


**BEFORE
THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO**

In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Edison)	
Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating)	
Company and The Toledo Edison Company for)	Case No. 08-0935-EL-SSO
Authority To Establish A Standard Service Offer)	
Pursuant to R.C. §4928.143 In the Form Of An)	
Electric Security Plan		

**NORTHEAST OHIO PUBLIC ENERGY COUNCIL
MOTION FOR LEAVE TO INTERVENE**

Pursuant to Ohio Rev. Code § 4903.221 and Ohio Admin. Code § 4901-1-11, the Northeast Ohio Public Energy Council ("NOPEC") respectfully requests that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio grant NOPEC's motion to intervene in this proceeding. The reasons supporting NOPEC's intervention are contained in the accompanying Memorandum in Support.

Respectfully submitted,



Glenn S. Krassen
BRICKER & ECKLER LLP
1375 East Ninth Street
Suite 1500
Cleveland, Ohio 44114
(216) 523-5405 Phone
(216) 523-7071 Fax

E. Brett Breitschwerdt
BRICKER & ECKLER LLP
100 South Third Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215
(614) 227-2301 Phone
(614) 227-2301 Fax

Attorneys for Northeast Ohio Public
Energy Council

**BEFORE
THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO**

In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Edison)	
Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating)	
Company and The Toledo Edison Company for)	Case No. 08-0935-EL-SSO
Authority To Establish A Standard Service Offer)	
Pursuant to R.C. §4928.143 In the Form Of An)	
Electric Security Plan)	

**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF
NORTHEAST OHIO PUBLIC ENERGY COUNCIL'S
MOTION FOR LEAVE TO INTERVENE**

Pursuant to Ohio Rev. Code § 4903.221 and Ohio Admin. Code § 4901-1-11, the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("Commission") should grant the Northeast Ohio Public Energy Council's ("NOPEC") Motion for Leave to Intervene in this proceeding for the following reasons.

The Northeast Ohio Public Energy Council ("NOPEC") is a regional council of governments established under Chapter 167 of the Ohio Revised Code. NOPEC is comprised of 126 member counties, municipalities and townships in nine counties in Northeastern Ohio. NOPEC is a political subdivision of the State of Ohio, and a governmental aggregator certified by this Commission to provide both electricity and natural gas services. NOPEC has aggregated the electricity supplies for approximately 450,000 electric customers located in The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company ("CEI") and Ohio Edison Company ("OE") service areas of FirstEnergy Corp. NOPEC is the largest public energy aggregator in the State and the nation.

NOPEC meets the standards in statute and rule for intervention in these cases. O.R.C. 4903.221; O.A.C. 4901-1-11.

On July 31, 2008, Ohio Edison Company (“OE”), The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company (“CEI”) and Toledo Edison Company (“TE”; collectively the “Operating Companies”) filed Applications to establish an electric security plan or market rate offer as provided for in Amended Substitute Senate Bill 221 (“SB 221”).

CEI and OE currently provide utility service to NOPEC’s aggregated customers. As such, the outcome of this proceeding will have a real and substantial impact on NOPEC, a “person who may be adversely affected” by the proceeding. O.R.C. 4903.221. NOPEC may be adversely affected for reasons that include, but are not limited to, the following.

NOPEC is the governmental aggregator to approximately 450,000 customers in the CEI and OE service territories. NOPEC has been the largest governmental aggregator in such utility territories. NOPEC’s customers are currently served with standard offer service by OE and CEI. Prior to January 1, 2006, NOPEC’s customers received their generation service from a competitive retail electric supplier under a NOPEC aggregation program. NOPEC’s ability to again provide meaningful savings to its customers is dependent upon obtaining a satisfactory outcome in this proceeding for large scale governmental aggregators. Without an appropriate competitive framework for large scale governmental aggregation, NOPEC is deeply concerned that its customers will not be able to obtain generation service in Northeast Ohio after January 1, 2009 from any competitive supplier and will have no choice other than proposed SSO service. NOPEC wants to ensure that its large scale governmental aggregation electricity customers in Northern Ohio will have the benefits intended by the General Assembly in SB 221.¹

¹ See O.R.C. 4928.20(K) (mandating that the Commission encourage and promote large-scale governmental aggregation).

After an initial review of the Application, NOPEC is concerned with new charges proposed by the Operating Companies, whether such charges are lawful, and whether they should be bypassable by NOPEC customers shopping in a NOPEC large scale governmental aggregation program. In Senate Bill 221, the General Assembly mandated that the Commission consider the effect on large-scale governmental aggregation of any nonbypassable generation charges proposed within an ESP plan, however they are proposed to be collected.² NOPEC is interested in how the “avoidable costs” for shoppers in a large scale electric governmental aggregation will be calculated and determined, as those will become the “price(s) to beat”. NOPEC is particularly concerned, among others, with the Operating Companies’ proposals related to their proposed non-bypassable 1 cent per kwh “minimum default service rider” contained in the proposed base generation charge, the calculation of their proposed standby charge and the amount of the proposed phased-in deferral that the Operating Companies’ propose, as well as the manner of handling the allocation of deferrals as related to the customers of a large scale governmental aggregation such as NOPEC.

NOPEC is clearly affected by this proceeding. Additionally, NOPEC meets the four statutory criteria that the Commission must consider for interventions. First, the “nature and extent” of NOPEC’s “interest” warrant the granting of intervention.³ NOPEC is a political subdivision of the State of Ohio and the largest governmental energy aggregator in the State.

The second statutory standard is the prospective intervener’s “legal position” and “its probable relation to the merits of the case.”⁴ As noted above, NOPEC’s legal position is as a large scale governmental aggregator whose customers will be affected by the Operating Companies’ proposals contained within its Application. NOPEC’s positions are related, *inter*

² See O.R.C. 4928.20(K)

³ O.R.C. 4903.221(B)(1).

⁴ O.R.C. 4903.221(B)(2).

alia, to the potential that certain of the Operating Companies' proposals may be contrary to SB 221 and Commission rules. These positions are directly relevant and material to the merits of the case.

The third statutory standard is whether the "prospective intervenor will unduly prolong or delay the proceeding."⁵ NOPEC is filing this motion at the very beginning of the proceeding so there is not an issue of prolonging or delaying matters that have developed later in a case. NOPEC will pursue reasonable efforts to work cooperatively with others in the cases, to maximize case efficiency where practical but without compromising NOPEC's positions reflecting unique differences between NOPEC and other parties.

The fourth statutory standard is whether the "prospective intervenor will significantly contribute to the full development and equitable resolution of the factual issues."⁶ NOPEC's intervention and involvement in the case will contribute to the development of a more complete understanding of the meaning and impacts of the Operating Companies electric security plan on Ohio electricity consumers, specifically those who would take service from a large scale governmental aggregation, which is appropriate and necessary for Commission adjudication. Additionally, NOPEC was granted intervention and participated actively in the Operating Companies' prior rate stabilization plan case, PUCO Case Nos. 03-2144-EL-ATA et al.

NOPEC also meets the standards for intervention under the PUCO's rules of practice and procedure.⁷ NOPEC satisfies the elements of O.A.C. 4901-1-11 for intervention based on the above explanation for meeting the statutory standards. As shown above, NOPEC has a "real and substantial interest in the proceeding..." given its aggregation services customers in OE and CEI

⁵ O.R.C. 4903.221(B)(3).

⁶ O.R.C. 4903.221(B)(4).

⁷ See O.A.C. 4901-1-11.

service territories.⁸ NOPEC's interest is not adequately represented by existing parties. While the Northwest Ohio Aggregation Coalition, a coalition of political subdivisions, also has requested intervention in this case, its interests may be unique to its specific member communities, which may be different from those represented by NOPEC as a regional Council of Governments. In addition, NOPEC is a consumer representative for those consumers within its boundaries as well.

NOPEC has explained the "nature" of its interest.⁹ No parties that represent NOPEC's interests are interveners in the case.¹⁰

NOPEC will significantly contribute to the proceedings and not unduly prolong or delay the proceedings, and has satisfied the next criterion in the rules - that NOPEC will contribute to a just and expeditious resolution of the issues.¹¹

Finally, NOPEC's interventions would not unduly delay or unjustly prejudice any party.¹² NOPEC has explained that it will not unduly delay the proceedings. Further, NOPEC has not proposed anything that would unjustly prejudice a party. NOPEC itself is among those potentially prejudiced by the Application in this proceeding.

This motion is also timely. O.R.C. 4903.221(A)(2); *see also* O.A.C. 4901-1-11(E)(1). Accordingly, the Commission should grant NOPEC's intervention in this proceeding. The Operating Companies' proposals will set the competitive landscape that may exist in northern

⁸ O.A.C. 4901-1-11(A)(2).

⁹ O.A.C. 4901-1-119B)(1).

¹⁰ O.A.C. 4901-1-11(B)(2).

¹¹ O.A.C. 4901-1-119(B)(4).

¹² O.A.C. 4901-1-11(B)(2).

Ohio for the next two to three years at a minimum, and likely for much longer. NOPEC - and the over 450,000 customers and 126 communities it represents - may be adversely affected by such a result. NOPEC needs the status of a party to the proceeding to fully evaluate the Application and make appropriate recommendations to the Commission.

WHEREFORE, NOPEC's Motion to Intervene should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Glenn S. Krassen", with a long horizontal line extending from the end of the signature.


Glenn S. Krassen
BRICKER & ECKLER LLP
1375 East Ninth Street
Suite 1500
Cleveland, Ohio 44114
(216) 523-5405 Phone
(216) 523-7071 Fax

E. Brett Breitschwerdt
BRICKER & ECKLER LLP
100 South Third Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215
(614) 227-2301 Phone
(614) 227-2301 Fax

Attorneys for Northeast Ohio Public
Energy Council

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that this pleading is being served by fax, first class mail or personal delivery, as shown below, this 3rd day of September 2008.



E. Brett Breitschwerdt

James Burk
Mark Hayden
FirstEnergy
76 South Main Street
Akron, OH 43308-1890
burkj@firstenergycorp.com
haydenm@firstenergycorp.com

Mark A. Whitt
Andrew J. Campbell
Jones Day
325 John H. McConnell Blvd., Suite 600
Columbus, OH 43215-2673
mawhitt@jonesday.com
ajcampbell@jonesday.com

Samuel C. Randazzo
Lisa G. McAlister
Daniel J. Neilsen
Joseph Clark
McNees, Wallace & Nurick
21 East State Street, 17th Floor
Columbus, OH 43215
sam@mwncmh.com
lmcalister@mwncmh.com
dneilsen@mwncmh.com
jclark@mwncmh.com

Langdon D. Bell
Bell & Royer Co., LPA
33 South Grant Avenue
Columbus, OH 43215-3927
lbell@aol.com

Colleen L. Mooney
David Rinebolt
Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy
231 W. Lima Street
Findlay, OH 45389-1793
Cmooney2@columbus.rr.com
drinebolt@aol.com

Jeffrey L. Small
Jacqueline Roberts
Richard C. Reese
Gregory J. Poulos
Ohio Consumers' Counsel
10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800
Columbus, OH 43215-3485
small@occ.state.oh.us
reese@occ.state.oh.us
poulos@occ.state.oh.us
roberts@occ.state.oh.us

David F. Boehm
Michael L. Kurtz
Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry
36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510
Cincinnati, OH 45202
dboehm@bklawfirm.com
mkurtz@bklawfirm.com

Barth Royer
Bell & Royer
33 South Grant Avenue
Columbus, OH 43215-3927
barthroyer@aol.com

Gary A. Jeffries
Senior Counsel
Dominion Resources Services, Inc.
501 Martindale Street, Suite 400
Pittsburgh, PA 15212-5817
gary.a.jeffries@dom.com

David L. Fein
Cynthia A. Fonner
Constellation Energy Group, Inc.
550 West Washington Blvd., Suite 300
Chicago, IL 60661
David.fein@constellation.com
cynthia.a.fonner@constellation.com

John W. Bentine
Mark S. Yurick
Chester, Wilcox & Saxbe
65 E. State Street, Suite 1000
Columbus, OH 43215
myurick@cwsllaw.com
jbentine@swsllaw.com

M. Howard Petricoff
Stephen M. Howard
Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP
52 East Gay Street
PO Box 1008
Columbus, OH 43216-1008
mhpetricoff@vssp.com
smhoward@vssp.com

Henry Eckart
50 West Broad Street, Suite 2117
Columbus, OH 43215-3301
henryeckhart@aol.com

Craig G. Goodman
President
National Energy Marketers Association
3333 K Street, N.W., Suite 110
Washington, D.C. 20007
cgoodman@energymarketers.com

Richard L. Sites
Ohio Hospital Association
155 East Broad Street, 15th Floor
Columbus, OH 43215-3620
ricks@ohanet.org

Nolan Moser
Trent A. Dougherty
Air & Energy Program Manager
The Ohio Environmental Council
1207 Grandview Avenue, Suite 201
Columbus, OH 43212-3449
nolan@theoec.org
trent@theoec.org

Garrett A. Stone
Michael K. Lavanga
Brickfield, Burchette, Ritts & Stone, P.C.
1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, N.W.
8th Floor West Tower
Washington, D.C. 20007
gas@bbrslaw.com
mkl@bbrslaw.com

Leslie A. Kovacik
Senior Attorney, City of Toledo
420 Madison Ave., Suite 100
Toledo, Ohio 43604-1219
lesHe.kovacik@toledo.oh.gov

Sheilah M. McAdams
Marsh & McAdams
204 West Wayne Street
Maumee, Ohio 43537
sheilahmca@aol.com

Paul S. Goldberg, Law Director
Phillip D. Wurster, Asst. Law Dir.
5330 Seaman Road
Oregon, Ohio 43616
pgoldberg@ci.oregon.oh.us

Paul Skaff, Assistant Village Solicitor
Leatherman, Witzler
353 Elm Street
Perrysburg, Ohio 43551
paulskaff@justice.com

Lance M. Keiffer
Assistant Prosecuting Attomey
711 Adams Street, 2nd Floor
Toledo, Ohio 43624-1680
lkeiffer@co.lucas.oh.us

Brian J. Ballenger
Ballenger & Moore
3401 WoodviUe Rd., Suite C
Toledo, Ohio 43619
ballengerlawbjb@sbcglobal.net

James E. Moan, Law Director
4930 Holland-Sylvania Road
Sylvania, Ohio 43560
jimmoan@hotmail.com

Thomas R. Hays,
3315 Centennial Road, Suite A-2
Sylvania, Ohio 43560
hayslaw@buckeye-express.com

Joseph P. Meissner
The Legal Aid Society of Cleveland
1223 West 6th Street
Cleveland, OH 44113
jpmeissn@lasclev.org

Sean W. Vollman
David A. Muntean
Assistant Law Directors
161 S. High Street, Suite 202
Akron, OH 44308
vollmse@ci.akron.oh.us
menteda@ci.akron.oh.us

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

9/3/2008 2:59:21 PM

in

Case No(s). 08-0935-EL-SSO

Summary: Motion to Intervene electronically filed by Mr. E. Brett Breitschwerdt on behalf of Northeast Ohio Public Energy Council