any other concerns with regards to Rider CRT?
- 7 A. Yes. I am concerned that the automatic recovery of 100% of the Delta Revenues could have a significant, adverse impact on the rates of retail customers that do not receive a 8 9 discount through a special contract. This impact will be in addition to the base rate increase currently proposed by the companies. As indicated previously, the Companies 10 11 have not provided any information in their filing with regards to the potential magnitude of the future Delta Revenues to be recovered through the Delta Revenue Recovery Rider. 12 13 I am also concerned that there is nothing in the Companies' proposal that would prevent them from giving 25% discounts to all of their General Service - Primary, General 14 Service - Subtransmission and General Service - Transmission customers, which would 15 16 result in a Delta Revenue Recovery Rider of nearly 1.7 cent per kWh. This would equate to rate increase of nearly 15% for Residential Service customers on top of the increase 17 18 proposed for the base rates.
- Q. How would you propose that the Commission deal with the proposed Standby
   Charge?
- A. First of all, I would propose that the Commission establish a clear policy with regards to
  the review and approval of any future special contract. That policy should give all parties
  an opportunity to review the proposed special contract and to present arguments opposing

the special contract and recovery of the Delta Revenues. Secondly, I would propose that the Commission set a cap on the amount of Delta Revenue that can be recovered through the Delta Revenue Recovery Rider. That cap should take into account the impact on the retail customers that do not receive a discount through a special contract.

# IV. CONCLUSIONS

- Q. What are your conclusions regarding the Companies' Application for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to R.C. § 4928.143 in the Form of an Electric Security?
- A. I conclude that: (1) the Companies have not provided any evidence or quantitative analysis supporting their proposed Minimum Default Service (MDS) Charge and that the proposed MDS Charge is arbitrary and should be disallowed by the Commission; (2) the Companies have not provided any evidence or quantitative analysis supporting their proposed Standby Charge and that the proposed Standby Charge is arbitrary and should be disallowed by the Commission; and (3) the Companies should not be allowed to automatically recover 100% of the delta revenues associated with special contracts without adequate review by the Commission, its staff, customers and other interested parties and that the Commission should limit the amount of Delta Revenue to be recovered through the Delta Revenue Recovery Rider so as not to impose a financial hardship on the retail customers that do not receive a discount through a special contract.
- 21 Q. Does that conclude your testimony?
- 22 A. Yes, it does.

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a copy of the Direct Testimony of John T. Courtney, P.E. on Behalf of the City of Cleveland, Ohio was served via electronic mail to the parties listed on the attached Exhibit A and by regular mail service to the parties listed on the attached Exhibit B on the 29th of September, 2008.

Additionally, a copy of Direct Testimony of John T. Courtney, P.E. on Behalf of the City of Cleveland, Ohio was hand delivered to Jones Day, Mark A. Whitt, Andrew J. Campbell, P.O. Box 165017, 325 John H. McConnell Blvd. Suite 600, Columbus, OH 43216-5017 and the Public Utilities Commission, 180 East Broad St., 3rd Floor, Columbus, OH 43215.

Andre T. Porter

#### EXHIBIT A

#### Case 08-935-EL-SSO Electronic Mail Service List

Jones Day
Mark A. Whit
Andrew J. Campbell
P.O. Box 165017
325 McConnell Blvd., Suite 600
Columbus, OH 43216-5017
mawhitt@jonesday.com
ajcampbell@jonesday.com

#### Ohio Energy Group, Inc. (OEG)

Michael L. Kurtz
David F. Boehm
Kurt J. Boehm
Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry
36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510
Cincinnati, OH 45202
mkurtz@ BKLlawfirm.com
dboehm@BKLlawfirm.com
kboehm@BKLlawfirm.com

#### The Ohio Manufacturers' Association

Kevin Schmidt 33 North High Street Columbus, OH 43215 kschmidt@ohiomfg.com

#### City of Akron

Sean W. Vollman
David A. Muntean
161 S. High Street, Suite 202
Akron, OH 44308
330.375.2030 — P
330.375.2041 — F
vollmse@ci.akron.oh.us
munteda@ci.akron.oh.us

Gregory K. Lawrence
McDermott Will & Emery LLP
28 State Street
Boston MA 02109
glawrence@mwe.com

American Wind Energy Association Wind on the Wires
Ohio Advanced Energy
Sally W. Bloomfield
Terrence O'Donnell
E. Brett Breitschwerdt
Teresa Orahood, Paralegal
Bricker & Eckler LLP
100 South Third Street
Columbus, OH 43215-4291
sbloomfield@bricker.com
todonnell@bricker.com
torahood@bricker.com
bbreitschwerdt@bricker.com

Direct Energy Services, LLC
Constellation NewEnergy, et al.
Integrys Energy
National Energy Marketers Assn.
Ohio Association of Business Officials, et al.
M. Howard Petricoff
Stephen M. Howard
Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP
52 East Gay Street
P.O. Box 1008
Columbus, OH 43216-1008
Mhpetricoff@vorys.com

#### Ohio Hospital Association

smhoward@vorys.com

Richard L. Sites 155 E. Broad Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor Columbus, OH 43215-3620 614.221.7614 – P ricks@ohanet.org

#### Citizen Power

Theodore S. Robinson
2121 Murray Avenue
Pittsburgh, PA 15217
robinson@citizenpower.com

#### NOAC- Maumee

Sheilah H. McAdams
Marsh & McAdams – Law Director
204 West Wayne Street
Maumee, OH 43547
419.893.4880 – P
419.893.5891 – F
sheilahmca@aol.com

#### **NOAC- Northwood**

Brian J. Ballenger
Ballenger & Moore – Law Director
3401 Woodville Rd., Suite C
Toledo, OH 43619
419.698.1040 – P
419.698.5493 – F
ballengerlawbib@sbcglobal.net

#### NOAC- Oregon

Paul S. Goldberg, Law Director 6800 W. Central Ave. Toledo, OH 43617-1135 419.843.5355 – P pgoldberg@ci.oregon.oh.us

#### **NOAC-Sylvania**

James E. Moan, Law Director 4930 Holland-Sylvania Rd Sylvania, OH 43560 419.882.7100 – P 419.882.7201 – F jimmoan@hotmail.com

#### Dominion Retail. Inc.

Barth E. Royer
Langdon D. Bell
Bell & Royer, LPA
33 South Grant Avenue
Columbus, OH 43215
barthroyer@aol.com
Lbell33@aol.com

#### Constellation Energy Group, Inc.

David I. Fein
Vice President, Energy Policy – Midwest
550 West Washington Blvd., Suite 300
Chicago, IL 60661
David.fein@constellation.com

#### Kroger Co

John W. Bentine
Matthew S. White
Mark Stephen Yurick
Chester Wilcox & Saxbe, LLP
65 E. State St., Suite 1000
Columbus, OH 43215
jbentine@cwslaw.com
mwhite@cwslaw.com
myurick@cwslaw.com

#### **NOAC-Lake**

Thomas R. Hays
Lake Township – Solicitor
3315 Centennial Road, Suite A-2
Sylvania, OH 43560
419.843.5355 – P
419.843.5350 – F
hayslaw@buckeve-express.com

#### **Lucas County Commissioners**

Lance M. Keiffer
Lucas County Assist Prosecuting Atty
711 Adams St., 2nd Floor
Toledo, OH 43624-1680
419.213.2001 – P
419.213.2011 – F
lkeiffer@co.lucas.oh.us

## Northeast Ohio Public Energy Council Ohio Schools Council, NOPEC

Glenn S. Krassen
Bricker & Eckler LLP
1375 E. 9<sup>th</sup> St., Suite 1500
Cleveland, OH 44114
gkrassen@bricker.com

# Northwest Ohio Aggregation Coalition (NOAC) Toledo

Leslie A. Kovacik
Kerry Bruce
420 Madison Ave., Suite 100
Toledo, OH 43604-1219
419.245.1893 – P
419.245.1853 – F
leslie.kovacik@toledo.oh.gov
kbruce@toledo.oh.gov

#### **Ohio Environmental Council**

Nolan Moser Trent Dougherty 1207 Grandview Ave. Suite 201 Columbus, OH 43212 nmoser@theoec.org trent@theoec.org

{H1339662.1}

#### **NOAC- Holland**

Paul Skaff
Leatherman Witzler Dombey & Hart
353 Elm St.
Perrysburg, OH 43551
P hone: 419.874.3536
419.874.3899 – F
paulskaff@justice.com

#### **Material Sciences Corporation**

Craig I. Smith 2824 Coventry Road Cleveland, Ohio 44120 216.561.9410 – P wis29@yahoo.com

#### Counsel of Smaller Enterprises (COSE)

Steve Millard
The Higbee Building
100 Public Square, Suite 201
Cleveland, OH 44113
smillard@cose.org

#### Calfee Halter & Griswold LLP

Laura McBride
Alexander Trevor
James Lang
1400 Keybank Center
800 Superior Ave.
Cleveland, OH 44114
lmcbride@calfee.com;
atrevor@calfee.com
jlang@calfee.com

#### Dominion Retail, Inc.

Gary A. Jeffries, Senior Counsel 501 Martindale Street, Suite 400 Pittsburgh, PA 15212-5817 Gary.a.jeffries@dom.com

# **Omnisource Corporation**

Damon E. Xenopoulos
Shaun Mohler
Brickfield Burchette Ritts & Stone P.C.
1025 Thomas Jefferson Street NW
8th Floor West Tower
WASHINGTON DC
dex@bbrslaw.com
shaun.mohler@bbrslaw.com

#### Office of the Ohio Consumer's Counsel

Jeffrey L. Small
Jacqueline Lake Roberts
Richard C. Reese
Gregory J. Poulos
10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800
Columbus, OH 43215-3485
small@occ.state.oh.us
roberts@occ.state.oh.us
reese@occ.state.oh.us
poulos@occ.state.oh.us

#### Constellation Energy Resoruces, LLC

Cynthia A. Fonner, Senior Counsel 550 West Washington Blvd., Suite 300 Chicago, IL 60661 Cynthia.a.fonner@constellation.com

# Citizen Power, Inc. David Hughes Kelli O'Neill

Ronald O'Connell
2121 Murray Avenue
Pittsburh, PA 15217

robinson@citizenpower.com

# National Energy Marketers Assn.

Craig G. Goodman, President 3333 K Street NW, Suite 110 Washington, DC 20007 cgoodman@energymarketers.com

#### Industrial Energy Users - Ohio

Samuel C. Randazzo (Counsel of Record)
Lisa G. McAlister
Daniel J. Neilsen
Joseph M. Clark
McNees, Wallace & Nurick LLC
21 East State Street, 17th Floor
Columbus, OH 43215
sam@mwncmh.com
lmcalister@mwncmh.com
dneilsen@mwncmh.com
jclark@mwncmh.com

#### Office of the Ohio Attorney General

John Jones
William Wright
Public Utilities Section
180 East Broad Street, 9th Floor
Columbus, OH 43215
john.jones@puc.state.oh.us
William.wright@puc.state.oh.us

# The Natural Resources Defense Council Sierra Club

Henry W. Eckhart 50 West Broad Street, #2117 Columbus, OH 43215 henryeckhart@aol.com

# Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy

David C., Rinebolt, Trial Attorney Colleen L. Mooney 231 West Lima Street P.O. Box 1793 Findlay, OH 45839-1793 drinebolt@aol.com cmooney@columbus.tr.com

#### Nucor Steel Marion, Inc.

Garrett A. Stone (Counsel of Record)
Michael K. Lavanga
Brickfield, Burchette, Ritts & Stone, P.C.
1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, N.W.
8<sup>th</sup> Floor, West Tower
Washington, DC 20007
gas@bbrslaw.com
mkl@bbrslaw.com

Neighborhood Environmental Coalition
The Empowerment Center of Greater Cleveland
United Clevelanders Against Poverty
Cleveland Housing Network
The Consumers for Fair Utility Rates
Joseph P. Meissner
Cleveland Legal Aid Society
1223 West Sixth Street
Cleveland, OH 44113
Jpmeissn@lasclev.org

#### Ohio Farm Bureau Federation

Larry Gearhardt, Chief Legal Counsel 280 North High Street P.O. Box 182383 Columbus, OH 43218-2383 lgearhardt@ofbj.org

# EXHIBIT B

# Case 08-935-EL-SSO Regular Mail Service List

Gary Reese Director of Environmental Service Memorial Hospital of Union County Marysville, OH 43040

Max Rothal, Director of Law 161 South High Street, Suite 202 Akron, OH 44308

Steven L. Beeler, Assistant Director of Law City of Cleveland 601 Lakeside Ave., Room 106 Cleveland, OH 44114

Cleveland Electric Illuminating Co. Ohio Edison Company Harvey L. Wagner 76 South Main Street Akron, OH 44308

#### JOHN T. COURTNEY

B.S., Civil Engineering
Ohio Northern University (1976)

Registered Professional Engineer - Ohio (1980)

#### **GENERAL**

Mr. Courtney's professional experience consists primarily of the preparation of economical analyses, feasibility studies, utility rate and cost of service studies and other related matters. For the past twenty-five years, Mr. Courtney has advised municipal and industrial clients in various utility-related matters including rates, financing, operations, management, computerized billing, contract negotiations, etc. He has also testified on numerous occasions as an expert witness concerning rate and other matters before federal and state regulatory agencies.

Mr. Courtney, in conjunction with legal counsel, was directly responsible for the preparation of the feasibility study which lead to the re-establishment of a municipally owned electric system in the City of Clyde, Ohio. He was intimately involved in the projected cost analyses as well as the negotiation of the power supply arrangements for the city and the negotiation of a contract between the city and its largest customer. He was also responsible for the preparation of the Consulting Engineer's Report that was utilized by the city's financial advisors in the marketing of the revenue bonds issued by the city.

Mr. Courtney assisted in the development of a power pooling arrangement for a group of municipalities that allowed for a more efficient utilization of their power supply resources. He also assisted in the preparation of the various contracts and rates required to insure that each municipality was fairly allocated its share of the cost and he was responsible for the implementation of the pool.

Prior to working as an consultant, Mr. Courtney was employed by the Engineering Department of the City of Findlay, Ohio. His responsibilities there included overseeing the design and construction of various capital improvement projects. While employed there he also gained experience in the operation and management of the city's utility, street, and parks departments.

# **WORK HISTORY**

8/1/89 - Present	Owner of Courtney & Associates which provides consulting services to municipal and industrial clients in the area of utility rates and other related matters.
5/81 - 8/89	Employed by J. S. Sawvel and Associates, a public utility consulting firm, advising primarily municipal and industrial clients in the area of utility rates and other matters.
5/76 - 5/81	Employed by the City of Findlay, Ohio Engineering Department. Responsible for overseeing the design, construction and financing of capital improvement projects.
5/72 5/76	Employed as co-op student by the City of Findlay, Ohio Engineering Department. Assigned to various City Departments (i.e., utilities, streets, parks, etc.).

# **OTHER QUALIFICATIONS**

Bachelor of Science, Civil Engineering - Ohio Northern University (1976)

# Member

- National Society Professional Engineers
- Ohio Society Professional Engineers Blanchard Valley Chapter (Past President)
- American Water Works Association
- American Municipal Power Ohio (Associate Member)

# SUMMARY OF TESTIMONY FOR JOHN T. COURTNEY

JURISDICTION	CASE NO.	CONTENT OF TESTIMONY
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio	81-620-EL-AIR	Testified on behalf of Seaway Food Town, Inc. concerning billing determinants and rate design in a proceeding on Toledo Edison's electric rates.
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission	ER84-348-001	Testified on behalf of Municipal Resale Customers of Ohio Power Co. in a proceeding involving the equalization of EHV transmission costs among American Electric Power Co.'s operating subsidiaries.
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio	85-519-WW-AEM	Testified on behalf of Lake Buckhorn Property Owners Association concerning reasonableness of emergency rate relief and projected cash flow requirements in a proceeding on rates of Lake Buckhorn Utilities, Inc.
Bankr. D. N.J.	85-00317 Chapter 11	Testified on behalf of Lake Buckhorn Property Owners Association concerning valuation of water property.
Common Pleas, Defiance County (OH)	22964	Testified concerning damages on behalf of plaintiffs in proceeding involving negligent misrepresentation claim against Toledo Edison (case reported at 87 Ohio App. 3d 525 (1993)).
Circuit Court, Wayne County (MI)	92-227151 CK	Testified for plaintiff concerning damages in proceeding against Detroit Edison for breach of contract (wrongful appropriation of employee idea for service improvement).

# SUMMARY OF TESTIMONY FOR JOHN T. COURTNEY

JURISDICTION	CASE NO.	CONTENT OF TESTIMONY
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio	95-02-EL-ABN	Testified on behalf of Clyde, Ohio concerning Clyde's efforts to reestablish its municipal electric system (Miller Act Case).
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio	03-2405-EL-CSS 04-85-EL-CSS	Testified on behalf of Miami Valley Communications Council, Dominion Retail, Inc. and Green Mountain Energy Company concerning the reasonableness of Dayton Power & Light Company's charges for providing consolidated billing services to competitive retail electric service ("CRES") providers.
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio	08-936-EL-SSO	Testified on behalf of the City of Cleveland, Ohio concerning the reasonableness of the Application of Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company and The Toledo Edison Company (collective referred to as "Companies") for Approval of a Market Rate Offer to Conduct a Competitive Bidding Process for Standard Service Offer Electric Generation Supply, Accounting Modifications Associated with Reconciliation Mechanism, and Tariffs for Generation Service