1 BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO 2 In the Matter of: James H. Gainey. : Case No. 08-571-TR-CVF 4 Notice of Apparent 5 Violation and Intent to: Assess Forfeiture. 6 **PROCEEDINGS** 7 before Mr. Kerry H. Sheets, Attorney Examiner, at the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, Room B, Columbus, Ohio, called at 10:00 a.m. on Thursday, August 7, 2008. 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19

20

21	ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.
	185 South Fifth Street, Suite 101
22	Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201
	(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481
23	Fax - (614) 224-5724
24	

2

1	APPEARANCES:
2	Mr. James H. Gainey 4086 New State Road
3	Willard, Ohio 44890
4	Pro se.
5	Nancy H. Rogers, Ohio Attorney General Duane W. Luckey, Senior Deputy
6	Attorney General Public Utilities Section
7	By Mr. William Wright
8	and Mr. Thomas Lindgren 180 East Broad Street, 9th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793
9	Columbus, One 15215 5175
10	On behalf of the Transportation Staff of the Public Utilities Commission.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	

file:///A /Gainey080708.txt				
	20			
	21			
	22			
	23			
	24			

3

1	INDEX			
2				
3	WITNESS	PA	G E	
4	Trooper Gary Wolfe	a Wai	-h+	
5	Direct Examination by Mr. M Cross-Examination by Mr. G	_	gnı	
6	Tom Forbes Direct Examination by Mr. M	r Wric	rht	
7	·	1. WIIE	3111	
8	James H. Gainey Direct Testimony by Mr. Gai	•	1.	
9	Cross-Examination by Mr. Mr.	r. Wrig	ht	
10	INDEX			
11	STAFF EXHIBITS	II	OFD AD	MTD
12	1 - Driver/Vehicle Examination	on Repo	ort xx	уу
	2 - Driver/Vehicle Examination	on Repo	ort xx	уу
13	3A - Photograph	XX	уу	
14	3B - Photograph	vv	X/X /	
15	3 D - Filotograpii	XX	уу	
16	3C - Photograph	XX	уу	
17	3D - Photograph	XX	уу	
	4 - Westlaw in re 49 CFR 383	.93	xx y	yy .
18	5 - Forfeiture Assessment Mat	rix	xx y	у
19	RESPONDENT EXHIBITS		IDF	D ADMTD

20
1 - Handwritten Note from BMV xx yy
21
--22
23

1	Thursday Morning Session,
2	August 7, 2008.
3	
4	THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: The Public
5	Utilities Commission of Ohio has set for hearing at
6	this time and place case No. 08-571-TR-CVF, In the
7	Matter of the James Gainey. My name is Kerry Sheets.
8	I'm an attorney-examiner for the Commission and I
9	have been assigned to hear this case.
10	May I have the appearances of the parties
11	please, starting with staff.
12	MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, your Honor. On
13	behalf of the Transportation Staff of the Public
14	Utilities Commission, Ohio Attorney General's Office,
15	my name is Bill Wright. I'd also like to enter the
16	appearance of Thomas Lindgren, 180 East Broad Street
17	Columbus, Ohio, 43215.
18	THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you,
19	Mr. Wright.

- Mr. Gainey.
- THE RESPONDENT: Yes.
- THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Please give your
- 23 name and address.
- THE RESPONDENT: James Gainey, 4086 New

- State Road, Willard, Ohio. 2 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Very good. And the zip is 44890. 4 THE RESPONDENT: Yes, sir. 5 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you. Are there any preliminary matters to take care of? MR. WRIGHT: I don't believe so, your 7 Honor. THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Do you have 9 witnesses to call, Mr. Wright? MR. WRIGHT: Yes, sir. We will have two 11 12 witnesses today. I have Trooper Gary Wolfe and Tom Forbes from the staff, and we will have a total of 14 five exhibits. 15 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Very good. Go ahead and call your witness. 16 17 MR. WRIGHT: Okay. At this time we would
- 19 ---

18 call to the stand Trooper Gary Wolfe.

file:///A /Gainey080708.txt				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				

1	GARY WOLFE		
2	being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was		
3	examined and testified as follows:		
4		DIRECT EXAMINATION	
5	By Mr.	Wright:	
6	Q.	Mr. Wolfe, would you please state your	
7	full nam	ne for the record.	
8	A.	Gary T. Wolfe.	
9	Q.	And by whom are you employed?	
10	A.	Ohio State Highway Patrol.	
11	Q.	How long have you been serving in that	
12	capacit	y?	
13	A.	Almost 11 years.	
14	Q.	Okay. Do I understand you/re a former	
15	truck d	river?	
16	A.	Yes, sir.	
17	Q.	Could you tell us a little bit about your	
18	experie	nce as a truck driver?	
19	A.	I grew up with trucks, obtained a CDL at	

- 20 a very early age. Basically prior to the patrol I
- 21 worked roughly four to five years at various jobs in
- 22 various positions operating commercial vehicles.
- Q. Ever haul hazardous materials?
- A. Yes, sir.

7

- 1 Q. And you do have a CDL?
- 2 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. Over the course of your tenure
- 4 with the State Highway Patrol, have you had occasion
- 5 to perform perhaps hundreds of inspections of
- 6 commercial motor vehicles?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
- 8 Q. Okay. And in this particular instance
- 9 you performed two inspections of the same Beaver
- 10 Petroleum Company; is that correct?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- MR. WRIGHT: Your Honor, at this time I
- 13 would like to have marked as Staff Exhibit No. 1 an
- 14 inspection report -- a document captioned
- 15 Driver/Vehicle Examination Report dated December 19,
- 16 2007.
- 17 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Very good.
- 18 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
- 19 Q. Trooper Wolfe, do you recognize what I

- 20 just marked as Staff Exhibit No. 1?
- A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Can you tell me what that is, please?
- 23 A. An inspection I did 12/19/2007.
- Q. And that was of a vehicle -- excuse me --

- 1 a Beaver Petroleum Company, Inc., vehicle?
- 2 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And the driver was a James H. Gainey?
- 4 A. Yes, sir.
- 5 Q. Okay. According to the report this was a
- 6 level 2 walkaround inspection; is that right?
- 7 A. That's right.
- 8 Q. Could you briefly explain what that
- 9 means?
- 10 A. Basically that's, as stated, a walkaround
- 11 inspection. Level 2 is basically an inspection of
- 12 everything but the undercarriage, including the brake
- 13 measurements.
- Q. Okay. Now, a question I forgot to ask
- 15 you, the reason for the stop in this case under
- 16 locally defined fields down at the bottom says
- 17 O-B-V-I. What does that mean?
- A. Just an obvious violation, such as side
- 19 light, seat belt violation, any violation of state or

- 20 federal code.
- Q. Would it be your belief that's one of the
- 22 violations written up here, for example, an
- 23 inoperable light, that may have been what it was that
- 24 caused you to pull the vehicle over?

- 1 A. Yes, sir.
- 2 Q. And you did notice it was a placarded
- 3 vehicle carrying hazardous materials.
- 4 A. Yes, sir.
- 5 Q. All right. Now, if you could, there's a
- 6 section on the report talking about violations.
- 7 Could you very briefly indicate what some of your
- 8 findings were there?
- 9 A. Yes. I will just go through the list
- 10 here starting with the first one 393.48. During my
- 11 walkaround, basically start there at the driver, do
- 12 the walkaround, start having them turn the wheel from
- 13 the left and right, look at the tie rod ends, brake
- 14 hoses, things of that nature, that fall under
- 15 level 2 category.
- The first violation here was written axle
- 17 right side brake completely extended, not moving upon
- 18 service brake application. This is done with the
- 19 driver's help. I see a brake extended like that, I

- 20 have them hit it, sometimes referred to as possibly a
- 21 cam over brake, but I'm looking to see if the brake
- 22 is actually working, and when he puts his foot on the
- 23 brake, if there are any holes in the airline as well
- 24 the first violation would be inoperative breaks,

- 1 393.67, which is second one listed, is
- 2 the fuel system requirement. I noticed diesel fuel
- 3 leaking onto the ground. Looking at it a little
- 4 closer, it was determined it was leaking at the
- 5 injectors at the fuel pump and leaking on the motor
- 6 and ground, therefore, would be an out-of-service
- 7 violation.
- 8 Q. Do you recall if you pointed that out to
- 9 Mr. Gainey?
- 10 A. Yes. All violations were pointed out to
- 11 Mr. Gainey.
- 12 Q. Okay. All right.
- A. And we had a right rear side mark light
- 14 393.9, inoperable, which is not a major violation but
- 15 it is a violation.
- 16 Q. That, perhaps, was the obvious reason you
- 17 pulled the vehicle over.
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. Okay.

- A. Next in line here would be something that
- 21 was probably done first. I approached the vehicle
- 22 and talked to the driver asked him for the shipping
- 23 papers, a placarded vehicle. In this case the driver
- 24 said he was empty, and I determined that it was full

- 1 by basically tapping on the tank, feeling the lack of
- 2 the echo, and feeling what appeared to be a liquid in
- 3 one of the containers, later learning this was
- 4 actually loaded with 100 to 150 gallons of fuel oil
- 5 as per me and the driver.
- 6 Q. If I may ask you, that tapping on the
- 7 tank, is that something you have utilized in other
- 8 inspections.
- 9 A. Yes.
- Q. And do you find that typically is a valid
- 11 indicator of whether or not something is full or
- 12 empty?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. Go ahead. And the next thing we
- 15 have here under 383.93 is no hazardous material
- 16 endorsement on the CDL, operating a loaded bulk tank
- 17 truck containing haz-mat without an endorsement, only
- 18 a tank endorsement, which is one of the requirements,
- 19 but no haz-mat endorsement itself.

- And, finally, the brake violation, which
- 21 is a warning buzzer, buzzer and lights inop. One or
- 22 the other of those needs to work in the vehicle to
- 23 stay in operation, so with both of them not working
- 24 as well, it's another out-of-service violation.

- 1 Q. Trooper Wolfe, I notice under the
- 2 violations section there's a category designated
- 3 "OOS." What does that mean?
- 4 A. Out of service.
- 5 Q. So the various violations where there is
- 6 a Y under the OSS category, those would have been
- 7 violations for which you deemed worthy of putting the
- 8 vehicle and/or driver out of service; is that
- 9 correct?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- 11 Q. Fine. Now, I'd like to direct your
- 12 attention specifically to the violation of
- 13 383.9(b)(4). Do you see that?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. Further over there, there's a numeric
- 16 reference under the Citation category. Do you see
- 17 that?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 O. What does that refer to?

- A. That is a ticket number that I wrote
- 21 Mr. Gainey for that violation under the Ohio Revised
- 22 Code, as well as that regulation under 383. What
- 23 that was just a physical citation issued to him for
- 24 no hazardous material endorsement requiring him to

- 1 appear in the jurisdiction court, to wit, Barberton
- 2 court.
- Q. And that would have been based on you
- 4 actually viewing Mr. Gainey's license during the stop
- 5 and observing that it did not contain a haz-mat
- 6 enforcement on it?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
- 8 Q. Okay. Do you know what the disposition
- 9 of the citation was?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- 11 Q. Could you please explain that?
- 12 A. I have a copy of his driving record here.
- 13 It would require a mandatory appearance for driving
- 14 without this endorsement. He was convicted 12/27/07
- 15 in Barberton Municipal Court with a plea of guilty
- 16 and the disposition received two points on his CDL
- 17 for that violation.
- 18 Q. And, again, that violation being no
- 19 hazardous materials endorsement on the CDL itself; is

- 20 that correct?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And the information you're discussing,
- 23 that would have been come from the so-called LEADS
- 24 system?

- 1 A. Yes, sir.
- 2 Q. Could you explain what the acronym LEADS
- 3 is, L-E-A-D-S? Could you explain for the record what
- 4 that acronym stands?
- 5 A. Law Enforcement Automated Data System.
- 6 It's basically the main computer we would use to run
- 7 driver licenses to gain information. It gives us
- 8 such things as officer safety help as far as people
- 9 who are wanted, things of that nature, but a tool we
- 10 use on every stop to determine if a person is valid,
- 11 what their driving record is, what endorsements they
- 12 have, and things such as officer safety issues if
- 13 they are wanted.
- Q. So the LEADS system would have in this
- 15 instance confirmed what you actually observed when
- 16 you stopped Mr. Gainey, that is, that his license at
- 17 that time, that being 12/19/07 did not contain a
- 18 hazardous material endorsement, correct?
- 19 A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Okay. Now, you said you performed two
- 21 inspections of the same vehicle; is that correct?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And Mr. Gainey driving both times?
- A. Yes, sir.

- 1 MR. WRIGHT: At this time I'd like to
- 2 have marked as Staff Exhibit No. 2 a document
- 3 captioned Driver/Vehicle Examination Report for an
- 4 inspection dated 1/9/2008.
- 5 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: So marked.
- 6 MR. WRIGHT: Thank you.
- 7 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
- 8 Q. Trooper Wolfe, could you identify that
- 9 document, please?
- 10 A. That would be a level 2 inspection that I
- 11 did on 1/9/2008 to the same carrier, the same
- 12 vehicle.
- Q. I'm sorry, I missed a couple questions
- 14 from the first inspection report, if I could jump
- 15 back very briefly for that. So as a result of the
- 16 violations you found on December 19th of '07 during
- 17 that inspection you placed -- what was placed out of
- 18 service?
- 19 A. The driver and the vehicle.

- Q. Let's talk about the vehicle first. What
- 21 did you do to place the vehicle out of service?
- A. As indicated on the report, I had several
- 23 out-of-service violations, so this situation I felt
- 24 it was necessary to tow the vehicle and basically put

- 1 a red sticker on it placing it out of service until
- 2 these major out-of-service violations were in
- 3 compliance.
- 4 Q. Did you fully explain to Mr. Gainey what
- 5 that meant?
- 6 A. Yes, sir.
- 7 Q. And what did you explain to him?
- 8 A. I explained to him that the truck was
- 9 being towed, working with carrier, Mr. Gainey, and
- 10 myself, you know, working together as far as where it
- 11 was going, making sure they were okay on that, as
- 12 well as explaining the citation that I gave him
- 13 putting him out of service, mandatory appearance in
- 14 court, and his requirements not to drive this
- 15 vehicle, as the report says, until the requirements
- 16 of the hazardous material endorsement that was
- 17 required.
- Q. Would he also have had to have certain
- 19 repairs made before the vehicle would be roadworthy

- 20 again?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And that would be the violations you
- 23 noted again with the Y under the out-of-service
- 24 category in your report.

- 1 A. Right.
- Q. Okay. In your opinion did Mr. Gainey
- 3 understand that the vehicle had been placed out of
- 4 service?
- 5 A. Yes, he did.
- 6 Q. Now, you explained to him what needed to
- 7 be done again to return that vehicle to service.
- 8 A. Yes, we did.
- 9 Q. Now, you indicated you also placed the
- 10 driver out of service.
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- 12 Q. Could you explain what you did there, how
- 13 you did that?
- 14 A. We had extensive discussion, you know,
- 15 about some misunderstandings possibly on Mr. Gainey's
- 16 part believing he possibly did have that endorsement,
- 17 but I clearly showed him that he didn't, explained to
- 18 him that he couldn't drive a haz-mat vehicle without
- 19 the endorsement. He, in fact, stated well until this

- 20 was taken care of, he might drive one of their
- 21 nonhaz-mat vehicles they do have.
- Q. Okay. Is that what is reflected in your
- 23 inspection notes in that report? Did that capture
- 24 what you were talking about, the misunderstanding and

- 1 disagreement?
- 2 A. Some of that, yes. My notes state he
- 3 failed the the haz-mat roughly three times in Norwalk
- 4 but thought he got the endorsement two years ago.
- 5 Q. Okay. And was there any question in your
- 6 mind that Mr. Gainey understood that he was out of
- 7 service, he, himself, was out of service as it
- 8 related to driving hazardous material loads as a
- 9 result of the December 19 investigation?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. Okay. Is it typically your practice,
- 12 Trooper Wolfe, upon completing an inspection to
- 13 provide a copy of that report to the driver?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you do so in this instance?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- 17 Q. And is it also your practice to typically
- 18 go through your findings with the drivers to make
- 19 sure they understand the results of the inspection?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Do you typically ask a driver if they
- 22 have any questions before you leave?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And any reason to believe you didn't do

- 1 any of that in this instance?
- 2 A. No, sir.
- Q. Okay. Now, I'd like to move to Staff
- 4 Exhibit No. 2. I apologize for that little break
- 5 there. Okay, we've established, I believe, have we
- 6 not, Trooper Wolfe, Staff Exhibit No. 2 is the
- 7 inspection report that you prepared for an inspection
- 8 done January 9, 2008; is that right?
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- 10 Q. Again the same vehicle driven by
- 11 Mr. Gainey?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And same protocol, I assume you have
- 14 would have gone our your report upon completion,
- 15 fully explained everything to Mr. Gainey and provided
- 16 him with a copy of the report; is that right?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. Now, again this was a level 2
- 19 walkaround. I assume I if ask you what the level 2

- 20 was, your answer would be same as it was for the
- 21 December 19 inspection; is that right?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. In this instance you indicate you stopped
- 24 him because you saw this fuel leakage.

- 1 A. What I thought appeared to be a possible
- 2 fuel leak yes, sir.
- 3 Q. Okay. And again you checked that out and
- 4 I believe you showed Mr. Gainey what you were
- 5 observing?
- 6 A. Yes, sir.
- 7 Q. Okay. Is it fair to say that with
- 8 perhaps at least one notable exception, you found
- 9 some of the same violations as a result of the
- 10 January 9 inspection that you had also noted on the
- 11 December 19 inspection?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And that would apply to equipment on the
- 14 truck as well?
- 15 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. So presumably those were things that had
- 17 not yet been addressed from the earlier inspection?
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. Now, if I could, I'm not going to walk

- 20 you through all these things.
- THE RESPONDENT: Can I get a pencil out?
- THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yes.
- Q. I'm not going to go through all the
- 24 violations again, but I notice we have one violation

- 1 for 391.11(b)(4). Do you see that?
- 2 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And what does that entail?
- 4 A. That's a qualification of the drivers, in
- 5 this instance, hearing aid not worn as per medical
- 6 issued on 12/28/07. Driver was required, as per the
- 7 medical card, to wear his hearing aid, which in this
- 8 case he indicated was at home.
- 9 Q. So the driver neither had it on nor on
- 10 his person; is that right?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- 12 Q. Okay. Now, I would like to -- you took
- 13 some photographs as a result of this investigation;
- 14 is that right?
- 15 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. We will get to those momentarily. Then
- 17 I'd like to direct your attention down to the
- 18 inspection notes, please. As a preliminary
- 19 question -- and, again, this was the same vehicle

- 20 that you had inspected in December, correct?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. The inspection notes say: Driver
- 23 says he physically showed up to Norwalk DX. Do you
- 24 see that?

- 1 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. First of all, for the record, what does
- 3 DX mean?
- 4 A. Drivers exam station.
- 5 Q. Okay. That would be a place where you
- 6 would go to take the written test?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
- 8 Q. All right. Now, in your experience is
- 9 that part of or separate and distinct from a BMV
- 10 facility where one might go to get their license?
- 11 A. My experience is that it is independent
- 12 and separate from the BMV.
- Q. Do you know whether or not in Norwalk
- 14 there is a separate location where one goes to get a
- 15 license that is different from the Norwalk DX?
- 16 A. My understanding is a driver's exam
- 17 station is independent and separate as well requiring
- 18 a test result as well in nature to go get a physical
- 19 license at another BMV.

- Q. Okay. I would like you to, if you could,
- 21 take just a moment to read your notes, the inspection
- 22 notes, and then I have a couple questions for you.
- A. I wrote down these notes. "Driver says he
- 24 physically showed up to Norwalk DX or license station

- 1 (driver stated this was the place he took his written
- 2 test).
- Q. Can I stop you there?
- 4 A. Yes, sir.
- 5 Q. Your understanding based on what
- 6 Mr. Gainey told you was he had gone back to the
- 7 location where he had taken and passed the earlier
- 8 hazardous materials test to obtain something
- 9 additional; is that right?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- 11 Q. Okay. Go ahead.
- 12 A. He indicated on Monday, 1/7/08 he was
- 13 told their computers were down and it was okay to
- 14 just come back on Saturday in reference to the
- 15 physical endorsement on his CDL card. Driver felt it
- 16 was okay to work and haul haz-mat on this date.
- 17 Q. Can I stop you there for a moment?
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you recall whether or not Mr. Gainey

- 20 offered you an explanation as to why he felt he was
- 21 qualified to haul haz-mat at that time.
- A. Yes, sir. I think that photos will show
- 23 this discussion we had in detail referenced the
- 24 paperwork you showed me, which you have as a separate

- 1 exhibit showing he did indeed take this test and do
- 2 some of the requirements that are required to obtain
- 3 this endorsement.
- 4 Q. So it's your understanding that
- 5 Mr. Gainey's belief would have been based on the
- 6 paperwork he had in his possession at that time that
- 7 he showed you?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. Okay. All right. Monday, January 7,
- 10 2008, do you know -- well, strike that. You did some
- 11 independent verification with the DX supervisor in
- 12 Norwalk, did you not?
- A. No, sir. If I can finish my note section
- 14 I'll explain that.
- 15 Q. Okay, I'm sorry.
- 16 A. 1/9/08 at approximately 1330 hours I
- 17 spoke with our District 3 driver's exam supervisor
- 18 Mary Ellen. She looked into this situation that I
- 19 had a couple questions for, and she agreed he needs

- 20 that physical endorsement on his CDL and stated all
- 21 DX stations are closed on Monday.
- So to answer that question that you did
- 23 have, I spoke to our DX supervisor, referenced the
- 24 situation, and basically she indicated that that

- 1 particular station would not have been open on
- 2 Monday.
- Q. Okay. In fact, is it your understanding
- 4 that's a statewide policy, that DX stations are not
- 5 open on Mondays?
- 6 A. Yes, sir, that's my understanding.
- 7 Q. The other thing I assume you confirmed
- 8 through this Mary Ellen -- does she have a last name
- 9 by the way; do you recall?
- 10 A. Yes, Mary Ellen Pratt.
- 11 Q. Pratt. I guess there was some question
- 12 at that point as to whether or not the endorsement
- 13 had to actually be on the license; is that right?
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And you followed up on that and, again,
- 16 you determined that based upon her explanation that
- 17 that, in fact, was the case.
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. All right. Let's assume that Mr. Gainey

- 20 would have been precluded prior to January 9, the
- 21 date of the second inspection, that because state
- 22 computers were down Mr. Gainey would have been
- 23 precluded from getting the endorsement on the CDL, on
- 24 his CDL, to haul hazardous materials. Are you with

- 1 me so far?
- 2 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Is that a justification, based on your
- 4 understanding of the law, to be hauling hazardous
- 5 materials, you know, on the road?
- 6 A. No, absolutely not.
- 7 Q. Okay. So, again, you would have
- 8 conducted this investigation, you would have
- 9 explained your results to Mr. Gainey, and he
- 10 understood that both he and the vehicle were still
- 11 out of service; is that correct?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. Incidentally, under your notes was
- 14 it your understanding that Mr. Gainey in the
- 15 discussion he had with you that he was going back
- 16 to -- he was going back to a state facility to obtain
- 17 his endorsement? Is that what you understood he was
- 18 attempting to do?
- 19 A. Yes, sir. Without the photos here it's

- 20 kind of hard to testify to the facts that I have to
- 21 give him credit for, meaning his effort of obtaining
- 22 the test results that he needed and things of that
- 23 nature, such as a background check.
- Q. I will get into those. That will be the

- 1 next series of exhibits. I'm certainly not trying to
- 2 detract from that.
- Now, did I understand you to testify
- 4 earlier, Trooper Wolfe, that you have a CDL?
- 5 A. Yes, sir.
- 6 Q. Could you get that out, please.
- 7 MR. WRIGHT: We do not intend to make his
- 8 driver's license an exhibit, your Honor.
- 9 Q. Does your CDL have any endorsement on it?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- 11 Q. Where physically on the driver's CDL,
- 12 commercial driver's license, where physically on the
- 13 commercial driver's license does the endorsement
- 14 appear?
- 15 A. On my CDL it appears roughly beneath my
- 16 picture under the area that says endorsement.
- Q. More or less the lower right-hand portion
- 18 of the license.
- 19 A. Yes, sir.

- Q. And there's a clear indication on the
- 21 endorsement -- what is the indication for endorsement
- 22 that's on there?
- A. Its specifically says endorse, short for
- 24 endorsement. In my case I have the initials M, T,

- 1 and N.
- Q. So if a CDL had one or more endorsement
- 3 on it, there would be the word "endorse" or words to
- 4 that effect, words something similar to what appear
- 5 on the license itself to indicate that there were
- 6 endorsements, and then beneath there would be letters
- 7 that would designate which type of endorsements
- 8 apply; is that right?
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And then there's an explanation on back
- 11 of the license which explains what the different
- 12 examples of endorsements are?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- 14 Q. And, I'm sorry, you said you actually
- 15 have one or two?
- 16 A. I have M, T, and N.
- 17 Q. Okay. And based on your experience I'm
- 18 sure you have seen hundreds, perhaps thousands of
- 19 commercial driver's licenses; is that right?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And I'm sure you have seen hundreds that
- 22 have endorsements on it; is that correct?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Is that typically the way they would

- 1 appear on the license?
- 2 A. Yes, sir.
- 3 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: What do those
- 4 endorsements stand for, trooper?
- 5 THE WITNESS: Your Honor, in my case the
- 6 M is my motorcycle endorsement. The T, independent
- 7 of itself, would be doubles and triple trailers
- 8 endorsement, and my N endorsement would be my tank
- 9 vehicle endorsement. I used to have the X, which
- 10 would be the N and H combined, which H is hazardous
- 11 material CDL endorsement, which I let drop the last
- 12 time I renewed so it dropped just down to just an N
- 13 tank vehicle, and at this time I do not have
- 14 hazardous material endorsement myself.
- 15 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you.
- Q. So some of those endorsements were left
- 17 over from your truck driving days serial?
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. Okay. All right. Now, I believe we've

- 20 established, have we not, that you took some
- 21 photographs as a result of this inspection.
- A. Yes, sir.
- MR. WRIGHT: Okay. Your Honor, at this
- 24 time I'd like to have marked and I'll just mark these

- 1 Staff Exhibit 3 A, B in sequence. Is that all right.
- THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yes.
- 3 MR. WRIGHT: These will be marked as
- 4 Exhibits 3A, 3B, 3C, 3D, and 3E, these photographs.
- 5 I'm going to provide you with a copy of these, and
- 6 then if I could get that copy back for the reporter.
- 7 THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay.
- 8 (EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
- 9 Q. Okay. Trooper Wolfe, I'm handing what
- 10 has been marked as Staff Exhibit 3A. Do you
- 11 recognize that?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Did you, in fact, take these photos?
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And were these photos taken as part of
- 16 the second inspection?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And that being the one done on January 9,
- 19 2008?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. What does Staff Exhibit 3A depict?
- A. The rear of the vehicle.
- THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Now what is the
- 24 violation here?

- 1 MR. WRIGHT: I'm sorry, I'm just having
- 2 him identify that is the vehicle.
- THE RESPONDENT: That is the truck.
- 4 MR. WRIGHT: Right. Let my own witness
- 5 testify to that if I could.
- 6 THE RESPONDENT: Okay.
- 7 Q. Trooper Wolfe, this would have been the
- 8 vehicle that you inspected both back in December of
- 9 '07 and then January 9, 2008, driven by Mr. Gainey;
- 10 is that right?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Now, this is Staff Exhibit 3B. Do you
- 13 recognize Staff Exhibit 3B, trooper?
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. You took this picture?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- 17 Q. And this is a picture of Mr. Gainey's
- 18 medical certificate?
- 19 A. Yes, sir.

- Q. And what did you notice on that that was
- 21 different from the December inspection?
- A. As one of my requirements I routinely
- 23 look at the medical certificate and make sure it
- 24 belongs to him, looking for the issue and expiration

- 1 dates to make sure it is valid, and then I go down
- 2 through the columns to see if there are any
- 3 restrictions. In this case the two that are marked
- 4 by the subject that performed medical evaluation has
- 5 marked contact lenses and wearing a hearing aid, are
- 6 the two requirements for Mr. Gainey.
- 7 Q. And the hearing aid would have been the
- 8 violation we talked about earlier in your testimony
- 9 that was new during the second inspection.
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. And is this medical certificate
- 12 valid?
- 13 A. Yes, it is.
- 14 Q. Okay.
- MR. WRIGHT: Off the record.
- 16 (Discussion off record.)
- MR. WRIGHT: I'd like to have marked as
- 18 Exhibit 3C, if I may, for both the reporter and bench
- 19 3A through E. I think we will combine this into one

- 20 since this is the same document. It was just too big
- 21 to copy. We will mark this as Exhibit 3C.
- THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: So this is two
- 23 pages.
- MR. WRIGHT: Let's go off the record.

I'm sorry. (Discussion off record.) 2 3 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Back on the record. MR. WRIGHT: I am going to mark instead 5 of what was previously 3C and 3D, I'd like to combine those and make them C3 page 1 and page 2. Thank you. 8 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Very good. 9 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 10 Q. (By Mr. Wright) Now, can you identify -have you ever seen this document before? Are you familiar with what this document is? 13 A. Yes, sir. Q. And you, again, took a picture of this 14 during the January inspection. 16 A. Yes, sir. 17 Q. And these would have been paper and in

Mr. Gainey's possession.

A. Yes, sir.

19

- Q. Okay. Now, could you describe for us
- 21 what 3C, pages 1 and 2, what they show?
- A. This is just pertaining to the procedures
- 23 in obtaining the hazardous material endorsement, and
- 24 the following pages are to follow up with this as far

- 1 as has he taken the test and possibly the test2 scores.
- Q. Okay. And we'll talk about the test
- 4 scores in just a moment, but what do you notice
- 5 about -- are there words in big bold letters across
- 6 the top of the document?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
- 8 Q. And they're in red?
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- 10 Q. And do you know if those are placed by
- 11 the issuer of this document?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Which, again, would have been the Norwalk
- 14 DX?
- 15 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. What are the words that are stamped
- 17 across the top of that document?
- 18 A. "No driving privileges."
- 19 Q. "No driving privileges." Again, these

- 20 were the papers that were presented to you during the
- 21 second stop that Mr. Gainey -- in your understanding
- 22 Mr. Gainey believed this authorized him to haul
- 23 hazardous materials at that time.
- A. Possibly.

- 1 Q. Possibly, you're just not sure?
- A. Yeah. There was some confusion about
- 3 what I believed that he believed, as far as that
- 4 goes.
- 5 Q. Okay, that's fair. That's fair. All
- 6 right. I now want to show you Exhibit 3D. Do you
- 7 recognize that?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. And what is that?
- 10 A. That is the results of his test score,
- 11 that is, for the test that he obviously took for
- 12 hazardous materials.
- Q. And on December 27, 2007, Mr. Gainey did,
- 14 in fact, achieve a passing score on the haz-mat test;
- 15 is that right?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- 17 Q. Okay. Now, a combination of this
- 18 paperwork and the passage of the haz-mat test, based
- 19 on your understanding of the law, does that qualify

- 20 you to haul hazardous materials?
- A. No, it does not.
- Q. Okay.
- MR. WRIGHT: Your Honor, I'd like to have
- 24 marked as Staff Exhibit 4 at this time a copy of --

- 1 well, yes, a copy of 49CFR 383.93.
- THE HEARING EXAMINER: It will be so
- 3 marked.
- 4 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
- 5 Q. Now, Trooper Wolfe, do you have in front
- 6 of you what has been marked as Staff Exhibit No. 4?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
- 8 Q. And is that, in fact, a copy of the
- 9 federal regulation 49CFR 38.93?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- 11 Q. And under (b)(4) of that -- (b)(4) of
- 12 that section deals with hazardous materials, does it
- 13 not?
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And I believe (b)(4) was the section that
- 16 you cited Mr. Gainey under for failing to have the
- 17 endorsement; is that right?
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. If you take just a moment to read that,

- 20 and I have a question or two.
- A. The entire section, sir?
- Q. I think you just need to read the
- 23 preamble, if you will, and then (b)(4).
- 24 A. Under 383 --

- 1 Q. No, no. Read it to yourself, if you
- 2 will, and let me know and then I have a question for
- 3 you.
- 4 A. Okay, sir.
- 5 Q. Is it fair to say, Trooper Wolfe, that
- 6 you have a fairly good working understanding of what
- 7 the federal regulations require?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. And that's essential for you to be able
- 10 to effectively carry out your job; is that right?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- 12 Q. Now, I've asked you to take a look at
- 13 383.93, the preamble, Section (a), and then I guess
- 14 the (b)(4) section. Have you read that yet?
- 15 A. Yes, sir.
- 16 Q. Okay. Now, is it your understanding that
- 17 a passing grade on the haz-mat test is sufficient to
- 18 obtain a haz-mat endorsement?
- 19 A. The passing grade itself, yes, is one of

- 20 the stages to getting that endorsement.
- Q. What is the final stage?
- A. The final stage -- let me add one thing
- 23 that's not in here. Because of our 911 situation,
- 24 they're required to get a background check, different

- 1 criteria, to obtain these endorsements, but that's
- 2 independent. But this here would be your knowledge
- 3 skills test required. You would have to get a
- 4 passing grade, and then to finish this step, as it
- 5 says here, you need to obtain a state-issued
- 6 endorsement on your CDL card itself, would be the
- 7 final step.
- 8 Q. And we already established that
- 9 Mr. Gainey did obtain a passing grade on the haz-mat
- 10 portion of the test.
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And the part that we're missing is the
- 13 endorsement itself.
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Not to beat this horse to death, but your
- 16 understanding is that the endorsement has to
- 17 physically appear on the commercial driver's license
- 18 as you described it earlier on your own CDL; is that
- 19 right?

- A. Yes, sir. Also I confirmed that I
- 21 understand that to be the law, as the documents
- 22 indicated, but as my note stated earlier, I did get
- 23 confirmation on that to see if there were any
- 24 circumstances that could be waived in that scenario.

- 1 The answer was no.
- Q. Okay. All right. I'm sorry, who did you
- 3 contact about that?
- 4 A. Mary Ellen, the supervisor in my
- 5 district, Mary Ellen Pratt.
- 6 Q. And is it her responsibility to have
- 7 knowledge of such things as that?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Okay.
- MR. WRIGHT: Your Honor, could I have one
- 11 moment, please?
- 12 THE HEARING EXAMINER: You may.
- 13 (Discussion off record.)
- 14 Q. (By Mr. Wright) Just to bring this full
- 15 circle, Trooper Wolfe, you indicated that based on
- 16 your understanding of the federal regulations, there
- 17 are essentially three things that would be required
- 18 to obtain a hazardous materials endorsement. One
- 19 would be to successfully pass the test, correct?

- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. And you verified, personally verified,
- 22 that had actually occurred, and you were able to do
- 23 that through the paperwork that Mr. Gainey had; is
- 24 that right?

- 1 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. I believe the second thing you indicated
- 3 was that there has to be some sort of a background
- 4 check done.
- 5 A. Yes, sir.
- 6 Q. Were you able to verify that that was
- 7 done?
- 8 A. Yes, I did. He stated to me that he
- 9 believed that was done. On my computer in my car I
- 10 did verify that's what I believed as well, that he
- 11 was indeed ready to obtain the physical endorsement
- 12 on the CDL.
- Q. Okay. So step two of the process was
- 14 taken care of by Mr. Gainey at that point?
- 15 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And step three, the actual physical
- 17 endorsement on the license itself, that was what was
- 18 missing?
- 19 A. Yes, sir. That was verified, again,

- 20 through LEADS on my computer in my patrol car.
- Q. Why is it important, if you believe it to
- 22 be so, that that endorsement actually physically
- 23 appear on the license itself?
- A. Because that's what puts you in

- 1 compliance with the law, especially the nature of
- 2 this, hauling hazardous material. So you are either
- 3 in compliance or not in compliance. You are either
- 4 in service or you would be out of service.
- 5 Q. So it provides an objective way to
- 6 determine whether the driver is qualified to handle
- 7 hazardous materials, to haul hazardous materials or
- 8 not; is that what you're saying?
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And out in the field is it important for
- 11 you to be able to do your job efficiently,
- 12 effectively that you be able to ascertain the
- 13 driver's qualifications quickly?
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And that is achievable with, again,
- 16 having this endorsement on the CDL itself?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. Okay.
- MR. WRIGHT: Your Honor, that's all I

- 20 believe we have.
- THE HEARING EXAMINER: Very good.
- Mr. Gainey, do you have any questions for
- 23 the trooper?
- 24 THE RESPONDENT: I don't have too many

1	questions. I got a lot of statements I'd like to
2	make.
3	THE HEARING EXAMINER: We will get around
4	to your testimony. Do you have any questions for the
5	trooper at this point?
6	
7	CROSS-EXAMINATION
8	By The Respondent:
9	Q. Well, the second inspection, did you find
10	on the truck that was wrong with it the first time?
11	A. The out of service were fixed. I just
12	noticed there was a side marker light that was
13	inoperable.
14	Q. A side marker light.
15	A. Yes. It may not have been fixed. I
16	mean, it's just a minor violation.
17	Q. It wasn't on the first inspection, the
18	side marker light?
19	A. Yes.

- Q. Was it? Was it out on the second one
- 21 also?
- A. Which I did not indicate anything as far
- 23 as it not being fixed.
- Q. Because, like you said, I was out of -- I

- 1 couldn't drive or anything until the truck was fixed,
- 2 right?
- A. The out-of-service violations were
- 4 repaired.
- 5 Q. When I got it towed, it was taken right
- 6 down to the garage.
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
- 8 Q. And everything should have been -- the
- 9 truck was never moved until they okayed it to move.
- 10 THE HEARING EXAMINER: You have to ask
- 11 him questions.
- 12 THE RESPONDENT: I guess that's all I
- 13 have.
- 14 MR. WRIGHT: Your Honor, if I may, I
- 15 would like at this time to move admission of Staff
- 16 Exhibits 1, 2, 3A, B, C, D, and Staff Exhibit 4. And
- 17 I would also like to reserve the right to recall
- 18 Mr. Wolfe, Trooper Wolfe, if need be on rebuttal. I
- 19 don't imagine that's going to be necessary, but.

- THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. I will
- 21 admit those into evidence at this time.
- MR. WRIGHT: Thank you.
- 23 (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)
- 24 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Do you have any

1	other witnesses?
2	MR. WRIGHT: We do. Your Honor, at this
3	time we would call to the stand Tom Forbes.
4	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Very good.
5	
6	TOM FORBES
7	being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was
8	examined and testified as follows:
9	DIRECT EXAMINATION
10	By Mr. Wright:
11	Q. Mr. Forbes, would you please state your
12	full name for the record.
13	A. Tom Forbes, F-O-R-B-E-S.
14	Q. And by whom are you employed, Mr. Forbes?
15	A. The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio,
16	Compliance Division.
17	Q. And what is your position?
18	A. Hazardous materials compliance officer.
19	Q. How long have you been in that position?

- A. Three and a half years.
- Q. Okay. Do you have any trucking
- 22 background of your own?
- A. When I was much younger, I drove a truck
- 24 delivering medical oxygen and propane. Prior to the

- 1 CDL back when I had a license, it was a chauffeur's
- 2 license.
- Q. Do you have any law enforcement
- 4 experience?
- 5 A. I'm an auxiliary officer with the Ohio
- 6 State Highway Patrol. I have been with them about 14
- 7 years where I work with members of the Highway Patrol
- 8 as well in a voluntary position.
- 9 Q. Okay.
- MR. WRIGHT: Your Honor, at this time I
- 11 would like to have marked as Staff Exhibit No. 5 a
- 12 one-page document captioned Forfeiture Assessment.
- 13 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Very good.
- 14 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
- 15 Q. Mr. Forbes, do you recognize what has
- 16 just been marked as Staff Exhibit 5?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And what is that?
- 19 A. It is a forfeiture assessment that I

- 20 prepared on January 9 for an inspection report
- 21 that -- excuse me. I prepared it on January 15. The
- 22 inspection report was completed on January 9.
- Q. Okay. And can you provide a brief
- 24 explanation as to how this process works, what the

- 1 purpose is?
- 2 A. Yes. When a motor carrier enforcement
- 3 officer, PUCO hazardous materials specialist, or
- 4 motor carrier troopers complete an inspection report
- 5 in the state of Ohio, it's electronically uploaded to
- 6 the Public Utilities Commission. Once that is
- 7 uploaded into the state and federal system, it comes
- 8 to the Compliance Division, and we review -- we
- 9 manually review all hazardous material inspections to
- 10 determine whether forfeitures need to be assessed by
- 11 the Commission. If there are violations that the
- 12 Commission normally assesses forfeitures for, we then
- 13 calculate the forfeiture amount using Staff Exhibit
- 14 No. 5 -- is that right?
- 15 Q. Staff Exhibit No. 5.
- 16 A. Staff Exhibit No. 5, which is our
- 17 forfeiture assessment chart. For this particular
- 18 inspection the report number was 0841005797, which is
- 19 consistent with the previous staff exhibit of an

- 20 inspection that was done 1/9/2008, Beaver Petroleum,
- 21 with Mr. Gainey as the driver.
- Q. Would it be fair to say that this
- 23 assessment provides an objective way to calculate
- 24 forfeitures?

- 1 A. Yes. It provides a system where everyone
- 2 with the same violation hauling the same materials
- 3 and with the same history is treated equally.
- 4 Q. And the results in this particular case
- 5 that are shown on Exhibit 5 are what?
- 6 A. A forfeiture was issued to Mr. James
- 7 Gainey for a violation of 383.93 (b)(4), no hazardous
- 8 material endorsement on the CDL, and that forfeiture
- 9 amount was \$82.50. A second violation was issued to
- 10 Mr. Gainey for violation of Administrative Code Rule
- 11 2-5-07D, driving while out of service, and the
- 12 forfeiture amount on that was \$1,000.
- Q. Could the forfeiture amount that was
- 14 issued for driving while out of service have been
- 15 higher than \$1,000?
- 16 A. Yes. For hazardous material violations
- 17 the law allows the Commission to assess up to \$10,000
- 18 per violation.
- 19 Q. Okay.

- MR. WRIGHT: Your Honor, I believe that's
- 21 all the questions we have for Mr. Forbes.
- THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Gainey, do you
- 23 have any questions of this witness?
- 24 THE RESPONDENT: Pardon?

1	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Do you have any
2	questions of this witness?
3	THE RESPONDENT: No.
4	MR. WRIGHT: Okay. Thank you, your
5	Honor.
6	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Anything else?
7	MR. WRIGHT: I believe that would be it.
8	We move for the administration of Staff Exhibit 5 as
9	sponsored by Mr. Forbes.
10	THE HEARING EXAMINER: I'll admit Staff
11	Exhibit 5 into evidence at this time.
12	MR. WRIGHT: Thank you.
13	(EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)
14	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Now we will
15	proceed to your side of the case, Mr. Gainey.
16	
17	JAMES H. GAINEY
18	being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was
10	examined and testified as follows:

- 20 DIRECT TESTIMONY
- THE HEARING EXAMINER: Go ahead and tell
- 22 your story.
- THE RESPONDENT: Well, I went up to --
- 24 the next day after -- you picked me up, right? I

- 1 went up to the vehicle department in Norwalk to get
- 2 my license, to get it put on the CDL, on the license
- 3 itself. I already had my HazMat, my physical, and
- 4 had been fingerprinted, and all that had come back.
- 5 I went to get them and the state's computers were
- 6 down. I messed around up there for maybe three
- 7 hours. I thought they might come back up, but they
- 8 didn't.
- 9 So I thought, well -- I even asked a girl
- 10 up there: What if I get picked up tomorrow? She
- 11 said: Well, you got all your papers. I don't see
- 12 they would do anything to you.
- The next day I go back to work, and I was
- 14 thinking about getting them Saturday because I didn't
- 15 want to take another day off because there are only
- 16 two people working there. So in the meantime I got
- 17 stopped by Mr. Wolfe over there, and that's about the
- 18 whole story. I could not get them. I had everything
- 19 to get them, but I couldn't get them.

- THE HEARING EXAMINER: You've handed me a
- 21 one-page sheet of paper. What does this represent,
- 22 sir?
- THE RESPONDENT: Was made out at the BMW
- 24 up in Norwalk.

1	THE HEARING EXAMINER: And it says go
2	ahead and read it.
3	THE RESPONDENT: "On January 7, 2008, our
4	computers were down statewide. The BMW is aware of
5	the system outage. Thanks, Andrea."
6	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Would you like to
7	mark this as an exhibit?
8	THE RESPONDENT: Yes, please.
9	THE HEARING EXAMINER: I'll mark this as
10	Respondent's Exhibit 1.
11	(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
12	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Any other
13	statement you would like to make?
14	THE RESPONDENT: I don't think so.
15	That's the only just like the hearing aid
16	violation, if you wear a hearing aid in a truck, all
17	you hear is the motor. To my way of thinking, you're
18	better off not to wear it, and I've been driving
19	hazardous material trucks for 30 years, and I've

- 20 never been without my license.
- THE HEARING EXAMINER: Does that conclude
- 22 your testimony?
- THE RESPONDENT: That's it, sir.
- THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Wright.

1	MR. WRIGHT: I may have a couple
2	questions. Could I see the paper, please? Thank
3	you.
4	
5	CROSS-EXAMINATION
6	By Mr. Wright:
7	Q. Mr. Gainey, I'm going to you went back
8	to Norwalk.
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. To get something. What was it you were
11	going to Norwalk to get?
12	A. Get it put on my license.
13	Q. Okay. So you understood you were
14	required to have that on your license
15	A. Yes, sir.
16	Q to haul hazardous materials.
17	A. Yes, sir.
18	Q. Okay. And how did you obtain this
19	handwritten note from

- A. Well, the lady -- I went up there two or
- 21 three times, and I went back up to have her make that
- 22 out because the computers were down so I could show
- 23 it to you guys.
- Q. Okay. Now, in reliance upon her

- 1 statement to you that now you've had the papers,
- 2 don't worry about it, you proceeded on; is that
- 3 right?
- 4 A. Yes, sir.
- 5 Q. Okay. Even though you knew you needed to
- 6 obtain that.
- A. Sure, I knew it needed to be on the
- 8 license, but I had all the paperwork to put it on the
- 9 license. But I feel it's part of the State's fault
- 10 why I couldn't put it on as well as it was mine.
- 11 Q. Okay. Now, you heard -- you have seen
- 12 the inspection reports and you heard Trooper Wolfe
- 13 testify. I'm trying to understand, I'm a little
- 14 confused. You went and took your test at Norwalk,
- 15 right?
- 16 A. Uh-huh.
- 17 Q. And, again, we established you passed
- 18 that test on the second try, December 27.
- 19 A. Yes.

- Q. Then you went back to that location again
- 21 then to obtain your endorsement; is that right?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And you don't disagree that the date was
- 24 January 7, 2008.

- 1 A. I agree on that.
- Q. And, in fact, that is the day that is
- 3 reflected in this exhibit.
- 4 A. Right, yes, sir.
- 5 Q. Okay. Just one final question or two.
- 6 As part of our exhibits, Mr. Gainey, Staff
- 7 Exhibits 3A and 3B, you have these papers I believe
- 8 already, these were issued to you by the DX or the
- 9 testing facility in Norwalk, correct, when you passed
- 10 the test?
- 11 A. Those two -- you say these were issued to
- 12 me? I've never --
- Q. After having passed the test -- strike
- 14 the question. Strike the question. Let me try it
- 15 this way. As a result of the second inspection that
- 16 was done of your vehicle on January 9, 2008 -- are
- 17 you with me?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Officer Wolfe took photographs of

- 20 paperwork that you had in your possession, and you
- 21 don't argue with that, right? That occurred?
- 22 A. No.
- Q. No, you disagree with me?
- A. Well, this here --

- 1 Q. Just answer my question first. Did
- 2 Trooper Wolfe take pictures when he pulled you over
- 3 that second time of documents you had in your
- 4 possession?
- 5 A. I don't remember if he took it. If he
- 6 says he did, he probably did.
- 7 Q. Well, okay. Have you ever seen these
- 8 documents before?
- 9 A. No, I haven't.
- Q. You're saying that those were not papers
- 11 that you produced to Trooper Wolfe that these are
- 12 pictures of?
- A. I don't remember if I did.
- 14 THE HEARING EXAMINER: We're looking at
- 15 staff exhibits?
- MR. WRIGHT: 3A and B.
- 17 THE HEARING EXAMINER: 3A is the bus.
- MR. WRIGHT: I'm sorry, it's 3C,
- 19 pages 1 and 2. My mistake, yes, you're right.

- Q. Is that your name that appears on 3C
- 21 page 1 there?
- A. Yes, it is.
- Q. On page 2 of 3C does that indicate the
- 24 date on which you took the test and passed it,

- 1 December 27?
- 2 A. I think the date is right here, if I can
- 3 show it to you.
- 4 MR. WRIGHT: Can we go off the record for
- 5 just a minute.
- 6 (Discussion off record.)
- 7 Q. (By Mr. Wright) Sir, you were stopped
- 8 initially on December 19, 2007, and you were at that
- 9 time placed out of service for not having a hazardous
- 10 material endorsement, among other things, right?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And after that December 19 stop, you went
- 13 and took the hazardous materials test.
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And you failed it the first time you took
- 16 it.
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. And you took it a second time and passed
- 19 it with a score of 80.

- A. I think it's the third time, but the two
- 21 there I thought, well, I don't have to study, but I
- 22 did.
- Q. Okay, you passed the test. Can we agree
- 24 that you passed the test on December 27, 2007?

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And at the time you passed the
- 3 test -- and, again, this would have been up at the
- 4 Norwalk DX, right?
- 5 A. Yes, sir.
- 6 Q. -- you would have been given certain
- 7 papers, okay?
- 8 A. Right.
- 9 Q. And do you recall, for example, the name
- 10 Deena Brace as being the lady that validated your
- 11 test results? Does that ring a Bell?
- 12 A. No, I don't recall.
- Q. Okay. Okay. The paperwork you had been
- 14 given at that point in time then authorized you to go
- 15 out and get the endorsement, correct?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. And there's no dispute in this case that
- 18 either on December 19 or on January 9, neither one of
- 19 those inspections you did not yet have that

- 20 endorsement on your CDL, correct, it was not
- 21 physically on your CDL?
- A. No, it was not physically on it.
- Q. Not physically on your CDL. When, in
- 24 fact, did you go to get it, or do you have it?

- 1 A. I got it.
- Q. Okay. Do you know?
- 3 A. When did I go up there? It was on a
- 4 Monday. The day he pulled me over, I went up that
- 5 day because I was out of service then, and I went
- 6 straight up there to do it.
- 7 Q. Okay. You don't know if you got it that
- 8 day, though.
- 9 A. I couldn't get it.
- 10 Q. I'm sorry, you just said the day Trooper
- 11 Wolfe pulled you over, you went up the same day to
- 12 get it.
- 13 THE RESPONDENT: That was on a Monday,
- 14 wasn't it, Pat?
- 15 Q. The record will reflect that Trooper
- 16 Wolfe pulled you over on Wednesday, January 9. And
- 17 your allegation is on January 7, which was in fact a
- 18 Monday, that the State computers were down.
- 19 A. Right, that's when I went up to get it.

- Q. Okay. And even though you knew it was a
- 21 requirement to be physically on your license, you
- 22 chose to go ahead and drive. You could have driven
- 23 nonhazardous material loads until you got the
- 24 endorsement, could you not?

- 1 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. And that, for whatever reason,
- 3 that didn't occur. You continued to drive hazardous
- 4 materials.
- 5 A. No. Because I was fingerprinted and
- 6 everything was done, my background checks and
- 7 everything. I had all my paperwork to get them on
- 8 there.
- 9 Q. To get them on there.
- 10 A. Right.
- 11 Q. Okay.
- 12 A. I went to get them on there and they
- 13 couldn't put them on.
- 14 Q. Okay.
- 15 A. That's the only reason I'm here today.
- Q. You believe whatever caused the state
- 17 computers to be down that day, that that serves as a
- 18 justification for you to drive -- continue to drive
- 19 hazardous material loads even though you don't have

- 20 the endorsement physically on your license.
- A. Right. Right.
- Q. Okay. And, again, just so I'm clear,
- 23 when you went to obtain the endorsement, and, as you
- 24 say, found the computers were down, you simply

- 1 returned to the same location you had previously
- 2 taken your written test and passed; is that right?
- A. Where you get your license and where you
- 4 pass your test are two different areas.
- 5 Q. Okay.
- 6 A. Like I say, when I went up to get my
- 7 license, I had already gotten everything straightened
- 8 around to get them, but I couldn't get them.
- 9 Q. But you don't recognize these papers
- 10 as --
- 11 A. I don't, not at all.
- 12 Q. Okay.
- 13 A. Not that one there.
- 14 Q. Okay.
- MR. WRIGHT: Your Honor, I would like to
- 16 request the opportunity to supplement the record with
- 17 an affidavit from the Norwalk DX that will establish
- 18 a couple things that should be incontrovertible.
- 19 Number one, that Mr. Gainey did, in fact, test at

- 20 that facility on the particular dates and that he in
- 21 fact passed; that Deena Brace is an employee of that
- 22 facility. I believe, you notice at the bottom of
- 23 that exhibit it says DX issuance.
- 24 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Are you referring

- 1 to 3C page 1?
- 2 MR. WRIGHT: Yes, pages 1 and 2 is the
- 3 same. Again, it's all the same form, but at the
- 4 bottom it says DX issuance, a representation that
- 5 these papers were, in fact, issued to Mr. Gainey upon
- 6 his successful completion of the hazardous materials
- 7 exam.
- 8 And that's really about it. I mean,
- 9 there's nothing there in his testimony because I
- 10 believe it's important because of the notation on
- 11 these papers, it clearly indicates no driving
- 12 privileges.
- 13 THE RESPONDENT: Right.
- Q. (By Mr. Wright) Mr. Gainey, what happened
- 15 after you completed your test and passed it? What
- 16 did they tell you?
- 17 A. What did they say?
- Q. What did they tell me you up there at the
- 19 DX?

- A. She told me, she said: Well, you got all
- 21 your paperwork. I can't remember the girl's name. I
- 22 think it was the girl --
- Q. We're back on December 27, 2007. You
- 24 went in that day to take the haz-mat test again and

- 1 you passed it that day, right?
- A. Right.
- Q. Okay. Now, after you passed the test
- 4 before the DX sent you away, what did they tell you?
- 5 Did they not explain to you that you now had taken
- 6 all the steps to actually obtain the endorsement and
- 7 you had to go to the State to obtain that? Did they
- 8 not tell you that?
- 9 A. Sure. I know I have to obtain the
- 10 endorsement.
- 11 Q. They told you that, did they not, after
- 12 you passed the test so you would know what to do?
- A. Well, I knew what to do. I've been doing
- 14 this for 30 years. Nobody has to tell me what to do
- 15 about it. I have done it all my life.
- 16 Q. Well, Mr. Gainey, how long have you been
- 17 hauling hazardous materials without an endorsement
- 18 prior to being stopped December 19, 2007?
- 19 A. We'll go another step further. I can't

- 20 prove it because the records up at Norwalk got
- 21 destroyed. I have never been to this day without a
- 22 haz-mat endorsement, never.
- Q. There was not an endorsement on your
- 24 license, was there?

- 1 A. No, I know that one. But it should have
- 2 been on there.
- Q. Okay.
- 4 A. It's not my fault it wasn't on there, but
- 5 it's my fault for driving, yes, I agree.
- 6 Q. Okay.
- 7 A. They should have been on there.
- 8 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Is there a motion
- 9 pending, Mr. Wright?
- MR. WRIGHT: Well, there's a request
- 11 pending, and it would have been for simply -- I have
- 12 already cleared this with them. They said they would
- 13 be happy to help us, just a simple affidavit from the
- 14 DX, probably would come from the supervisor, would
- 15 identify Deena Brace, whose name appears on Staff
- 16 Exhibit 3C, page 1, that she is employed there; that
- 17 Mr. Gainey went there to take his test; that he
- 18 passed on December 27; and that these papers were
- 19 sent with him when he left. And this goes to what

- 20 Mr. Gainey may or may not have reasonably understood
- 21 to be the case when he left that day and subsequent
- 22 to that.
- THE HEARING EXAMINER: Do you have any
- 24 comments on his request, Mr. Gainey?

1	THE RESPONDENT: I don't think so, sir.
2	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Very well. I'll
3	grant your request. You may file that as a late
4	filed exhibit.
5	MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, very much, your
6	Honor.
7	THE ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Anything else?
8	MR. WRIGHT: I don't think so.
9	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Gainey?
10	THE RESPONDENT: No, sir. Thank you.
11	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Very good, I'll
12	admit Respondent's Exhibit 1 into evidence at this
13	time.
14	(EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)
15	THE HEARING EXAMINER: With that said,
16	I'll consider this matter submitted on the record and
17	thank you all for coming.
18	Just a moment. We have to discuss
19	briefs. I want staff's position in a brief.

- MR. WRIGHT: Do you want a brief?
 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yes.
 Off the record.
 (Discussion off record.)
- THE HEARING EXAMINER: We have agreed

1	that the date for filing simultaneously briefs in the
2	case will be October 3.
3	Thank you, again.
4	(The hearing adjourned at 11:25 a.m.)
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	

file:///A /Gainey080708.txt					
	20				
	21				
	22				
	23				
	24				

1	CERTIFICATE
2	I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a
3	true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken
4	by me in this matter on Thursday, August 7, 2008, and
5	carefully compared with my original stenographic
6	notes.
7	
8	Rosemary Foster Anderson, Professional Reporter and
9	Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio.
10	My commission expires April 5, 2009.
11	(RFA-8182)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	

file:///A /Gainey080708.txt				
	20			
	21			
	22			
	23			
	24			

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

8/22/2008 9:07:56 AM

in

Case No(s). 08-0571-TR-CVF

Summary: Transcript 8/7/08 James Gainey Hearing electronically filed by Mrs. Jennifer D. Duffer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc.