## **BEFORE**

## THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

| In the Matter of<br>John Perduyn, | the Complaint of | )                           |           |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|
| v.                                | Complainant,     | ) ) Case No. 08-348-TP-CS ) | 48-TP-CSS |
| AT&T Ohio,                        | Respondent.      | )<br>)<br>)                 |           |
|                                   |                  | ENTRY                       |           |

## The attorney examiner finds:

- (1) On March 26, 2008, John Perduyn filed a complaint against The Ohio Bell Telephone Company (AT&T Ohio). The Complainant alleged in the complaint that AT&T Ohio had charged an unreasonable or unconscionable fee for preparation of an estimate of expense to remove two of its utility poles and relocate underground its service lines for three homes.
- (2) On April 16, 2008, AT&T Ohio filed its answer, in which AT&T Ohio denied the material allegations of the complaint.
- (3) Accordingly, this case should be scheduled for a prehearing conference on June 5, 2008, at 10:00 a.m. at the offices of the Commission, 180 East Broad Street, 11<sup>th</sup> floor, Hearing Room 11-B, Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793.
- (4) The purpose of the prehearing conference is to explore the parties' willingness to negotiate a resolution of this complaint in lieu of an evidentiary hearing. An attorney examiner from the Commission's legal department will facilitate the settlement process; however, nothing prohibits any party from initiating settlement negotiations prior to the scheduled conference. The parties should bring to the conference all documents relevant to this matter.

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business. Technician  $AD^2$  Dene Processes  $\frac{5}{2}/0.8$ 

(5) In the event that a settlement is not reached at the conference, the attorney examiner will conduct a discussion of procedural issues at the conclusion of the conference. Procedural issues for discussion may include discovery dates, possible stipulations of facts and potential hearing dates.

It is, therefore,

ORDERED, That a prehearing conference be scheduled in accordance with finding (3). It is, further,

ORDERED, That a copy of this entry be served upon all parties of record.

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

By: Gregory A. Price

Attorney Examiner

929-1/ct

Entered in the Journal

MAY 0 2 2008

Reneé J. Jenkins

Secretary