FILE

Before The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

Consolidated Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. Rate Stabilization Plan Remand and Rider Adjustment Cases))))))	Case Nos.	03-93-EL-ATA 03-2079-EL-AAM 03-2081-EL-AAM 03-2080-EL-ATA 05-724-EL-UNC 05-725-EL-UNC 06-1068-EL-UNC 06-1069-EL-UNC
---	-------------	-----------	--

INDUSTRIAL ENERGY USERS-OHIO'S MEMORANDUM CONTRA THE APPLICATION FOR REHEARING OF THE OFFICE OF THE OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

33JUL 17 P.1. 5: 02 PUCO

Samuel C. Randazzo, Trial Attorney Daniel J. Neilsen
Lisa G. McAlister
Joseph M. Clark
McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC
21 East State Street, 17th Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215
(614) 469-8000 (T)
(614) 469-4653 (F)
sam@mwncmh.com
dneilsen@mwncmh.com
lmcalister@mwncmh.com
jclark@mwncmh.com

July 17, 2008

Attorneys for Industrial Energy Users-Ohio

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

)	Case Nos.	03-93-EL-ATA
)		03-2079-EL-AAM
)		03-2081-EL-AAM
)		03-2080-EL-ATA
)		05-724-EL-UNC
)		05-725-EL-UNC
)		06-1068-EL-UNC
)		06-1069-EL-UNC
)		06-1085-EL-UNC
)) Case Nos.))))))))

INDUSTRIAL ENERGY USERS-OHIO'S MEMORANDUM CONTRA THE APPLICATION FOR REHEARING OF THE OFFICE OF THE OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

I. INTRODUCTION

On May 28, 2008, the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("Commission") issued an Entry attempting to settle a dispute between the parties to these cases regarding the redaction of confidential documents filed in these proceedings. 1 In addition to resolving the dispute, the Commission also noted its staff had compiled a version of the redactions that was consistent with the Commission's resolution of the dispute. The Commission explained that its version of the redactions would be provided in an electronic format and announced that its version of the redactions would be released into the public domain unless an application for rehearing was filed.² instructions for how to identify documents and information in the applications for rehearing were also provided. A technology-related delay in providing the Commission's version of the redacted confidential documents led the Commission to

¹ Entry (May 28, 2008) ² *Id*. at 5.

release a subsequent Entry readopting the findings in its May 28, 2008 Entry while also amending the date on which it would publicly release the documents.³

On July 7, 2008, Applications for Rehearing were filed by Industrial Energy Users-Ohio ("IEU-Ohio") and the Ohio Consumers' Counsel ("OCC"). Additionally, a Joint Application for Rehearing was filed by Duke Energy Ohio ("DE-Ohio"), Duke Energy Retail Sales ("DERS"), and Cinergy Corp. IEU-Ohio hereby files it Memorandum Contra OCC's Application for Rehearing.

II. ARGUMENT

In its Application for Rehearing, OCC asks the Commission not to redact certain information contained within a chart on Bate Stamp page 215 that describes contracts between DERS (formerly called Cinergy Retails Sales, LLC) and customers.⁴ OCC claims "The first set of agreements involve multiple entities, and no customer is named." OCC asserts this information has already been made public and therefore is undeserving of Commission protection.⁶

While IEU-Ohio believes OCC does not object to the redaction of the individual customer names contained within the chart, IEU-Ohio files this Memorandum Contra out of concern that OCC's description might be misinterpreted. IEU-Ohio interprets OCC's language to mean that only the customer identity description in the first <u>row</u> of the chart should not be protected. An interpretation of OCC's request in the manner suggested by IEU-Ohio would be consistent with the Commission's previous determinations that

³ Entry (June 4, 2008).

Application for Rehearing of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel at Attachment (July 7, 2008).

⁶ *ld*. at 7.

individual customer names are trade secrets and should be protected from public disclosure.⁷

III. CONCLUSION

For the reasons described above, IEU-Ohio urges the Commission to interpret OCC's assertions in the manner suggested by IEU-Ohio in order continue to protect customer names in all public versions of redacted confidential documents filed in this proceeding.

Respectfully submitted,

Samuel C. Randazzo, Trial Attorney

vsight. Clar

Daniel J. Neilsen

Lisa G. McAlister

Joseph M. Clark

McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC

21 East State Street, 17th Floor

Columbus, Ohio 43215

(614) 469-8000 (T)

(614) 469-4653 (F)

sam@mwncmh.com

dneilsen@mwncmh.com

lmcalister@mwncmh.com

jclark@mwncmh.com

Attorneys for Industrial Energy Users-Ohio

⁷ Order on Remand at 15 (October 24, 2007). See also Entry at 2 (May 28, 2008).

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing INDUSTRIAL ENERGY USERS-OHIO'S MEMORANDUM CONTRA THE APPLICATION FOR REHEARING OF THE OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL was served upon the following individuals this 17th day of July 2008 via electronic transmission.

Joseph M. Clark

Jeanne.Kingery@puc.state.oh.us paul.colbert@duke-energy.com rocco.d'ascenzo@duke-energy.com anita.schafer@duke-energy.com small@occ.state.oh.us hotz@occ.state.oh.us sauer@occ.state.oh.us cmooney2@columbus.rr.com dboehm@bkllawfirm.com mkurtz@bkllawfirm.com Thomas.mcnamee@puc.state.oh.us werner.margard@puc.state.oh.us Stephen.Reilly@puc.state.oh.us scott.farkas@puc.state.oh.us drinebolt@aol.com WTTPMLC@aol.com schwartz@evanic.com rsmithla@aol.com barthroyer@aol.com sbloomfield@bricker.com tobrien@bricker.com dane.stinson@baileycavalieri.com JKubacki@strategicenergy.com

korkosza@firstenergycorp.com mchristensen@columbuslaw.org tschneider@mgsglaw.com shawn.leyden@pseg.com ricks@ohanet.org cgoodman@energymarketers.com nmorgan@lascinti.org eagleenergy@fuse.net Michael.Pahutski@Cinergy.com ariane.johnson@duke-energy.com mdortch@kravitzllc.com mhpetricoff@vssp.com smhoward@vssp.com Terry.Harvill@constellation.com ifinnigan@Cinergy.com