**BEFORE**

**THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, and The Toledo Edison Company for Approval of a Tariff Change.  | ))))) | Case No. 18-1656-EL-ATA |

**REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO REJECT FIRSTENERGY’S JULY 31, 2020 REVISED TARIFF UPDATES TO THE TAX SAVINGS ADJUSTMENT RIDER**

**BY**

**OFFICE OF THE OHIO CONSUMERS’ COUNSEL**

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (“PUCO”) should grant OCC’s September 10, 2020 motion to reject FirstEnergy’s recently-filed tariffs related to the credits that customers receive as a result of the 2017 federal tax cuts.[[1]](#footnote-2) As explained in OCC’s Motion, FirstEnergy’s approach would deprive consumers of nearly $40 million in tax-related credits, and it violates the settlement (“Settlement”[[2]](#footnote-3)) that OCC, FirstEnergy, and others signed and the PUCO approved on July 17, 2019.[[3]](#footnote-4) Nothing in FirstEnergy’s late-filed[[4]](#footnote-5) memorandum contra changes this fact.

**I. REPLY**

**A. Nothing in FirstEnergy’s tariffs or the PUCO’s July 17, 2019 Order provides that FirstEnergy’s updated tariffs were automatically approved as of September 1, 2020.**

In its memorandum contra, FirstEnergy argues that its recently-filed tariffs were automatically approved, effective September 1, 2020.[[5]](#footnote-6) In support of this claim, FirstEnergy cites Rider TSA tariff sheet no. 91.[[6]](#footnote-7) But Rider TSA tariff sheet no. 91 says nothing about rates being automatically approved as of September 1, 2020. To the contrary, it says, “No later than December 1st of each year, the Company will file with the PUCO a request for approval of the Rider credit which, unless ordered by the PUCO, shall become effective on a service rendered basis on January 1st of each year.”[[7]](#footnote-8) It is unclear how FirstEnergy could interpret this language as supporting automatic approval as of a different and much earlier date, September 1.

In support of its claim, FirstEnergy also cites the PUCO’s July 17, 2019 Opinion and Order in this case, wherein the PUCO approved the Settlement.[[8]](#footnote-9) Again, it is not clear how FirstEnergy arrived at this conclusion. Nothing in that order says anything about Rider TSA rates going into effect automatically on September 1.

The PUCO should reject FirstEnergy’s baseless claim that its July 31, 2020 tariff filing was automatically approved as of September 1, 2020. Thus, OCC’s Motion is timely, and the PUCO can grant it.

**B. OCC’s Motion it consistent with the approved Settlement.**

Under the approved Settlement, customers are entitled to credits based on the “final, audited balances” of FirstEnergy’s excess accumulated deferred income taxes (“EDIT”).[[9]](#footnote-10) In its memorandum contra, FirstEnergy argues that the balances reflected in the approved Settlement—which are $40 million higher than what FirstEnergy is now using—were “illustrative” and thus subject to change.[[10]](#footnote-11) But as OCC explained in the Motion, the “illustrative” numbers found in the approved Settlement were not illustrative at all. They were based on an audit by PricewaterhouseCoopers performed long before the Settlement was filed.[[11]](#footnote-12) And FirstEnergy itself subsequently admitted that the “final, audited balances” were the same as those identified as “illustrative” in the Settlement.[[12]](#footnote-13) So FirstEnergy’s claim that it can unilaterally change its EDIT balances, to the $40 million detriment of consumers, is inconsistent with the approved Settlement.

**II. CONCLUSION**

For the reasons set forth herein and in the Motion, the PUCO should protect consumers by granting the Motion. Customers deserve *all* benefits of the 2017 federal tax cuts, and FirstEnergy does not have the right to unilaterally deny some of those benefits to customers.
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