

FILE



March 7, 2007

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV

2007 MAR -7 PM 5:06

PUCO

PAUL A. COLBERT
Associate General Counsel
Duke Energy Ohio

Duke Energy Corporation
155 East Broad St.
21st Floor
Columbus, OH 43215

614 221 7551

614 221 7556 fax

paul.colbert@duke-energy.com

Mr. Jeff L. Small
Assistant Consumers' Counsel
Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel
10 West Broad Street, 18th Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3485

Re: Case No. 03-93-EL-ATA *et. al.*

Dear Jeff:

At 5:27 pm on March 5, 2007, the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel (OCC) sent by e-mail, a number of documents that comprise protected materials pursuant to a protective agreement signed by Duke Energy Ohio (DE-Ohio) and OCC. Such materials also remain protected pursuant to the orders of the Attorney Examiners in the above referenced cases made orally and on the record during the deposition of Mr. Deeds on February 8, 2007. The protected materials were sent to Parties in the above captioned proceedings that have not signed protective agreements with DE-Ohio and were not entitled to receive the protected materials. At 7:42 pm, OCC sent another e-mail indicating that the distribution was inadvertent and requested that Parties without protective agreements delete the attachments containing the protective materials.

This letter is a formal notification by DE-Ohio to OCC that OCC is in material breach of the applicable protective agreements signed by DE-Ohio and OCC, as well as the Attorney Examiner's order of February 8, 2007. DE-Ohio reserves its legal rights pursuant to the protective agreements and the Commission's authority to pursue a remedy for the breach. It is of no consequence whether the breach of duty was inadvertent or intentional, it remains a breach.

Specifically, OCC materially breached: (1) Its protective agreements by its failure to give DE-Ohio notice of a use of protected materials not contemplated in the agreements; (2) OCC's failure to give DE-Ohio an opportunity to protect its materials through a court or administrative agency of competent jurisdiction; and (3) By its failure to abide by the orders of the Attorney Examiners to maintain certain portions of the Deed's deposition, and documents associated therewith, as confidential.

Please contact me if you have any questions concerning this matter.

Very truly yours,

Paul A. Colbert

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business
Technician JB Date Processed 3-8-07

www.duke-energy.com