**BEFORE**

**THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| In the Matter of the Alternative Energy Portfolio Status Report for Calendar Year 2012 for Hess Corporation. | ::: | Case No. 13-794-EL-ACP |

**REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

SUBMITTED ON BEHALF OF THE STAFF OF

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

 On April 2, 2013, Hess Corporation (Hess or Company) filed a Request for Waiver specifically seeking authorization to deviate from the baseline calculation meth­odology detailed in Ohio Administrative Code (O.A.C.) 4901:1-40-03(B)(2)(b).

 Rule 4901:1-40-02(B), O.A.C., indicates that the Commission may waive any por­tion of this rule provided that the request does not involve a statutory mandate. While R.C. 4928.64(B) does address the baseline computation for companies with sales during the preceding three calendar years, it does not present a methodology for competitive electric providers with a more limited Ohio electric retail sales history. Therefore, Staff concludes that the Commission may waive O.A.C. 4901:1-40-03(B)(2)(b), if it deems it appropriate to do so.

 The rule dictates that active electric service companies utilize a reasonable projec­tion of sales for a calendar year as their alternative energy portfolio standard (AEPS) compliance baseline in the event that they do not have any retail electric sales in the state during the three calendar years preceding the compliance year.

 Hess asserts in its filing that it had no sales during 2009-2011, but that it began oper­ations in Ohio in July, 2012. In such a scenario, O.A.C. 4901:1-40-03(B)(2)(b) would require that Hess‘ AEPS compliance baseline for the 2012 compliance year consist of a reasonable projection of its sales for a calendar year. However, the Company is pro­posing to use its actual 2012 retail sales as its baseline rather than a projection of sales.

 The use of projected sales, as detailed in O.A.C. 4901:1-40-03(B)(2)(b) was intended to facilitate a company’s compliance planning in that it could quantify its com­pliance requirements earlier in the year. However, Staff acknowledges that the use of actual sales data, as proposed by Hess, is more accurate than using projected data and, therefore, Staff recommends that the requested waiver be granted.

 If granted, the proposed use of actual Ohio sales from 2012 would comprise the compliance baseline for purposes of the Company’s filing requirement for the 2012 com­pliance year under O.A.C. 4901:1-40-05. The annual filing requirement under O.A.C. 4901:1-40-03(C) should be unaffected by this waiver request.
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