RECEIVED	٠.	
RECEIVED 2005 NOV -	-DOCKE	TING DIV
2005 NOV -	7 PM	4:24
WO U	$C_D$	

## BEFORE

## THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Review of Chapters	)	
4901:5-17, 4901:5-19, 4901:5-21, 4901:5-23,	)	
4901:5-25, 4901:5-29, 4901:5-33, 4901:5-35, and	)	Case No. 06-1201-AU-ORD
4901:5-37 of the Ohio Administrative Code	)	

## Joint Motion for Extension of Time to File Comments and Reply Comments

Come Now Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, The Toledo Edison Company, Columbus Southern Power Company, Ohio Power Company, Dayton Power & Light, and Duke Energy – Ohio ("Joint Movants") and hereby request an extension of time to file comments and reply comments in the above-referenced docket. Initial comments are currently due on or before November 21, 2006 and reply comments are due on or before December 18, 2006.

With this Joint Motion, the Joint Movants respectfully request that the due date for initial comments be extended to December 15, 2006 and the due date for reply comments be extended to January 16, 2007. This additional time will allow all interested parties adequate time to address the numerous and substantive changes to the rules that are the subject of this proceeding. Additionally, the Joint Movants request that the Commission rule on this motion on an expedited basis, as permitted under O.A.C. 4901-1-12. A more detailed basis for the requested extension and request for expedited ruling is set forth in the attached Memorandum in Support.

Respectfully submitted,

James W Busk Marvin J. Resnik Just Columbus Southern Power Company

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.

Technician > Date Processed 117.000

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company	Marvi 9. Resnik gus Ohio Power Company
The Toledo Edison Company	Paul A. Colbert Jus Duke Energy Ohio
Randall Liffer Son B Dayton Power & Light Company	Roy Rushing Just Ohio Gas Association

## Memorandum in Support

On October 11, 2006, the Commission established the present rulemaking docket pursuant to the requirement imposed on administrative agencies to conduct a review of their rules every five years. Comments were required to be filed on November 21, 2006 with reply comments due on December 18, 2006. This proposed rulemaking is unique in a couple respects, both of which contribute to the need for the requested extension. First, the rulemaking covers those portions of the Commission's rules related to emergency situations and the Governor's and Commission's powers related thereto. Fortunately for all Ohioans, these rules are not utilized on a regular or daily basis and therefore may not be familiar to all of the parties that may seek to provide comment. Contrary to rules, for example, related to Commission procedures or the electric service and safety standards that are referenced on a regular basis, the rules being addressed in this docket related to emergency powers and situations are rarely referenced. Additional time is necessary to make sure the rules in their current form are clearly understood and communicated within the different organizations that may desire to file comments.

Second, the rulemaking proposes significant and substantive changes to numerous aspects of the rules. This is not one of those situations where the Commission Staff is generally satisfied with the current form of the rules and therefore offers few if any changes. In that situation, a more limited review of the proposed changes by interested parties may be undertaken. In the current situation, however, the proposed changes are both numerous and substantive. In more than one instance, entire rules have been deleted and interested parties have the responsibility to digest and comment upon entirely new rules. And while these rules are not used on a daily basis, on that day when they may come into play, it will be critical that they have

been properly designed to assure they fulfill their intended purpose. The Joint Movants believe that the additional time requested is necessary to allow interested parties to provide more complete and thoughtful comments for the Commission's consideration to better assure that the final rules are workable in the types of emergency situations where they will be utilized and are understood by the parties that will need to follow them.

The Joint Movants request that the Commission rule on this motion in an expedited fashion as permitted by O.A.C. 4901-1-12. An expedited ruling is important to let interested parties know as soon as possible whether additional time has been provided to allow them to properly allocate their resources. An expedited ruling granting the motion would give parties the assurance that they have adequate time to analyze the proposed rules and formulate comments. Rule 12 requires the moving party to contact all parties to determine if any party objects to the motion. Since there are currently no parties to this proceeding, there can be no objection to the motion by a party, but the Joint Movants cannot certify that no person will file an objection.

The extension sought by the Joint Movants will aid the rulemaking process by providing additional time to interested parties to allow them to prepare more complete comments for the Commission to consider. Further, ruling on the motion on an expedited basis will not harm any potential interested party, but in fact will provide them additional time to prepare comments. The Joint Movants respectfully request that the extension of time to file comments and reply comments be granted, and that the Commission rule on this motion on an expedited basis.

Respectfully submitted,

Phio Edison Company

Morren J Resneh & B Columbus Southern Power Company The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company

Ohio Power Company

Pane to Bank
The Toledo Edison Company

Audul Suffin Sub
Dayton Power & Light Company

Ohio Gas Association

Menera 2. Resmit gus
Ohio Power Company

Ohio Gas Association