BEFORE

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Complaint of The)
Champaign Telephone Company,) }
Complainant,)
v.) Case No. 07-369-TP-CSS
The Ohio Bell Telephone Company d/b/a AT&T Ohio,))
Level 3 Communications, LLC, and) .
ATL Communications, Inc.) }
Respondents.)
ENTR	Y

The attorney examiner finds:

- (1) On April 2, 2007, The Champaign Telephone Company (Champaign or complainant) filed a complaint against The Ohio Bell Telephone Company d/b/a AT&T Ohio (AT&T Ohio), Level 3 Communications, LLC (Level 3), and ATL Communications, Inc. (collectively respondents) alleging that respondents have violated the parties' applicable access tariffs by failing to pay access charges, together with a late payment penalty to the complainant. As relief, Champaign seeks a Commission determination that respondents are liable to Champaign in the amount of \$287,910.73 together with a late payment penalty and, further, that Champaign is entitled to treble damages pursuant to Section 4905.61, Revised Code.
- (2) As provided by Rule 4901-9-01(A), Ohio Administrative Code, the respondents were served a copy of the complaint and instructed to file their answers and other responsive pleadings by April 23, 2007.
- (3) By letter docketed on April 17, 2007, local counsel for Level 3 sought a two-week extension of time, until May 7, 2007, to file an answer to the complaint. Counsel represented that in-house

This is t	to ce	rtify	th	at t	the	imeg	es	app	eai	ing	are	an
accurate	and	comp1	ete	re	prod	luebi	ao.	of	a	case	fi.	Ιę
document	ileb	vered	in	t.lie	reg	ular	co	urse	Q.	E, bu	sine	88.
document Technicia	n	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		Date	Proc	zes	ಕಿಂದೆ_	_ 5	41	9/1	07

counsel for Level 3 will be preparing for and attending hearings in other matters over the next ten days and that the current answer due date does not allow sufficient time to adequately respond to the complaint on or prior to the current due date. Counsel represents that he has spoken with counsel for Champaign and the complainant has no objection to the requested extension of time. Counsel for AT&T Ohio joins in a request that the extension be applicable to all respondents.

(4) The attorney examiner finds that good cause has been shown to grant the request for a two-week extension of the answer due date in this matter. Accordingly, respondents shall have until May 7, 2007, to file their answers and other responsive pleadings in this matter.

It is, therefore,

ORDERED, That an extension of time is granted in accordance with finding 4. It is, further,

ORDERED, That a copy of this entry be served upon all parties of record.

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

By: Jeffrey R. Mnes

Attorney Examiner

OV/vrm

Entered in the Journal

APR 1.9 2667

Reneé J. Jenkins

Secretary