

BEFORE

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of Vectren)
Energy Delivery of Ohio, Inc., for Authority to)
Amend its Filed Tariffs to Increase the Rates) Case No. 07-1080-GA-AIR
and Charges for Gas Services and Related)
Matters.)

In the Matter of the Application of Vectren)
Energy Delivery of Ohio, Inc., for Approval of)
an Alternative Rate Plan for a Distribution)
Replacement Rider to Recover the Costs of a)
Program for the Accelerated Replacement of) Case No. 07-1081-GA-ALT
Cast Iron Mains and Bare Steel Mains and)
Service Lines, a Sales Reconciliation Rider to)
Collect Differences between Actual and)
Approved Revenues, and Inclusion in)
Operating Expenses of the Costs of Certain)
Reliability Programs.)

ENTRY

The attorney examiner finds:

- (1) Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio, Inc., (VEDO) is a natural gas company as defined in Section 4905.03(A)(6), Revised Code, and a public utility as defined in Section 4905.02, Revised Code. As such, VEDO is subject to the jurisdiction of the Public Utilities Commission in accordance with Sections 4905.04 and 4905.05, Revised Code.
- (2) On November 20, 2007, VEDO filed applications for an increase in gas distribution rates and for approval of an alternative rate plan.
- (3) The Commission has caused an investigation to be made of the facts set forth in the rate increase application by the company, the exhibits attached thereto, and the matters connected with the application. A written report of the staff's investigation was filed on June 16, 2008.

This is to certify that the images appearing are an
accurate and complete reproduction of a case file
document delivered in the regular course of business
Technician Date Processed 6/26/08

- (4) On June 23, 2008, the Ohio Consumers' Counsel (OCC) filed a motion for an extension of time to file testimony and a request for expedited ruling. In its motion, OCC requested that the due date for intervenor testimony be extended from July 16, 2008, to July 30, 2008. OCC certified that it had contacted all the parties to this case to inquire if they objected to the issuance of a ruling on an expedited basis.
- (5) On June 24, 2008, VEDO filed a memorandum contra OCC's motion, arguing that OCC has offered no compelling reason to justify the requested extension.
- (6) The attorney examiner finds that a one-week extension of time for the filing of intervenor testimony should be granted. The attorney examiner notes that a one-week extension is consistent with extensions granted in other recent cases under similar circumstances. See *In re Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.*, Case No. 07-589-GA-AIR et al., Entry (January 7, 2008); *In re Ohio Edison Co., Cleveland Electric Illuminating Co., and Toledo Edison Co.*, Case No. 07-551-EL-AIR, et al., Entry (December 21, 2007). Accordingly, all intervenor testimony will be due in this proceeding on July 23, 2008. Further, the attorney examiner finds that VEDO should be granted the same extension of time, to July 23, 2008, for the filing of its supplemental testimony.


It is, therefore,

ORDERED, That the motion for an extension of time to file testimony filed by OCC be granted to the extent set forth in Finding (6). It is, further,

ORDERED, That expert testimony be filed in this proceeding in accordance with Finding (6). It is, further,

ORDERED, That a copy of this entry be served upon all parties of record.

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO


By: Gregory A. Price
Attorney Examiner

RR6
/ct

Entered in the Journal

JUN 26 2008



Renee J. Jenkins
Secretary