

FILE

BEFORE  
THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

7  
RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV  
2008 AUG -7 PM 4:43  
PUCO

In the Matter of the Application of Ohio  
Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric  
Illuminating Company and The Toledo  
Edison Company for Authority to  
Establish a Standard Service Offer  
Pursuant to R.C. § 4928.143 in the Form  
of an Electric Security Plan

Case No. 08-935-EL-SSO

In the Matter of the Application of Ohio  
Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric  
Illuminating Company and The Toledo  
Edison Company for Approval of a  
Market Rate Offer to Conduct a  
Competitive Bidding Process for Standard  
Service Offer Electric Generation Supply,  
Accounting Modifications Associated  
with Reconciliation Mechanism, and  
Tariffs for Generation Service.

Case No. 08-936-EL-SSO

---

MOTION TO INTERVENE  
OF  
THE OHIO ENVIRONMENTAL COUNCIL

---

By the above-styled applications, Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, and The Toledo Edison Company seek approval of an electric security plan pursuant to Amended Substitute Senate Bill No. 221 ("SB 221"), the recently enacted legislation amending the Ohio statutory electric restructuring plan created by Amended Substitute Senate Bill No. 3 in 1999, and certain related measures. As more fully discussed in the accompanying memorandum, The Ohio Environmental Council ("OEC") has a real and substantial interest in this proceeding, and is so situated that the disposition of this proceeding may, as a practical matter, impair or impede its ability to protect that interest. Further, OEC's

This is to certify that the images appearing are an  
accurate and complete reproduction of a case file  
document delivered in the regular course of business  
Technician                      Date Processed 8-1-08

interest in this proceeding is not represented by any existing party, and its participation in this proceeding will contribute to a just and expeditious resolution of the issues involved without unduly delaying the proceeding or unjustly prejudicing any existing party. Accordingly, OEC hereby moves to intervene in this proceeding pursuant to Section 4903.221, Revised Code, and Rule 4901-1-11, Ohio Administrative Code.

WHEREFORE, OEC respectfully requests that the Commission grant its motion to intervene.

Respectfully submitted,



Barth E. Royer (Counsel of Record)  
Bell & Royer Co. LPA  
33 South Grant Avenue  
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3927  
(614) 228-0704 – Telephone  
(614) 228-0201 – Fax  
[BarthRoyer@aol.com](mailto:BarthRoyer@aol.com) – Email

Nolan Moser  
Air & Energy Program Manager  
The Ohio Environmental Council  
1207 Grandview Avenue, Suite 201  
Columbus, Ohio 43212-3449  
(614) 487-7506 – Telephone  
(614) 487-7510 – Fax  
[nmoser@theOEC.org](mailto:nmoser@theOEC.org) – Email

Trent A. Dougherty  
Staff Attorney  
The Ohio Environmental Council  
1207 Grandview Avenue, Suite 201  
Columbus, Ohio 43212-3449  
(614) 487-7506 – Telephone  
(614) 487-7510 – Fax  
[trent@theOEC.org](mailto:trent@theOEC.org) – Email

Attorneys for  
The Ohio Environmental Council

**BEFORE  
THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO**

In the Matter of the Application of Ohio	:	
Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric	:	
Illuminating Company and The Toledo	:	
Edison Company for Authority to	:	Case No. 08-935-EL-SSO
Establish a Standard Service Offer	:	
Pursuant to R.C. § 4928.143 in the Form	:	
of an Electric Security Plan	:	

In the Matter of the Application of Ohio	:	
Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric	:	
Illuminating Company and The Toledo	:	
Edison Company for Approval of a	:	
Market Rate Offer to Conduct a	:	Case No. 08-936-EL-SSO
Competitive Bidding Process for Standard	:	
Service Offer Electric Generation Supply,	:	
Accounting Modifications Associated	:	
with Reconciliation Mechanism, and	:	
Tariffs for Generation Service.	:	

---

**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT  
OF  
MOTION TO INTERVENE  
OF  
THE OHIO ENVIRONMENTAL COUNCIL**

---

Section 4903.221, Revised Code, provides that any "person who may be adversely affected by a public utilities commission proceeding may intervene in such proceeding." The Ohio Environmental Council ("OEC") is a non-profit, charitable organization comprised of a network of over 100 affiliated group members, whose mission is to secure a healthier environment for all Ohioans. Over its 40-year history, OEC, relying on scientific principles, has been a leading advocate for fresh air, clean water, and sustainable land use before the legislature and administrative agencies, as well as in the courts. In keeping with its mission, OEC was an

active participant in the effort that led to the inclusion of energy efficiency mandates and renewable and alternative energy standards in SB 221, and has a real and substantial interest in assuring that these benchmarks are appropriately recognized in the electric security plans ultimately approved in this case. Thus, there can be no question that OEC may be adversely affected by this proceeding.

Further, not only does OEC satisfy the underlying statutory test, but it also satisfies the standards governing intervention set forth in the Commission's rules.

Rule 4901-1-11(A), Ohio Administrative Code ("OAC"), provides, in pertinent part, as follows:

(A) Upon timely motion, any person shall be permitted to intervene in a proceeding upon a showing that:

(2) The person has a real and substantial interest in the proceeding, and the person is so situated that the disposition of the proceeding may, as a practical matter, impair or impede his ability to protect that interest, unless the person's interest is adequately represented by existing parties.

OEC plainly has a real and substantial interest in a proceeding that will impact Ohio's environment. Moreover, at this juncture, none of the pending motions to intervene in these cases have been granted. Thus, by definition, no existing parties adequately represent OEC's interest.

Although OEC does not believe this to be a close question, each of the specific considerations that the Commission may, by rule, take into account in applying the Rule 4901-1-11(A)(2), OAC, standard, also fully support granting OEC's motion to intervene. Rule 4901-1-11(B), OAC, provides as follows:

In deciding whether to permit intervention under paragraph (A)(2) of this rule, the commission, the legal director, the deputy legal director, or an attorney examiner shall consider:


(1) The nature and extent of the prospective intervenor's interest;

- (2) The legal position advanced by the prospective intervenor and its probable relation to the merits of the case.
- (3) Whether the intervention by the prospective intervenor will unduly prolong or delay the proceedings.
- (4) Whether the prospective intervenor will significantly contribute to full development and equitable resolution of the factual issues.
- (5) The extent to which the person's interest is represented by existing parties;

First, as explained above, OEC's interest in the proposals contained in these applications relating to the energy efficiency mandates and renewable and alternative energy standards set forth in SB 221 is obviously direct and substantial. Second, although OEC must necessarily await further developments before determining the specific positions it will adopt with respect to these issues, OEC will certainly advocate that the measures ultimately adopted for meeting these benchmarks be effective and consistent with the legislative intent underlying SB 221. Third, in view of the fact that the proceeding has just commenced, granting OEC's motion to intervene will not unduly delay or prolong the proceeding. Fourth, OEC will bring substantial technical expertise to bear on these issues. Finally, not only are there no existing parties that represent OEC's interest, but it would be inconsistent with the Commission's stated policy "to encourage the broadest possible participation in its proceedings" (*see, e.g., Cleveland Elec. Illum. Co.*, Case No. 85-675-EL-AIR, Entry dated January 14, 1986, at 2) to apply the Rule 4901-1-11(B)(5) standard in a manner that would favor one environmental advocate over others. Thus, granting OEC intervenor status is consistent with all the considerations set out in Rule 4901-1-11(B), OAC.

WHEREFORE, OEC respectfully requests that the Commission grant its motion to intervene.

Respectfully submitted,



Barth E. Royer (Counsel of Record)  
Bell & Royer Co. LPA  
33 South Grant Avenue  
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3927  
(614) 228-0704 – Telephone  
(614) 228-0201 – Fax  
[BarthRoyer@aol.com](mailto:BarthRoyer@aol.com) – Email

Nolan Moser  
Air & Energy Program Manager  
The Ohio Environmental Council  
1207 Grandview Avenue, Suite 201  
Columbus, Ohio 43212-3449  
(614) 487-7506 – Telephone  
(614) 487-7510 – Fax  
[nmoser@theOEC.org](mailto:nmoser@theOEC.org) – Email

Trent A. Dougherty  
Staff Attorney  
The Ohio Environmental Council  
1207 Grandview Avenue, Suite 201  
Columbus, Ohio 43212-3449  
(614) 487-7506 – Telephone  
(614) 487-7510 – Fax  
[trent@theOEC.org](mailto:trent@theOEC.org) – Email

Attorneys for  
The Ohio Environmental Council

# CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true copy of the foregoing has been served upon the following parties by first class mail, postage prepaid, this 7<sup>th</sup> day of August 2008.

  
Barth E. Royer

James W. Burk  
Arthur E. Korkosz  
Mark A. Hayden  
Ebony L. Miller  
FirstEnergy  
16 South Main Street  
Akron, Ohio 44308

Janine L. Migden-Ostrander  
Jeffrey M. Small  
Jacqueline Lake Roberts  
Richard C. Reese  
Gregory J. Poulos  
Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel  
10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800  
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485

David F. Boehm  
Michael L. Kurtz  
Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry  
36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510  
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

John W. Bentine  
Mark S. Yurick  
Matthew S. White  
Chester, Willcox & Saxbe LLP  
65 East State Street, Suite 1000  
Columbus, Ohio 43215-4213