## BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO



In the Matter of the Application of the East Ohio Gas Company d/b/a Dominion East Ohio for Authority to Increase Rates for its Gas Distribution Service.	) ) )	Case No. 07-829-GA-AIR
In the Matter of the Application of the East Ohio Gas Company d/b/a Dominion East Ohio for Approval of an Alternative Rate Plan for its Gas Distribution Service.	) ) )	Case No. 07-830-GA-ALT
In the Matter of the Application of the East Ohio Gas Company d/b/a Dominion East Ohio for Approval to Change Accounting Methods.	) ) )	Case No. 07-831-GA-AAM

e . 1

## MOTION TO INTERVENE BY THE OFFICE OF THE OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

The Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel ("OCC"), on behalf of all the approximately 1.1 million residential utility consumers of The East Ohio Gas Company d/b/a Dominion East Ohio ("DEO" or "the Company"), moves the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("PUCO" or "Commission") to grant OCC's intervention in the above-captioned proceeding where gas rates and service quality issues will be reviewed. Pursuant to R.C. Chapter 4911; R.C. 4903.221; Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11, OCC's Motion should be granted because OCC meets the legal standards for intervention, as explained in detail in the attached Memorandum in Support.

> This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business. Technician \_\_\_\_\_ Date Processed \_\_\_\_\_\_\_

•

FILE

Respectfully submitted,

÷.

JANINE L. MIGDEN-OSTRANDER CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

Joseph 7, Serio, Counsel of Record Larry S. Sauer Gregory J. Poulos Assistant Consumers' Counsel

Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel 10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485 614-466-8574 (Telephone) serio@occ.state.oh.us sauer@occ.state.oh.us poulos@occ.state.oh.us

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

East Ohio Gas Company d/b/a Dominion ) Case No. 07-829-GA-AIR East Ohio for Authority to Increase Rates ) for its Gas Distribution Service.
family Cap Distribution Company )
for its das Distribution Service.
In the Matter of the Application of the )
East Ohio Gas Company d/b/a Dominion ) Case No. 07-830-GA-ALT
East Ohio for Approval of an Alternative )
Rate Plan for its Gas Distribution Service. )
In the Matter of the Application of the )
East Ohio Gas Company d/b/a Dominion ) Case No. 07-831-GA-AAM
East Ohio for Approval to Change )
Accounting Methods.

## MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT

On August 30, 2007, DEO filed an Application to increase its gas distribution rates for its entire gas service territory. Because the rate increase will adversely affect all of DEO's residential customers, the Commission should grant OCC's Motion to Intervene in this proceeding so that OCC can fully participate in this proceeding and protect the interests of DEO's residential customers.

OCC moves to intervene under its legislative authority to represent residential utility consumers in Ohio, under R.C. Chapter 4911. R.C. 4903.221 provides, in part, that any person "who may be adversely affected" by a PUCO proceeding may seek intervention in that proceeding. The interests of Ohio's residential consumers may be "adversely affected" by this case, especially if the consumers are unrepresented in a proceeding where DEO is seeking to increase its gas service rates. Thus, this element of

£

the intervention standard in R.C. 4903.221 is satisfied.

á

€,

R.C. 4903.221(B) requires the Commission to consider the following criteria in ruling on motions to intervene:

- (1) The nature and extent of the prospective intervenor's interest;
- (2) The legal position advanced by the prospective intervenor and its probable relation to the merits of the case;
- (3) Whether the intervention by the prospective intervenor will unduly prolong or delay the proceeding; and
- (4) Whether the prospective intervenor will significantly contribute to the full development and equitable resolution of the factual issues.

First, the nature and extent of OCC's interest is representing **all** of the residential consumers of DEO. This interest is different than that of any other party and especially different than that of the utility that advocates for the financial interest of stockholders.

Second, OCC will advocate that DEO's rate increase should be no more than what is reasonable and permissible under Ohio law, for service that is adequate under Ohio law. OCC's position is therefore directly related to the merits of this case pending before the PUCO that regulates public utilities' rates and service quality in Ohio.

Third, OCC's intervention will not unduly prolong or delay the proceeding. OCC has longstanding expertise and experience in PUCO proceedings, and will contribute to the process of the case.

Fourth, OCC's intervention will significantly contribute to the full development and equitable resolution of the factual issues. OCC will obtain and develop information that the PUCO should consider for equitably and lawfully deciding the case in the public interest.

2

OCC also satisfies the intervention criteria in the Ohio Administrative Code (which are subordinate to the criteria that OCC satisfies in the Ohio Revised Code). To intervene, a party should have a "real and substantial interest" according to Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(A)(2). As the residential utility consumer advocate, OCC has a very real and substantial interest in this case where DEO proposes to raise the gas service rates that consumers pay.

4

In addition, OCC meets the criteria of Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(B)(1)-(4). These criteria mirror the statutory criteria in R.C. 4903.221(B) that OCC has addressed above, and that OCC satisfies.

Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(B)(5) states that the Commission shall consider the "extent to which the person's interest is represented by existing parties." While OCC does not concede the lawfulness of this criterion, OCC satisfies this criterion because it has been uniquely designated as the state representative of the interests of Ohio's residential utility consumers. That interest is different from, and not represented by, any other entity in Ohio.

Moreover, the Supreme Court of Ohio recently confirmed OCC's right to intervene in PUCO proceedings, in ruling on an appeal in which OCC claimed the PUCO erred by denying its intervention. The Court found that the PUCO abused its discretion in denying OCC's intervention and that OCC should have been granted intervention.<sup>1</sup>

OCC meets the criteria set forth in R.C. 4903.221 and Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11. Additionally, granting OCC intervention is consistent with the intervention standards explained by the Supreme Court of Ohio. On behalf of DEO's residential consumers, the Commission should grant OCC's Motion to Intervene.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Ohio Consumers' Counsel v. Pub. Util. Comm., 111 Ohio St.3d 384, 2006-Ohio-5853, ¶18-20.

Respectfully submitted,

à

<u>م</u>

JANINE L. MIGDEN-OSTRANDER CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

Joseph F. Serio, Counsel of Record Larry S. Sauer Gregory J. Poulos Assistant Consumers' Counsel

Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel 10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485 614-466-8574 (Telephone) serio@occ.state.oh.us sauer@occ.state.oh.us poulos@occ.state.oh.us I hereby certify that a copy of the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel's *Motion to Intervene* was provided to the persons listed below via first class U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, this 12<sup>th</sup> day of September 2007.

Assistant Consumers' Counsel

PARTIES OF RECORD

Anne Hammerstein Stephen Reilly Attorney General's Office Public Utilities Section 180 East Broad Street, 9<sup>th</sup> Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215

Joseph P. Meissner Legal Aid Society of Cleveland 1223 West Sixth Street Cleveland, Ohio 44113 David F. Boehm Michael L. Kurtz Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry 36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

John W. Bentine Mark S. Yurick Chester, Willcox & Saxbe LLP 65 East State Street, Suite 1000 Columbus, Ohio 43215-4213

5