1	BEFORE
2	THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO
3	ORIGINAL
4	In the Matter of the Self-Complaint ) of Columbus Southern Power Company ) and Ohio Power Company Concerning ) Case No: the Implementation of Programs to ) 06-22 EL-SLF Enhance Distribution Service ) Reliability )
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	The aforementioned proceedings took place before the Greta M. Neeley-See, Attorney Examiner for the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, and transcribed by Wendy S. Bailey, Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of West Virginia, January 24, 2007, at the Washington State Community College, Marietta, Ohio, commencing at 6:02 p.m.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	·
18	2t R
19	
20	CONLEY COURT REPORTING SERVICES Post Office Box 619 Belpre, OH 45714 (740) 423-7073
21	CONLEY COURT REPORTING SERVICES
22	Post Office Box 619  Belpre, OH 45714  (740) 423-7073
23	(740) 423-7073 <b>♀</b> ♀ (888) 447-4699
24	
25	This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business Technician Date Processed

January 24, 2007

1.4

## PROCEEDINGS

MS. NEELEY-SEE: Ladies and gentlemen, if you would take a seat, please. I don't plan on using the microphone. Can everyone hear me okay? Okay.

My name is Greta See. I am an attorney with the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio. The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio assigned for public hearing at this time Case No. 06-222-EL-SLF in the matter of the Self-Complaint of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company concerning the Implementation of Programs to Enhance Distribution Service Reliability.

I am an attorney with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio and we will be conducting a hearing today. When you arrived, you were met by two individuals. They are commission personnel and are with the enforcement division. They asked you if you would like to offer testimony. I will be starting with that sheet and going down that sheet. When your name is called, you will come forward and I will ask you to do a couple of other things. If you did not sign up and you wish to offer testimony, go in the hall at any time and sign up. There are also a couple of sheets there that would allow you that, if you didn't offer testimony, if you want to state if you support or oppose the programs, you can sign your name to

either sheet to show your support or opposition.

In addition to the support personnel outside, there is someone from the Public Affairs Department, there are representatives of Ohio Power Company available if you have questions other than what we are addressing tonight, and in a minute I will ask them to introduce themselves.

Immediately to my left is Rick Reese. He is Assistant Consumer's Counsel, a representative of the Office of the Ohio Consumer's Counsel. He may participate.

There are also several other individuals that are participating in this proceeding, and they will represent the interests of their constituents.

On October 6, Columbus Southern Power Company, which you will hear also addressed as AEP Ohio, filed with the Commission a service viability program to recover the cost of this program. Other intervenors who likewise have a proposal will offer testimony about their positions. As you may already know, the Commission has scheduled six local public hearings in this case. Local public hearings have been held in Lima, Canton, and Zanesville. There is a hearing scheduled for tomorrow night in Athens. I would like to add that pending with the Commission at this time is a request by OCC for an additional public hearing in the Columbus metropolitan area.

There are two parts to this proceeding, the local public hearing in the various communities, and then there is an evidentiary hearing that is scheduled to start on February 27 at the Commission's offices in Columbus. The purpose of that hearing is to allow AEP Ohio and the other intervenors to offer testimony, and the purpose of tonight is to get comments from the public. We will not be hearing from the company or the staff or OCC tonight.

For those of you that want to offer testimony, once I call your name, I will ask you to come forward. I will ask you to be sworn in or affirm that the information that you are about to give is true, and then I will ask you to state and sometimes spell your name for the record.

As you may have noticed, sitting to my far left is a court reporter who is transcribing tonight's hearing for the Commission's records. Are there any questions about the process? Okay.

THE WITNESS: How much weight does this hearing, the local hearing when people give testimony, when they make comments and questions and whatever -- you know, is that taken into consideration?

MS. NEELEY-SEE: Yes. That becomes a part of the official transcripts that the Commission uses to consider AEP's claim or proposal.

THE WITNESS: Are people's questions and voices

really being heard? 1 2 MS. NEELEY-SEE: This transcript is being transcribed so that everything will be read by the 3 4 Commissioners, yes. 5 THE WITNESS: What bearing does money have on this hearing? What I am saying is, are they putting money 6 7 out to get what they want from the public, AEP? 8 MS. NEELEY-SEE: Okay. I'm cannot speak to what 9 AEP is doing, but the Commission is the one that scheduled 10 the public hearing, for people to come out so that we can hear what you think. 11 12 THE WITNESS: I would like to know because money does talk. 13 14 MS. NEELEY-SEE: I am saying as to who scheduled 15 the hearing, that is the Commission. 16 THE WITNESS: Maybe you can answer this 17 question, what is the purpose of AEP's request for increasing the rates? What is behind all of this? 18 MS. NEELEY-SEE: I can't speak to that. 19 20 THE WITNESS: You can't testify to a situation 21 that you have no insight into. 22 MS. NEELEY-SEE: The application is what the 23 testimony is about. Everything that is in the file in 24 this case is available on the Commission's site. I would 25 also add that the application, the staff report is outside

on the table, that you can go and pick up a copy. 1 2 THE WITNESS: It outlines the reason for the 3 request for the rate increase by AEP? MS. NEELEY-SEE: The application should. 4 5 on the table here tonight. You can go on-line and see what was filed by AEP. 7 THE WITNESS: So the Commission is here about 8 consumer confidence. 9 MS. NEELEY-SEE: Yes. 10 THE WITNESS: That is it. 11 MS. NEELEY-SEE: Yes. Any more questions at this time? This is Mr. Resnik on behalf of AEP. 12 13 MR. RESNIK: I am appearing on behalf of Ohio 14 Power Company and Columbus Souther Power. We have 15 representatives from the company here in the front row, and we have others from the company in the second to last 16 17 row. 18 MS. NEELEY-SEE: Okay. 19 MR. REESE: Thank you. I am Rick Reese, 20 Residential Utility Consumer Advocate. There are four 21 staff members from the Ohio Consumer's Counsel in the back row of the auditorium that would be happy to talk with you 22 23 at the conclusion of the hearing to give you some 24 particulars on the case, and we can arrange to have 25 anything sent to you that you would like to have sent to

you. It is already documented.

MS. NEELEY-SEE: First witness is Bob Flygar. Please step to the podium.

BOB FLYGAR, WITNESS, SWORN.

Please state your name and spell the last name.

THE WITNESS: My name is Bob Flygar and I have written copies of my testimony if you would like.

MS. NEELEY-SEE: Yes, we would.

THE WITNESS: My name is Bob Flygar, and I am recently retired as the Manager of Commercial and Site Services at Eramet Marietta, Inc. But I am here tonight representing Eramet. I have given you written copies and request that they are submitted for the record, and I would like to briefly summarize the comments that I have made, rather than read the entire testimony.

I am here this evening on behalf of Eramet because of the importance of the price and availability of electricity to Eramet's facilities in Marietta. More specifically, I am here to discuss AEP's pending Enhanced Distribution Service Reliability Plan as submitted and to explain why Eramet opposes the proposed plan.

For those of you who don't know much about

Eramet, we produce manganese ferroalloys that are

essential in the steelmaking process; hardeners used in

the aluminum industry; and high purity chromium alloys

used in the specialty steel and superalloys industries. Eramet has owned the plant in Marietta since 1999 and the plant has been in operation for approximately 50 years. We currently employ approximately 405 employees. We have an annual payroll of about 36 million dollars. We purchase approximately 25 million dollars in goods and services from local business per year and pay roughly 3 million dollars in state and local taxes each year. We estimate that our impact on the local economy is 65

million dollars annually.

Our facility consumes approximately 700 million kilowatt-hours per year. Electricity constitutes about 19 percent of the total production cost. Our electric rates have increased 27 percent in the last 12 months. For us to remain competitive in the markets, it is dependent upon the price of electricity.

As a result of the increases in the electricity price, it hit us hard and we had to find other ways to remain competitive. One of the things that we did is cut benefits to our employees. As you know, in this area we are on strike. We have been for approximately five months. This in addition to the electricity cost is negatively affecting the viability of the Marietta plant.

I personally worked 12 hour shifts for four and half months trying to keep the plant afloat. Even when

the strike ends we have a difficult situation in our survival, and that is mostly based on electricity and the uncertainty of what those rates will be. Something must be done and done soon in Southeast Ohio for industries such as ours to remain.

Eramet expects AEP to provide reliable service. We understand that they need to be fairly compensated for the service.

We also expect AEP to uphold their end of bargain and provide reliable service at a fair price.

We oppose this proposal for four reasons. Eramet pays distribution service charges even though we receive service at transmission voltage levels. We receive little or no benefit from any enhancement for the distribution service.

Secondly, we perceive AEP's plan as a request for Commission approval of increased rates without the scrutiny of the adequacy of AEP's existing distribution rates and without a clear demonstration of how or when AEP's customer's might benefit from the rate increase.

Third, in AEP's rate stabilization plan proceeding, the Commission granted their request for frozen distribution rates through 2008. AEP's rate stabilization plan allows for several opportunities, under specifically defined situations, where AEP has the option

to get rate increases.

As I understand it, AEP's plan does not fit in any of these specifically defined areas. Eramet supports the rate stabilization plan concept and does not believe that attempts to undermine the Commission's efforts to adopt rate stabilization plans are in the best interests of customers.

What the Commission did on the rate stabilization plan helped to address the problems that hit other states very hard. We know the Commission, the Governor, and the Ohio General Assembly work diligently and without delay to manage the remaining risks presented by an immature wholesale electric market as constructed by federal regulators.

Finally, it is our understanding that AEP's plan might be perceived as an effort to circumvent Ohio's laws mandating that utilities show their current rates are providing inadequate compensation before getting an increase in the rates. Looking at how the distribution rate increase proposal fits in the bigger picture, which includes AEP's efforts to secure cost recovery in excess of 1 billion dollars from customers for a proposed Ohio electric generating plant, it is easy to see why the Commission would understand why the customers feel they are getting the worst of all worlds.

AEP's distribution rate increase proposal is 1 2 unbalanced when considered on its own and as part of the 3 larger context surrounding AEP's Ohio operation. I thank the Commission for coming to Marietta 4 tonight for the public hearing, and for the opportunity to 5 6 offer comments. Thank you. 7 MR. RESNIK: That was not a word for word 8 reading. I ask what the witness indicated be a part of 9 the record, as opposed to what is in the document. I have 10 no questions. 11 THE WITNESS: My written report will not be part 12 of the transcript? 13 MS. NEELEY-SEE: It will be included on file in 14 the Commission file. 15 THE WITNESS: That is fine, because I think that 16 the comments are very close and parallel it. 17 MS. NEELEY-SEE: Thank you. Les Douglas. LES DOUGLAS, WITNESS, SWORN 18 19 State your name and your address for the record. 20 THE WITNESS: What is that? 21 MS. NEELEY-SEE: State your name and address. 22 THE WITNESS: Les Douglas, 227 District Park 23 Before I start, as you can see, I have a cold, so I 24 won't be very long. 25 My name is Les Douglas. I am the mayor of

Martins Ferry, Ohio. I have been in office six months. Actually, less than six full months. I took over. The mayor resigned, and I haven't had a chance to do a lot.

The Ohio Consumer Counsel asked me to testify.

I am not going to testify about money. I am going to talk about services to the City of Martins Ferry. And I can't say too much because I don't know know a lot about it, but what I did do is talk to the city electrician and our service director, and what I do know about is the things that have happened in Martins Ferry. I expect it to be a lot more critical than I am going to be right now.

AEP has been pretty good to Martins Ferry, talking to the two people that I just mentioned. We had very few outages in Martins Ferry, and those outages were corrected very fast.

We have a few, couple of things that I do want to say about, though -- we have problems and stuff with telephone pole removals. We had some problems with the telephone pole removals. One case, according to the service director, was we had a hard time getting it out of the way. The second thing is much more important to us. The back of the city building was structures for the emergency squad truck, and the removal, what our service director said, is going to cost us around \$9000 to have taken care of.

All in all, like I said, I have not got a lot of bad things to say. I appreciate what AEP does for Martins Ferry, and I appreciate the Consumer Counsel having us here to speak.

MS. NEELEY-SEE: John Poling.

JOHN POLING, WITNESS, SWORN

State your name for the record and your address.

THE WITNESS: My name is John Poling,

P-O-L-I-N-G, 123 Columbus Road, Marietta. Back in September of this year I got my monthly electric bill. I was shocked. \$187, and because of that, I read the fine print on the bill and saw they were going to add a surcharge for the storm damages west of here before we became a customer. That was approved by the Commission, it was approved by the Ohio Supreme Court, and I wholeheartedly disagree with that decision.

Now, when I read of the distribution charge proposed, my first reaction was, I am sure that AEP had in their operating expense for the maintenance. My question is, if they need this much now, what have they been doing over the past three or four years? Have they not been spending that money or maintaining their facility. And distribution is a part of their operating budget and maintenance distribution is a part of their budget, and I don't see any reason why we should be paying a surcharge

for maintaining distribution when it is in their annual budget. I think it is wrong. I don't think that we should have to pay it. That is all that I have to say.

1.0

MS. NEELEY-SEE: Thank you. Richard Thomas.

RICHARD THOMAS, WITNESS, SWORN

Please state your name and your address for the record.

Boylen, Belpre, Ohio. First let me say a word to the Ohio Consumer Counsel for setting the hearing for public input. I am grateful that you have included key locations in Southeastern Ohio. Sadly, this part of the Buckeye state has been overlooked particularly by the powerful utility interests. As far as the residential consumer is concerned, the bottom line is who represents the consumer, who stands up for us when push comes to shove. The answer is clear, you must speak for the residential customer. You must speak for families who struggle to make ends meet. You must speak for the farm population. You speak for the widow and orphan and down and out.

What was once a luxury has now become a necessity. We have been dependent upon reliable services and rejoice in knowing that our concerns are heard. As a taxpayer and resident of Washington County, I must speak in opposition to the proposal to recover the cost through

rate increases. In my opinion it is unfair to impose a 1 per diem upon the residents of our part of the state. 2 Further, it is high time to reexamine the issue of cost 3 recovery. While it may be relevant and appropriate in 4 urban areas such as Columbus, Cleveland, it may not be 5 appropriate or relevant in areas such as Belpre and 7 Barlow. 8 Judging from the public response, this should be enough incentive to up your conversation with the 9 10 utilities companies to a new and a higher level, and above all, it is time to start looking for ways to maintain and 11 upgrade our utility infrastructures without unduly 12 13 jeopardizing the lives of those at the lowest end of the economic spectrum. Thank you. 14 15 MS. NEELEY-SEE: Rodney Taylor. 16 RODNEY TAYLOR, WITNESS, SWORN 17 Please state your name and address for the 18 record. 19 THE WITNESS: Rodney Taylor, 7593 State Route 20 550, Vincent, Ohio. First, I would like to say I am sorry 21 that you all have no office. I called the 800 number by 22 AEP four or five months ago. I said, "Where are you at?" 23 and she said, "We don't have an office." I said, 24 "Anywhere in the State of Ohio?" and she said, "No." I said, "How about Canton?" and she said, "No office in 25

Canton."

I get the Columbus paper, the Columbus Dispatch and December 10 had an article in here about the board members and stuff that go to the meetings and stuff and it states that their salary is \$150,000 a year. I could go work seven days a week and not make \$100,000. And she belongs to the board.

When you folks started with me on AEP, my first bill was \$175, and it wasn't a bad month. And the next month -- I was regularly paying 106 a month, and the next month it was 325. And I called and she said, "You know how it is."

I said, "Not really. The house got no bigger."

I started working. I am retired, but I work part time and
I see the electric trucks sitting there. And I said, "I
am getting to work with no transportation," and she said,
"We need some benefits."

I said, "What you are paying for the new vehicle would pay for us and account for a whole month's electric." And she said, "Well, we just got to have it."

And then the trucks, the three or four ton trucks that you see around, they stop and go in the restaurant or whatever, and they sit there and run. I have had a few diesel pickups, and you shut it off. You don't leave it running while you eat. You shut it off.

Diesel fuel is 2.65 now. That is crazy to sit there. I shut mine off. I don't leave it running, and I have gas on it.

She said, "Well the new poles and everything."

I said that my family built this house and in 50 years, they have went through there one time.

She said, "We have to replace the poles and the lines and everything."

I said, "They ain't been replaced in the last 15 years. What are you going to spend it on?"

Of course, a lot of people are on medication and stuff and don't have cars anymore. They figure now, I go down -- I go down there and I see old couples there and they say, "Well, we get medications today, but next week not because we pay utilities."

Everybody said that you cannot cut cost. It ain't a work truck, just a pickup. The rates that he is getting, he can drive his own vehicle. I don't want to pay for his gas five days a week running from Marietta to Barlow. I drove sometimes 100 miles a day to work and nobody bought my gas, and I pay it. He ought to be able to pay it.

I would say a third of the country is retired now, and you get your check, and the electric bill, you don't drive any place.

And holidays, they don't work holidays. That is what gets me. If you call a company, the electric, you call them at Thanksgiving, they say, "We are not working." If you work at a factory and they tell you to go to work, you work or you don't get paid. If you are serving a customer, you need to be there seven days a week and holidays. That is crazy. You can't make it, you ought not be in there and somebody else ought to be in there. That is all that I got.

MS, NEELEY-SEE: William Riggs.

WILLIAM RIGGS, WITNESS, SWORN

State your name and address.

THE WITNESS: William Riggs. I live in
Washington County, 4170 State Route 26 in Marietta, Ohio.

I am in Morgan County and I have rentals, and some I pay electric on and some I don't. One particular house, the bill, we kept paying the electric bill. Five times out of 12 that meter was read wrong. It took several hours of my time to get that corrected.

I feel that the rate increase that they are proposing and the service that we had in the last year went downhill from what it was a few years ago. The cost that I pay to the electric company I know is not near what Eramet or anybody else does, but I am a typical person trying to make a living, and the increase that they put on

us in the last 12 months is unfair. The service is not near what it was. We used to pay every two months. Now we pay it monthly. That is more money that they can use in their business. I think it is unfair. As a consumer, I oppose a rate increase at this time. Thank you. MS. NEELEY-SEE: Mr. Taylor. This first bill, when I got that THE WITNESS: third bill so high, they said I had to put in -- the next three months I paid \$75 a month on top of the bill. go to senior citizens' places and I talked to them and they went up. They added 30, 40, \$50 on top of these \$300 bills. MS, NEELEY-SEE: A. P. Bowman. THE WITNESS: B-O-W-E-R. A.P. BOWER, WITNESS, SWORN Place state the name and address. THE WITNESS: P.O. Box 20, Lowell, Ohio. What I would like to say is that, before AEP taking over the electrical service and Allegheny Power had it, I received I quess a lot of false information telling us how good AEP was, how much people appreciated it, AEP was going to take care of us. Allegheny Power was going to raise our rates.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The first bill that I got from AEP is about three times as high as my bills from Allegheny. Okay. I

AEP says, "We are not going to do that."

knew that wasn't right. So I started a little 1 2 investigation. Basically I couldn't get anybody at AEP that knew anything. They wouldn't give me an answer, what 3 their rates was or anything. I had to get ahold of a 4 5 state senator. She was a little bit of help. She got ahold of AEP and I finally did convince her what the rates 7 was, it was 100 and something. The number one thing is AEP listed the first bill that I got as a reading which was false. A 9 10 representative that read the meter said that they did not 11 read that meter that month, they estimated it. estimated it for the next month also. So we didn't get an 12

took over, so I think that they stuck it to them a little 15 bit. 16 I am on Social Security, so I don't have a lot 17 of money. I am 75 years old. Thank you. 18 corporations, our government and the corporations is

actual reading. I think Allegheny estimated it before AEP

running this country. We used to have, it was supposed to be for the people. Now it is for the corporations. That That is about all that I have to is where the money is.

22 say.

13

14

19

20

21

23

24

25

Dennis Harrington. MS. NEELEY-SEE:

DENNIS HARRINGTON, WITNESS, SWORN

Please state your name and address for the

record.

THE WITNESS: Dennis Harrington, 7001 Muskingum River Road, Lowell, Ohio. I want to speak briefly in opposition to the proposal for the cost of the upgrade, partly as a citizen of our community and in my position as staff attorney at Southeastern Legal Services. I am a senior staff attorney with Southeastern Ohio Legal Services in Marietta, and we also serve Noble, Morgan, and Washington County.

I appreciate you attending the hearing because this is a poor area and isolated, and a lot of times we don't get our say on statewide issues. This is our chance to tell people what we think about this proposal.

I want to talk about five different points.

Utility companies, the regulated ones as well as the unregulated ones, they have a tension between their duty to serve on one hand and their duty to make a profit to their shareholders on the other. I think in several respects AEP is off balance.

My own personal experience, I represent a lot of people, a lot of friends. I am a member of the Washington Electric Co-op. When I moved here, I was not familiar with co-ops. I didn't think that they were necessary. I did not care for the fact that they were not regulated. I must say in 15 years of experience with the Washington

Co-op, I don't see how they provide the high quality service that they do at a competitive rate, essentially compared to AEP and the predecessor. When the lines go down, they are right out to fix them. When trees grow into the lines, they trim them. To do them myself, I would be electrocuted.

Out where we live, this included a lot of AEP.

If our power is out for any length of time, we don't have water because we have well water. And you know, of course, it is a hardship on farmers too. Maybe that is an issue that people in Columbus don't think about.

AEP, everything that I hear, the testimony, the increase, I don't see why they can't provide better service in the areas that I am talking about than they do. As I understand it, Washington Electric is spread thinner and does the job better than AEP. I think part of what is going on, the Co-op thinks in terms of customer service, AEP thinks about the bottom line, and they are thinking too much about the bottom line.

Second point I want to make, I read the OCC material preparing for this. I will say that one thing above all over, according to OCC, AEP has under spent about 97 million since 1992 and 2005 for distribution maintenance, and now they want to increase. I think that needs to be looked at very hard. I make that one point in

my testimony.

The third point I want to make is the -- I had a conversation today with a colleague and I asked him if there were any points that he thought were relevant that should be brought up with the Consumer Counsel and one thing that he talked about that bothers him, he runs the energy program which is energy for low income people, the emergency program. If you are facing shut off, you can make payment and they restore service. They had a different problem with this. In the Mon Power days, they have the client in the office, they pick up the phone and call Mon Power and get it straightened out and get the service back on. Now it is intolerable, impossible. You cannot call AEP and talk to someone. You die on hold. That was his frustration.

I also talked to a lady, a resident manager of the largest apartment complex in Marietta, and asked her if she had thoughts, and she said, "Yes. The rates are so high, it is killing our tenants here." Even the vacant units, they have to pay 60, \$70 per month to keep the power, and she doesn't understand that at all.

I do know that these rate -- any rate increases affect the lower and moderate income families around here and throughout the state a lot more than I think that people realize. Is it a small increase? It is not small.

That is really all that I have to say on that.

And finally, I guess this ties back to the duty to serve and AEP's failure in this regard. We are still seeing — we are seeing this in Athens too. Too often AEP will shut off a tenant's electricity when the electricity is in the landlord's name and the landlord hadn't paid the bill. AEP will shut off the tenants without prior notice, and it is unlawful. It is a pattern that continues, and we take care of it on a case by case basis and it continues to happen. I don't see any progress.

That is all that I have to say. In summary, there are service delivery problems, and I think the real problem is the failure of AEP. Thank you.

MS. NEELEY-SEE: Melissa Harris.

MELISSA HARRIS, WITNESS, SWORN

Please state your name and address.

THE WITNESS: State Route 7, Route 66, Marietta, Ohio. Even though my address is in Marietta, I do live in Barlow, and at first when AEP took over Barlow, I thought, "Great." Then month after month our bill went to 400 some dollars a month. With Allegheny, paying it every other month, it was 200 and some. It just keeps rising and rising.

A lot of people in the area in Barlow -- we know all over Washington County there are a lot of people that

is infirmed, and it is, "Do we pay the bill, pay AEP? Do we pay our prescription? Do we pay our rent." Well, the fact is right now, my family and I, we had to pay AEP every month and our rent got behind. We owe 1200 in rent because of this.

Mom, she had to fork out \$150 a month in prescriptions even though she has insurance, and it's like, what do you do?

If you don't pay it, they shut you off. The bill comes and the disconnection notice is with it, and it is for that day.

My sister-in-law, I can verify twice this past summer when it was so hot, we got back to the house with the kids and there was no electric. The air conditioner was shut off, and it was burning up in there. It was like out in the mail, there is the electric bill. They said disconnection that day. Well, it took them about four hours to turn it back on.

The second time they did it, she had to come up with almost \$400 to get it turned back on, even though they sent the bill out two days before the disconnection and we received it on the day of disconnection.

Allegheny we didn't have that problem. This extra charges for all of this stuff, there is no way. We can't afford to pay that.

I mean, as Mr. Taylor said, you know, how can they sit and let the trucks run. My dad works for Reno Water. Okay? They are only allowed to bring the truck home and only allowed to use the Reno Water trucks to go out on service calls or check the pumps. Other than that, you have to use your own vehicle. So why do they get to take and use their vehicles, AEP vehicle and run all over town with them. That ain't right.

And my dad and them, they get a call on Thanksgiving, Christmas that there is something wrong, they have to drop everything and go.

And as far as replacing power lines and poles and stuff, I live up Route 7. I have lived there for I would say 20-some-odd years. We have a dusk to dawn light in our yard, and I figure we are paying for it because Allegheny could not figure out why we had such high bills, and now we are doubling and tripling them.

The transformer, it was replaced when Allegheny had it, which is like 15, 16 years ago. I have never seen them out there doing anything, replacing poles that are getting tore up, so why do we have to pay more surcharges? Washington County and Noble County and all of us, we can't afford this, and I wish somebody would listen to everybody tonight instead of just blowing it off. That is the way that we feel, and I am sure that everybody here feels the

Thank

same way. We have the meetings and everything, and it is 1 2 just blow off, just like they do everything. Just blow you off, and it's not right. We are a community. We are 3 not big like Columbus and Cincinnati. 4 5 I mean, Mon Power -- my power has not been shut off yet, but with Allegheny, when it did go off, they were 6 AEP, if they disconnected it, you have 7 there to fix it. to wait for 48 hours to turn it back on. That is the experience with my sister-in-law, and I believe they don't need -- you don't need to allow them to raise the charges. 10 I can't even understand this bill. I mean, it 11 says here 327, and here the surcharge, and account balance 12 807.83, and then it said the total due is 487.47. What do 13 14 I owe? But I hope you all have listened to what we said 15 16 here tonight and take it into consideration. Thank you. 17 MS. NEELEY-SEE: Mrs. Taylor? THE WITNESS: Yes. 18 MR. RESNIK: I will go over that with you. 19 MS. NEELEY-SEE: Is there anyone else present 20 21 which would like to offer testimony here tonight? (No response) 22 23 There are no other names listed on the sheet provided to me, and there isn't anyone else that wishes to 24 offer testimony tonight. The hearing is adjourned.

25

```
you for your time and coming out tonight.
 1
 2
              (The hearing was adjourned at 7:00 p.m.)
 3
 4
 5
 6
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA, To-wit:

I, Wendy Conley Bailey, a Notary Public and Court
Reporter within and for the State aforesaid, duly
commissioned and qualified, do hereby certify that the
proceedings in the matter of the self-complaint of
Columbus Southern Power, et al., were taken by me and
before me at the time and place specified in the caption
hereof.

I do further certify that said proceedings were correctly taken by me in stenotype notes, that the same was accurately transcribed out in full and reduced to typewriting, and that said transcript is a true record of the proceedings.

I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed by, any of the parties to the action in which these proceedings were had, and further I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto or financially interested in the action.

My commission expires the 19th day of January, 2010. Given under my hand and seal this 28th day of April 2007.

24 July Suly

25 Wendy Conley Bailey
Notary Public-Court Reporter